From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 7/16/2014 7:17:33 AM
To: Paul Clanon (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov); Liza Malashenko (elizaveta.malashenko@cpuc.ca.gov); Denise Tyrrell (denise.tyrrell@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fwd: San Carlos Council Meeting - July 14, 2014 - clarification

FYI.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Kiyota, Travis" <<u>TTK3@pge.com</u>>

Date: July 15, 2014 at 6:44:36 PM CDT

To: "Earley, Anthony" <<u>anthony.earley@pge-corp.com</u>>, "Johns, Christopher" <<u>CPJ2@pge.com</u>>, "Bottorff, Thomas E" <<u>TEB3@pge.com</u>>, "Stavropoulos, Nickolas" <<u>N1SL@pge.com</u>>, "Cherry, Brian K" <<u>BKC7@pge.com</u>>, "Soto, Jesus (SVP)" <<u>J81K@pge.com</u>>, "Pruett, Greg S" <<u>Greg.Pruett@pge-</u> corp.com>, "Burt, Helen" <HAB6@pge.com>

Cc: "Fitzpatrick, Tim" <<u>TXFo@pge.com</u>>, "Bedwell, Ed" <<u>ETB1@pge.com</u>>, "Lavinson, Melissa A" <<u>Melissa.Lavinson@pge-corp.com</u>>, "Singh, Sumeet" <<u>S1St@pge.com</u>>, "Giammona, Laurie" <<u>LMGn@pge.com</u>>, "Knapp, Kevin" <<u>K1KQ@pge.com</u>>, "King, Mary K." <<u>MKK8@pge.com</u>>

Subject: San Carlos Council Meeting - July 14, 2014 - clarification

Team: Positive news regarding our on-going efforts with the City of San Carlos. Many thanks to Sumeet and team for the teamwork on this successful outcome.

Travis

------ Original message ------From: Redacted (Gov. Rel.)" Date:07/14/2014 11:49 PM (GMT-08:00) To: "Kiyota, Travis", "Singh, Sumeet", "Gambelin, Papia", "Ittner, Mary Ellen", Redacted Redacted Redacted Redacted Redacted

Subject: RE: San Carlos Council Meeting - July 14, 2014 - clarification

Team,

Tonight the San Carlos City Council voted 4-1 passing the resolution authorizing a joint petitioning with PG&E of the CPUC to temporarily increase the pressure of Line 147 to allow for inline inspection.

Councilman Grocott voted "no," declaring himself not qualified to understand the engineering.

Local government relations introduced the PG&E team led by Sumeet Singh and Redacted who provided the update on preparation for the inline inspection process, technologies involved and general timeline moving forward, including the needed temporary increase to 365 pounds of pressure.

Councilmember Grocott and Mayor Olbert asked why the city needed to take a formal action regarding this issue.

The city manager responded by saying that while there is no requirement that the city do anything, PG&E has been true to its word so far in its commitment to prioritize the inspection, and that it is in the city's best interest to get inline inspection done as soon as possible. Therefore, the city manager added, it "would be prudent" to support the joint resolution in an attempt to hasten the CPUC process for approval.

Councilman Grocott also asked if there were any liability concerns if the city joined with PG&E and asked the CPUC for this pressure increase and something happened. City attorney explained that all the council was considering was whether or not to authorize the city attorney to start the process of working with PG&E, and that he saw zero liability concerns, and underscored the vital importance of getting the inline inspection of Line 147 done and that this joint application to CPUC will help expedite the process.

Councilman Collins commented that the inline inspection was "the culmination

of what we've been trying to do ... and that it would make no sense to come this far and then back off."

Many thanks to Sumeet and $\mathbb{A}^{\text{Redacte}}$ for their excellent presentation, the project team who supported generating the presentation and to $\mathbb{R}^{\text{Redacted}}$ for supporting the team during the preparation and at the meeting this evening.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Redacted

Government Relations Representative Pacific Gas and Electric Redacted