# PG&E's Recommended Changes to 2014 GRC Proposed Decision August 4, 2014

PD Provides a Mixed Message on Safety: Calls it a Priority but Denies Safety Funding PD Contradicts SB 705 – Ignores Best Practices on Safety

## The Focus on Safety Should Be the Commission's Top Priority

- SB 705 directed that the CPUC make safety its top priority and mandated best practices for gas operations.
- CPUC's own Safety Policy Statement stated, "...CPUC not only will assure compliance...but also challenge itself and the utilities to excellence."
- Better than ever before, the PD recognizes safety as an important consideration, but then cuts safety funding.

# PD Directly Contradicts the State's New Safety Direction by Eliminating Funds for More Frequent Leak Detection

- PD funds only a 5-year cycle, disallowing approximately \$24 million in expense and \$2 million in capital for a 3-year survey ignoring best practices.
- Surveying more frequently will help PG&E to find hazardous leaks sooner and find non-hazardous leaks before they become hazardous.
- Best Practice Of the 46 companies surveyed in 2012, over 30 percent of gas distribution operators survey on a 3-year cycle - making this an industry "best practice" required by SB 705.
- GHG Benefit Leak repair resulting from earlier discovery in a 3-year cycle reduces methane release and GHG emissions.

# PD Cuts Funding for Additional GSRs - Directly Contradicting Best Practices

- PD disallowance of \$17 million needed to achieve the goal of responding to all gas odor calls as immediate response calls sends a message that these safety improvements can wait until 2018.
- Best Practice responding immediately to <u>all</u> gas odor calls is a best practice. Of 29 companies surveyed in 2012, 79% immediately respond to all customer calls regarding gas leaks. Disallowance would delay our ability to achieve industry best practices until 2018, at best, instead of 2015.

#### **Cuts Funding for Gas Control Center**

- PD cuts full funding for the Gas Control Center for another 3+ years, again sending the message on real time monitoring that these safety improvements can wait.
- Additional monitoring and controls are designed to give us more situational awareness and future ability to respond quickly to emergency situations.
- PD recognized safety benefits and agreed with the forecast cost, but disallowed \$4.3
  million for staffing and tech support, and \$37 million of a \$62 million capital request for
  installing monitoring and control devices.

## FLISR and Re-closers Revolving Stock - PD Contradicts its Own Conclusions

- PD states "...even if incremental reliability benefits were only 50% of past levels (taking line reclosers costs into account), . . . FLISR installations would still be one of PG&E's most cost-effective reliability measures and have terrific benefit-to-cost ratios." But then the PD disallows 25% of the forecast, reducing \$15M in capital for FLISR and \$6.1M in capital for Line Recloser Revolving Stock.
- Outages on FLISR circuits re-route and restore power to customers, reducing what may have been 1-2 hour outages to less than 5 minutes.
- Benefits FLISR Systems and Line Recloser programs provide more reliability benefits than almost any other investment. FLISRs benefit to cost ratio is 30X.

## Oil Switch Replacement - Under PD it would take 40+ years to Replace Hazardous Switches

- PD disallows \$12.5 million in capital, almost half the entire capital forecast on the basis that PG&E has not demonstrated a need to replace at the forecast rate.
- Pre-1970 oil switches present known safety hazards. Replacement of underground oil switches that have exceeded their operating lives reduces the risk of catastrophic failures.
- Benefits New, safer switches will increase reliability for customers and prevent extended outages that result from catastrophic failures.

### Rate-basing of Nuclear Fuel

- The proposed capital structure for nuclear fuel does not reflect the way fuel is manufactured or used.
- FERC uniform system of accounts treat nuclear fuel as utility plant. Nationwide, all rate-regulated, non-merchant government-owned nuclear power plants -- without exception -- treat nuclear fuel as rate base.
- In the alternative, treat nuclear fuel the same way customer deposits are treated.

REVENUE REQUIREMENT (RRQ) IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Item	Expense/	VT (RRQ) IM Capital	Capital	2014 RRQ	Section in
	Other (\$M)	2013 (SM)	2014 (SM)	Estimate (\$M) <sup>(a)</sup>	Comments
Gas	(0112)	AL Property of the second seco		(ф114)	
Leak Survey Cycle	24.1		2.1	24.3	III.A
Distribution Control	4.3		37.4	7.7	III.B
Center	1			1.7	J.J.
Field Services	17.0			17.0	m.c
Staffing					11.0
Pipe Replacement			8.9	0.8	$+$ $\overline{\text{III.D}}$
Electric					
Underground Cable			37.8	3.4	II.B; IV.A
Pole Test and Treat	3,5	ć		3.5	П.В; IV.A
(w/ joint pole					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
credits)	-				
Pole Replacement		81.3		14.6	П.В; IV.A
Underground Oil			12.5	1.1	IV.B
Switches	The second secon				
FLISR		P	15.0	1.4	IV.C
Line Recloser		3.0	6.1	1.1	IV.C
Network SCADA		1.3	2.0	0.4	IV.D
Plug-in Electric	~	3.6	5.1	1.1	IV.E
Vehicle Sales	- September - Sept				1,11,2
II	Add				
Concept Cost	6.1		22.5	8.1	VII.A
Estimating Tool	Market workers				
ĦR				·	
STIP <sup>(b)</sup>	41.0			26.7	VIII.B
Nuclear Fuel <sup>(c)</sup>	19.9	3		19.9	X
SUBTOTAL	115.9	89.1	149.4	131.1	
ADDITIONS					
Offsets			. 1		
SmartMeter Capital		(3.3)		(0.6)	V
Adjustment			-		
Other IT Tool	(2.7)	The state of the s	(10.0)	(3.6)	VII.B
Reductions		The state of the s		` ′	
Employee Benefits	(8.6)			(5.4)	VIII.C
associated with		4			
Headcount			And the second second		
Adjustments <sup>(b)</sup>					
SUBTOTAL REDUCTIONS	(11.3)	(3.3)	(10.0)	(9.6)	
Depreciation	335.0		2 12474 213 22 23	335.0	IX