

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric)	
Company for Authority, Among Other Things,)	
to Increase Rates and Charges for Electric and)	Application 12-11-009
Gas Service Effective on January 1, 2014.)	(Filed November 15, 2012)
(U 39 M))	
_____)	
)	
And Related Matter.)	Investigation 13-03-007
_____)	

**ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF
ASSIGNED COMMISSIONER'S AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
DENYING MOTION FOR FINAL ORAL ARGUMENT**

JOHN L. GEESMAN

DICKSON GEESMAN LLP
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2000
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (510) 899-4670
Facsimile: (510) 899-4671
E-Mail: john@dicksongeesman.com

Date: July 30, 2014

Attorney for
ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Pursuant to Rule 11.1(a) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (“Commission”) the Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility (“A4NR”) respectfully requests reconsideration of the Assigned Commissioner’s and Administrative Law Judge’s ruling dated July 29, 2014 (“Ruling”), which denied A4NR’s June 30, 2014 request for oral argument. The Ruling found A4NR’s request untimely based upon the provisions of the January 22, 2013 Scoping Memo, but appears to have overlooked the October 3, 2013 ruling of the Administrative Law Judge (cited in the first sentence of A4NR’s June 30, 2014 motion) which made the following schedule change:

IT IS RULED that the previous schedule for motions requesting final oral argument in this proceeding is amended as follows. Any party requesting final oral argument before the Commission shall file a motion no later than 10 days after the issuance of the Proposed Decision in this proceeding, in accordance with Section 10 of the Scoping Memo Ruling issued January 22, 2013.¹

Because the tenth day after the June 18, 2014 filing of the Proposed Decision was a Saturday, A4NR’s request on the following Monday, June 30, 2014, was timely under Rule 1.15. A4NR respectfully asks that its June 30, 2014 motion requesting final oral argument be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ John L. Geesman

JOHN L. GEESMAN
DICKSON GEESMAN LLP

¹Thomas R. Pulsifer, “ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE’S RULING REVISING DUE DATE FOR REQUESTS FOR ORAL ARGUMENT,” October 3, 2013, pp. 1-2.

Date: July 30, 2014

Attorney for
ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY