Procurement Process Review Kern Power Plant Demolition Incident November14, 2012

Introduction: This report summarizes the reconnected by Pacific Gas and Electric Company(PG&E) into its procurement processes to hire Cleveland Wrecking Company (CWC) on the Kern Power Plant (KPP) demolition projecthe report first gives an overview of the process that was used for the KPPdepmonitation with a focus on the portions of the process that involve safety. eleverorth describes threatione actions that PG&E identified as part of the attionastion the KPPdemolition projected intinc The corrective actions proposed in this repositions with an enisterpride Contractor Safety Program under development at PG&E. PG&E antidipes that additional measures and process improvements may result from implementation Contractor Safety Program. As a result, the corrective actions possed herein should be considered in and subject to refinement based on new insights and the final results.

PG&E-rocurement Process: PG&E-employed a comprehensive RFP evaluation process which included Go/No-Gocriteria safety, financials and notic teations in Thereafter, bid proposals were scored on a weighted scoring mechanism to rank the bids before moving on to interviews with the highest ranked teating the proposal interviews with the highest ranked to the proposa

Phase I Review Process

In order to be considered for **PSassed** Evaluation), bidders equired to meet minimum, Go/No Go, criteria described beforw a) Safety, b) Final/histallity, and c) Notice of Violations. Failure to meet the **criterin** in any of these areas would result in exclusion from Phase II evaluations and remaining procurement process. The minimum safety criteria for bidders altoy for next phase of procurement process are:

- 3 most recent years of data frid An 300\$Logs = No Fatalities
- 2 most recent years of data from OSLb/g60= Recordable Rate < 1.50; or
- Bidder has an observation based **state**, with clear processes and procedures supporting that program.

Bidders were required to submit safety datastor three years as well as safety data for their three most critical contractors. The information vedetor bidders was reviewed by PG& Eproject team (Power Generation, Souracide Safety) to determine if the bidder met the minimum threshold criteria.

Phase II Review Process

Bidders were scored using a premitteed weighted scoringechanism which included factors such as commercial, technical, pricing saityd diversed on this scoring, PG& Enterviewed the two (2) most competitive biddensify to their proposental solely deper into various

aspects including safety. Also, reconstructed that these selected submit their "Best and Final" price for their proposals aftercattbes clathifring between interviews.

The award decision was made using the too teach bidder after a final adjustment to the technical or commercial propriates scoring based upon the results of these interviews and the receipt Boefstham Final proposals threntwo (2) most competitive Bidders.

Evaluation results for Kern Ptamer Demolition RFP

On December 7, 2011, PG& Essued a Request reprosals based on a turnkey contract whereby the successful bidder would assume total ilites portisate the demolition and removal of all structures, equipment, foundations and reproduction compliance with all laws, permits and safety requirements. Contract bistos and safety plan for this specific scope of work, contractor capability ertise in demolition to the specific scope and price were the key factors in extending. The Go/No Go filter was then applied utilizing information on safety record, final integral and price of violations.

Kern Power Plant Demolition RFPEvaluation Scorecard

Phase I: Go/No-Go(Safety, Finan viia bility and Notice of Violations) NCM Cleveland Demolition Bierlein Silverado NW **TOTALSUMMARY** Wrecking and Demolition Inc. Contractors Company Remediation, LP 100% GO GO GO GO NOGO* Go/No Go

Scorecard (Commerciatechnical, Pricing and Diversity) NCM Cleveland Demolition Bierlein Silverado NW **TOTALSUMMARY** Wrecking and Inc. Contractors Demolition Company Remediation, LP 5% 4.51 4.81 4.70 Total Commercial Score 1.34 1.30 15% 4.07 3.78 3.98 3.88 Total Technical Score 3.61 3.62 Total Pricing Score** 60% 5.00 2.16 1.00 1.13 2.90 20% 1.5b 2 20 Total Diversity Score 1.85 2.55 100% 3.56 Overall Total Score 3.98 2.50 1.85 1.80 Rank 1 2 3 4 5 (1=Highest, 5=Lowest)

^{*}NWDemolition has Safety Issues and scored as "No-Go"

^{**}Total Evaluated Price

As part of the RFP process, PG& Erequire Biokeberh to submit safety related information for the Bidder and three (3) most critical ctsurb cont Beafety related submission information for this project RFP is shown in Attachmelmits 1 obto cument. All bidders' submittals to the RFP scored by the PG&E RFP team were base the beat about the project and the project RFP team were base to be a submittal submitted.

After evaluation of bidder proposals, one Fridary, 3F 2012, the two most competitive Bidders, one of which was CWC, were interview by the PG&RFPteam. During these interview meetings the Stidder presentations about ptropiosals, including their safety programs. These preisens tawere followed by uestion and answer session addressing all aspects of the proposials is address. The PG&RFPteam was satisfied with both teams' answers to their questions.

Based on the bidding process, tables and selection critedaresponses to bidder interview questions, Cleveland Wrecking Company ("CW@") the successful bidder and was awarded the contract.

PG&E'sEnterprise-Wide Contractor Safety Prograntin April 2012, PG&Eembarkedon an initiative to improve toomtressafety by implementing an restretorized Contractor Safety Program to enhance and track safety performance on tracks. Tithistiative involves benchmarking PG&E's contracting procedures and coton tracefety programs against other utilities and supportense loping enterprise wide coton tracefety metrics and then developing the Contractor Safety Program. This program well the metered on a pilot basis during 2013. After evaluating the results of phregram to roll out the program on an enterprise-wide basis.

Enhancements Identified During the KPPInvestigation While the Contractor Safety Program is in the early stages compondent, there are some process improvements that have already been identified at each to hiring contract are relevant to the KPP demolition project. Incurbant there have too tracting process enhancements shown in the table below that PG&Es congustorerits Contractor Safety Program development process to address is states timed during PG&E's investing of the KPP incident.

Issue Associated with Current Prac	tidecommenderocess Enhancements Under Review in Contractor Safety Program Initiative
previous three (3) years includi the current year. Information p 3 years was not required. Safet	Perform a longer look back into ngontractors' past safety performance. For ritangetoand highest riconstruction yprojects, require safety records and ntrelevant documentation for previous five (5) years. PG&Ewill consider increasing the time period to 5 years for bidder's to meet the minimumsafety criteria.
PG&Eaccepted and reviewed data on EMR rate and OSHA data supplied by bidders without requiring backup documentation. There is a risk that someof the information submitted by bidder incorrect.	e
concerned that there is not end independent oversight of PG&E	PG&Ewill consider on-boarding a the property companyto verify contractors' assafety records for future projects. This is expected to be over and above PG&E's own review of contractors' safety programs and could reveal more information on contractors' safety performance.