

From: Singh, Sumeet

Sent: 7/3/2014 3:12:44 PM

To: Redacted

Cc: Redacted

Johnson, Kirk

(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MKJ2); Loduca, Janet C.

(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=J1LC); McDonald, Brian

(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BMMN); Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

Redacted

Kraska, David (Law)

(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=DTK5);

carolina.contreras@cpuc.ca.gov (carolina.contreras@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted

Redacted

Bcc:

Subject: RE: SED Discussion Redacted

Thank you Colin.

Can you please provide me with a summary of the discussion from the call as I have been in touch with Liza from the SED regarding this issue and will provide her with an update?

Sumeet

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 3, 2014, at 3:06 PM, Redacted wrote:

FYI,

At CPUC request we have scheduled a quick call to discuss the concerns raised by Customer Redacted and recent information we have provided

Regards

Colin

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Redacted

Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2014 3:04 PM

To: Redacted carolina.contreras@cpuc.ca.gov

Cc: Redacted

Subject: SED Discussion Redacted

When: Thursday, July 03, 2014 3:15 PM-3:45 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Redacted

Objective: discuss recent concerns from Customer [Redacted] (email language below)

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, July 03, 2014 8:09 AM
To: [Redacted] David Kraska; Sher, Nicholas; Kevin Bryant; Paul Nagengast; [Redacted] Don Horsley; Drew Shell; Lennie Roberts; Nancy Reyring
Subject: Gas Pipeline Work on [Redacted]
Dear [Redacted]

Since you have not provided an environmental review for work on the [Redacted] [Redacted] between Monday when I requested it and now, I presume that PG&E did not performed one in preparation for the work. This leads me to believe that there has been no study to balance the preservation of the sensitive habitat on this parcel with other aspects of the design and no effort to minimize the damage if it is necessary to use the parcel. **All activity on the parcel should stop immediately and not resume until a thorough study and appropriate design modifications have taken place.**

Drew Shell who is active in preservation of the Edgewood Park serpentine habitat says that Rare plants he knows of in the area include:

- Bitterroot (locally rare, on state parcel in area of proposed alignment)
- Fragrant fritillary (CNPS rank 1B, on state parcel near area of proposed alignment)
- Fountain thistle (endangered, on PG&E easement and adjacent properties)
- Woolly-headed lessingia (CNPS rank 3, on PG&E easement and adjacent properties)
- Serpentine leptosiphon (CNPS rank 4, on state parcel and PG&E easement)

The Edgewood Park group has been working with [Redacted] at PG&E to design an alignment which would avoid the serpentine areas in the park. I understand that this process has resulted in a proposal to route the pipeline from [Redacted] down the hill to [Redacted] near the [Redacted], then along [Redacted] to [Redacted], then up [Redacted] to the [Redacted]. It occurs to me that if the new pipeline is to be located in [Redacted] anyway, that it could continue [Redacted] [Redacted], then use [Redacted]

[Redacted] This route would be straighter, shorter, entirely avoid the [Redacted] areas with scores of houses near the existing pipeline route and could also avoid the [Redacted]

I understand that there might be resistance by Cal Trans to use of their right of way, but the overwhelming advantage of this route should allow makes it imperative that great effort should be made to explore the possibility.

I look forward to your prompt response,

Thank you for your efforts.

[Redacted]

