From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel Sent: 8/25/2014 12:35:17 PM To: Borak, Mary Jo (maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov) (maryjo.borak@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Barnsdale, Andrew' (andrew.barnsdale@cpuc.ca.gov) Cc: Bcc: Subject: RE: Follow-up on Merced Falls Sale Mary Jo and Andrew, MID's Board approved the Merced Falls transaction earlier this month and PG&E is targeting mid-Sept for its PU Code § 851 filing. Please let me know if you have any interest in meeting with PG&E to discuss the transaction. We would be happy to arrange a briefing, but understand if you are not interested at this time. Best regards, Erik From: Barnsdale, Andrew [mailto:andrew.barnsdale@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 4:38 PM To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) Cc: Borak, Mary Jo Subject: RE: Follow-up on Merced Falls Sale We should meet, but the short story is: PG&E should file an Application per PU Code 851 Be prepared to provide argument/briefing on:

• □ □ □ □ □ The relationship of this transaction to the Bankruptcy decision regarding		
o Ratemaking		
o Land Conservancy		
•□□□□□□□ The relationship of this transaction to AB 1-X (Governor's Order halting sales of generation assets)		
•□□□□□□ Why the transaction should be CEQA-exempt		
Make your case.		
Andrew Barnsdale		
Infrastructure Permitting and CEQA		
Energy Division		
California Public Utilities Commission		
415-703-3221		
From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com] Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 8:05 AM To: Borak, Mary Jo; Barnsdale, Andrew Subject: Follow-up on Merced Falls Sale		
Mary Jo and Andrew,		
Thanks so much for your time last Friday to discuss the CEQA review for the proposed sale of		

PG&E's Merced Falls Project to the Merced Irrigation District. We have reached out to MID staff and they have agreed to reschedule the planned CEQA discussion with their Board to June 17th. That will give us all some time to sort out the type of CEQA action that is appropriate for the proposed transaction. As we discussed last Friday, there is no change in operations from the proposed change in ownership. Some of the key facts relevant to your consideration of the change in operations issue for this sale are:

- MID already controls the immediately upstream Merced River Hydroelectric Project and therefore the flows into the Merced Falls Project;
- MID operates the Merced River Hydroelectric Project to facilitate its water supply obligations;
- MID also already operates the Merced Falls Project for PG&E and controls its outflows for irrigation water downstream;
- There is no meaningful storage or opportunity to modify flows at the Merced Falls Project; and
- MID will step into PG&E's shoes as the FERC licensee for the Merced Falls Project and continue to operate the project pursuant to the FERC license conditions.

In this situation, both PG&E and MID believe a Notice of Exemption is appropriate and we look forward to discussing this with you further.

In terms of the follow-up meeting we discussed, it looks like Friday May 30^{th} , Monday June 2^{nd} or later that week could work for PG&E . Please let me know what dates work for you and Jason Reiger so we can get something tentative on the calendar as soon as possible. In the meantime, we will also double check on the Bankruptcy settlement requirements for sale of hydroelectric assets.

While this transaction does not yet have final approval of PG&E management or the MID Board, we appreciate your input and look forward to meeting with you.

Dogt	ma anda
Dest	regards,

Erik

PG&E is committed to protecting our customers' privacy. To learn more, please visit http://www.pge.com/about/company/privacy/customer/