

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Promote Policy and
Program Coordination and Integration in Electric
Utility Resource Planning.

R.04-04-003
(Filed April 1, 2004)

**COMMENTS OF THE INDEPENDENT ENERGY
PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION ON THE STAFF'S CAPACITY
MARKETS WHITE PAPER**

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI,
RITCHIE & DAY, LLP
Brian T. Cragg
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321
Email: bcragg@gmsr.com

Date: September 23, 2005

Attorneys for the Independent Energy
Producers Association

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Promote Policy and
Program Coordination and Integration in Electric
Utility Resource Planning.

R.04-04-003
(Filed April 1, 2004)

**COMMENTS OF THE INDEPENDENT ENERGY
PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION ON THE STAFF'S CAPACITY
MARKETS WHITE PAPER**

In accordance with the Chief Administrative Law Judge's Ruling of August 25, 2005, the Independent Energy Producers Association ("IEP") submits its comments on the Capacity Markets White Paper prepared by the Commission's Energy Division.

The Energy Division staff has done an admirable job of describing the need for capacity markets and identifying the key issues that must be addressed. IEP urges the Commission to continue its progress toward defining and implementing a capacity market that will address California's current capacity shortfall and help establish a stable climate that will both encourage new investment and work to preserve existing needed generating capacity. At the same time, however, the Commission should not lose sight of the other steps needed to achieve the larger goal of establishing the conditions to maintain and attract sufficient investment to meet the state's power needs.

IEP's comments are presented in three parts: an initial section making general observations on capacity markets and overall goals and offering

recommendations regarding infrastructure investment; the second discussing lessons learned from Eastern markets, responding to the five policy questions raised, and reacting to the related eight staff recommendations; and a final section addressing the administrative and timing issues raised by staff's proposals.

I. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

In addition to the “structural imperfections” identified in the White Paper,¹ a capacity market is necessary because of mitigations that have been imposed on the energy market, including bid caps, the Must-Offer Obligation, Reliability Must-Run agreements, and out of merit order dispatch. In particular, caps on energy bids and other forms of price mitigation muffle the price signals that would ordinarily call attention to the need for additional demand response and investment in generation. A capacity market can provide ways to begin to overcome the defects of the energy market, including the lack of demand response and the distorted price signals resulting from price mitigation. Thus, the capacity market should be recognized as a mechanism needed to compensate for shortcomings of the current energy market. While unmitigated, competitive energy markets may be the best theoretical solution to the problem of attracting new investment and sustaining existing generation, the political reality is that unmitigated energy prices will not be permitted as long as ratepayers cannot readily respond to price signals. Therefore, as the White Paper acknowledges, capacity markets will remain an essential component of wholesale electricity markets as long as energy

¹ White Paper, p. 4.

markets remain mitigated and imperfect.

While the Commission's commitment to the development of capacity markets is a significant milestone in the evolution of California power markets, the Commission should retain a concurrent focus on other ongoing energy market reforms and on the tasks that must be completed in the next six to nine months:²

- The Commission's procurement process must continue to be refined so that more resources are secured through long-term contracts. In the present market conditions, long-term contracts are the primary way to stimulate investment in new generating plants and the repowering of existing plants. At the same time, improved transparency in the procurement process will help lend price and structural stability to energy markets.
- Resource adequacy targets and responsibilities must be clarified. The current condition, in which all Load-Serving Entities ("LSEs") apparently claim to be resource adequate, while aggregate forecasts show a need for 2,000 MW of additional resources and local capacity requirements are not yet enforced, is a recipe for crisis. The Commission must find a way to assign and enforce responsibility for

² Other urgent tasks lie outside the Commission's jurisdiction: approval of IEP's proposed Reliability Capacity Services Tariff by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission; elimination of the Must-Offer Requirement; elevation of the energy bid cap to levels established in other areas; and elimination of the Automatic Mitigation Procedure.

resource adequacy as soon as possible.

- The resource adequacy requirement (“RAR”), including a local area requirement to ensure reliability, must be implemented on schedule and firmly enforced. Definition by the Commission of the components for a standard, commercially acceptable, tradable product to meet the RAR requirement is also critical.

At this stage in the market’s development, the details of a capacity market will be the subject of disagreement and even controversy. This should not be surprising, in light of other jurisdictions’ experiences to date. In other areas of the country, capacity markets have been initiated, implemented, criticized, revised, and revised again, and a similar stuttering progress may also be California’s experience. Despite the differences of opinion that may exist about the details of capacity markets, even among IEP’s members, IEP embraces certain principles and recommends them to guide the Commission as it moves forward.

1. Market solutions benefit consumers and should be preferred over administrative solutions wherever feasible. Competitive market solutions are more effective in facilitating the right levels of investment and in keeping costs to consumers lower. However, regulatory intervention begets further regulatory intervention. Administrative intervention in the design of the capacity market, for instance, unfortunately may be necessary as long as various price mitigation mechanisms and ineffective demand response remain in place.

2. Capacity markets can complement forward bilateral contracting and procurement Requests for Offers by providing price signals that support bilateral contracting. A centralized capacity market should not be conceived of as taking on more than a supporting role. This leads to a key question that the Commission should ask as it considers proposals for capacity markets: Does a centralized capacity market help encourage investment?
3. The capacity market should transparently reveal and recognize the locational value of capacity.
4. In addition to creating workable capacity markets, reducing the mitigation in the energy market will help foster a more competitive wholesale market. However, merely raising the energy bid cap in the wholesale energy market does not obviate the need for a capacity market. Raising the energy bid cap, while desirable, is not by itself sufficient to address capacity compensation issues. Other ISOs with energy bid caps of \$1,000/MWh have found it necessary to create capacity markets.
5. Many of the actions needed to improve energy markets must be taken by the California Independent System Operator (“CAISO”).

IEP also notes that the White Paper focuses on a short-term capacity market—basically a month-ahead market. A month-ahead market may be useful in helping LSEs to meet their month-ahead RARs. A month-ahead capacity market that produces a stable price signal over time will also contribute to a healthier investment climate in California. Investment in long-lived assets, like power generation equipment,

demands stability. An enforceable RAR that consistently values and compensates capacity will help attract investment capital.

In addition, once the mechanisms and standard products of a month-ahead capacity market are in place, the same mechanisms and products could be used to facilitate commitments for longer periods. The capacity market is a tool for procuring resources, and that same tool may be used for additional purposes in response to evolving needs.

The White Paper discusses the role of a capacity market in mitigating the potential exercise of market power. IEP urges the Commission to carefully consider the need to distinguish true scarcity prices from the exercise of market power. Robust scarcity pricing mechanisms will improve the price signals coming from energy markets, which will stimulate both demand response and investment in existing and new generation units. Mitigating scarcity prices, which signal the need for new investment, will run counter to the Commission's goal of ensuring that California's energy infrastructure is sufficient to meet the needs of its citizens.

With these general observations in mind, IEP proceeds to address some of the details raised in the White Paper.

II. RESPONSE TO POLICY QUESTIONS AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

IEP recognizes that its views on capacity markets and the details of how capacity markets are developed will likely be affected by the highly anticipated decision on Resource Adequacy. IEP urges the Commission to allow parties an opportunity to

comment on capacity issues again after the draft decision on Resource Adequacy is issued.

In this section, IEP responds to the section on the lessons learned from Eastern markets and the related eight staff recommendations.

A. Lessons Learned

The White Paper identifies six lessons learned from the experience of Eastern capacity markets:

- 1. A vertical demand curve causes unwanted volatility in revenues, and exacerbates market power in the capacity market. A sloped demand curve mitigates these problems.**

IEP agrees that the experience in Eastern markets with vertical demand curves has resulted in volatile and unreliable prices signals. The use of sloped demand curves appears to have greatly reduced price volatility and provided more stable and reliable prices.

- 2. Capacity markets should use locational resource targets that account for transmission constraints.**

IEP has stressed the importance of deliverability in the RAR proceeding, and for similar reasons the capacity markets should reflect transmission restraints and transparently communicate them to the market.

- 3. Bilateral capacity markets should be accompanied by a centralized market that accommodates smaller LSEs. This does not interfere with bilateral contracting and can increase the efficiency and reduce the market power in bilateral markets.**

IEP agrees that a capacity market can and should serve as procurement tool in addition to bilateral contracting. A centralized market need not and should not

interfere with bilateral contracting. In all likelihood, bilateral contracting will be the primary means by which parties comply with the RA requirements.

4. The ICAP demand curve should account for peak energy-market revenue.

IEP notes that other markets adjust the demand curve payments to account for expected energy revenue. IEP believes that such adjustments, if implemented in California and over a longer timeframe, should be based on ex ante projections of market revenue, not ex post determinations of market revenue.

5. Capacity should not be defined as nameplate capacity, but should be adjusted for performance.

IEP agrees. Adjustment for performance emphasizes that capacity should come from physical assets.

6. The demand curve should be designed so the fixed-cost recovery is somewhat above normal when installed capacity is short of the target adequacy level and below normal when installed capacity is above this level.

This statement describes the goal established in some Eastern capacity markets. IEP notes that it took these markets several iterations to reach a demand curve that came close to performing as this statement describes. If the Commission adopts the demand curve approach, it should benefit from the experiences of other markets, but refinements as the market matures should be expected.

B. Policy Issues

The White Paper asks five policy questions derived from the lessons learned from other jurisdictions. IEP responds to these questions in the following paragraphs.

1. Would a downward sloping demand curve capacity market construct, similar to the New York approach, be an appropriate mechanism to support California's resource adequacy program?

In the context of an administratively established demand curve, the experience from other areas demonstrates that a downward sloping demand curve can simulate the true demand curve of a well-functioning market.

2. Would a capacity market, such as in New York, assist LSE's to make adjustments by being able to sell excess capacity or buy it when they are short?

The short answer is "Yes." A properly constructed capacity market should allow for fluid purchases and sales of capacity, which will allow LSEs to make appropriate adjustments to their portfolios as the real-time need for resource adequacy approaches. IEP expects that much of this activity would occur as the LSEs approach the required 100% showing for the month ahead.

3. Would this mechanism assist California in meeting its goals to be resource adequate and reach a minimum of 15-17% reserve margins?

Investment is made when markets are stable and dependable and prices are transparent. Capacity markets will provide stability and transparency, even if near-term new investment ultimately requires long-term bilateral contracting. Capacity markets that produce stable prices over the long term and are not threatened by regulatory intervention could produce the long-term expectations of stable revenue streams that could, by themselves, induce investment. In the near term, however, new investment almost certainly will be driven by bilateral contracting. A stable capacity market can help ameliorate the imperfections of energy markets.

A capacity market can also provide revenues to existing generators, which in some cases may be sufficient to ward off retirement of some needed reliability units. A reasonably liquid capacity market can also help LSEs fine-tune their portfolios to meet the target reserve margins.

4. To address deliverability concerns and meet the ISO's requirements, is it appropriate to investigate solutions for local areas as a first step?

Local areas present a microcosm of the larger system, and for that reason it may be easier to study deliverability and to develop solutions by focusing on the local area. Deliverability, however, is also a problem for the larger system, especially for contracts that are not supported by physical assets. If local deliverability requirements are not enforced (with penalties and sanctions for not meeting the requirements) within the RAR context, then the establishment of a capacity market cannot adequately meet local reliability needs.

5. Do capacity markets in local areas that are designed with downward sloping demand curves significantly mitigate market power concerns? What are other appropriate steps (e.g. subtraction of peak energy rents)?

The Commission must be careful to distinguish between prices that are the result of the exercise of market power and those that result from scarcity conditions. Failing to distinguish between the two will not promote investment in new generating resources. While a downward-sloping demand curve may address purported supplier market power, it also cushions the impacts of buyers' failure to procure by not letting the scarcity price go to higher levels.

C. Response to Staff's Recommendations

IEP offers the following comments to the eight recommendations staff makes in its White Paper:

Recommendation 1: Adopt a short-run capacity market approach with a downward sloping capacity-demand curve for the CAISO.

IEP commented on the short-run capacity market and the downward sloping demand curve in the previous sections.

Recommendation 2: Further investigate alternative availability metrics (e.g. UCAP v. ISO-NE's proposed metric based on performance during shortage conditions) and ensure development of availability metric that is applicable to hydro, wind, thermal and other generation technologies, and to appropriate demand response products.

Appropriate metrics are needed to reflect the relative reliability value of various generation technologies.

Recommendation 3: Consider subtraction of peak energy rents from the capacity payment.

While subtracting peak energy revenues from capacity payments may undermine the price signal to increase supply over the long term, subtracting energy rents, if done properly (*e.g.*, using ex ante, rather than ex post, adjustments), would seem a reasonable adjustment to the demand curve.

Recommendation 4: Adopt reasonable locational installed capacity requirements with locally varying demand curves.

Establishing local capacity requirements (and enforcing them) and local demand curves appropriately signals the need for new generation or transmission upgrades.

Recommendation 5: Consider protecting against capacity exports during times of

tight supply through the use of capacity prices that fluctuate seasonally.

Seasonal capacity prices can reflect the relative value of capacity at different times of the year. The total annual capacity payment, however, must be high enough to attract new investment in generation when capacity is expected to be in short supply. Moreover, to attract investment in peaking resources, the total seasonal capacity payment must be high enough to cover the fixed costs of a peaker.

Recommendation 6: Investigate the dependability of capacity import contracts during times of high West-wide load.

With regard to imports, the White Paper correctly highlights a significant distinction between California and the Eastern markets. IEP agrees with the White Paper's conclusion that imports are required to reliably meet California's demand. However, the White Paper implies, without fact or support, that imports may be less dependable than other resources and recommends the possibilities of "higher penalties for default, and/or a different price-setting mechanism."³

Imports are and will continue to be needed to support reliable operation of the California grid, and therefore imports must be accommodated without undue discrimination in the design of a capacity market. Import availability and capacity pricing should be determined on a comparable, but not discriminatory, basis. IEP believes that the proper forum for determining how to "count" the capacity contributions of imports (and other resources) is the Resource Adequacy proceeding.

Recommendation 7: Make the fixed-cost recovery curve explicit.

³ White Paper, p. 41.

An explicit fixed-cost recovery curve allows potential investors more certainty about whether or not they will be able to recover the fixed costs of a proposed plant.

Recommendation 8: Strive for regulatory credibility.

IEP agrees that regulatory credibility and consistency are crucial to the establishment of investor confidence.

III. ROLES AND TIMING

The White Paper solicits comments on the respective roles of the Commission and the CAISO. IEP concludes that the CAISO should play the dominant role in the development and administration of centralized capacity markets. Capacity markets are integral to the CAISO's central functions, and the CAISO is more likely to have the expertise among its staff to create and improve a new market. The Commission will continue to play a crucial supporting role, because of its jurisdiction over public utilities, Energy Service Providers, and Community Choice Aggregation. But the CAISO is more vitally concerned, on a daily basis, with capacity issues, and particularly the problems that arise when capacity is in short supply.

The Commission and the CAISO should continue to collaborate and consult, but the Commission should be prepared to take a secondary role to the CAISO in the development and day-to-day operations of capacity markets.

IV. CONCLUSION

IEP cannot overemphasize the excellent job the Energy Division has done in producing the White Paper. The Commission should follow up on its staff's fine work,

as it completes the other highly important actions that must be accomplished in the next six months. The capacity market will be an important component in the development of the California electricity market, along with other tasks that must be completed over the next six to nine months.

Respectfully submitted this September 23, 2005 at San Francisco,
California.

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI,
RITCHIE & DAY, LLP
Brian T. Cragg
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321

By S/Brian T. Cragg
Brian T. Cragg

Attorneys for the Independent Energy
Producers Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Melinda LaJaunie, certify that I have on this 23rd day of September 2005 caused a copy of the foregoing **COMMENTS OF THE INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION ON THE STAFF'S CAPACITY MARKETS WHITE PAPER; R.04-04-003** to be served on all the parties via Electronic Mail and/or Hand Delivery.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 23rd day of September 2005 at San Francisco, California.

S/Melinda LaJaunie
Melinda LaJaunie

SERVICE LIST – R.04-04-003
(Updated September 19, 2005)

ENERGY AMERICA, LLC KEITH MCCREA SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN keith.mccrea@sablaw.com ROGER A. BERLINER MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP rberliner@manatt.com LISA DECKER lisa.decker@constellation.com GARSON KNAPP FPL ENERGY, LLC garson_knapp@fpl.com JAMES ROSS RCS INC. jimross@r-c-s-inc.com OCCIDENTAL POWER SERVICES, INC. BP ENERGY COMPANY TOM SKUPNJAK CPG ENERGY toms@i-cpg.com APS ENERGY SERVICES COMPANY, INC. NEW WEST ENERGY CORPORATION LISA URICK SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY lurick@sempra.com HOWARD CHOY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES hchoy@isd.co.la.ca.us DAVID L. HUARD MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP dhuard@manatt.com MARGARET R. SNOW MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS msnow@manatt.com RANDALL W. KEEN MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP pucservice@manatt.com CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC. MAUREEN LENNON WHITE & CASE mlennon@whitecase.com	MICHAEL MAZUR 3 PHASES ELECTRICAL CONSULTING TANDY MCMANNES SOLAR THERMAL ELECTRIC ALLIANCE mcmannes@aol.com QUIET LLC GREGORY S.G. KLATT DOUGLASS & LIDDELL klatt@energyattorney.com KEVIN DUGGAN CAPSTONE TURBINE CORPORATION kduggan@capstoneturbine.com DANIEL W. DOUGLASS DOUGLASS & LIDDELL douglass@energyattorney.com AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK (A.U.N.) ANNETTE GILLIAM SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY annette.gilliam@sce.com BERJ K. PARSEGHIAN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY berj.parseghian@sce.com BETH A. FOX SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY beth.fox@sce.com CASE ADMINISTRATION SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY case.admin@sce.com JAMES WOODRUFF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY woodruff@sce.com MICHAEL A. BACKSTROM SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY michael.backstrom@sce.com ELIZABETH HULL CITY OF CHULA VISTA ehull@ci.chula-vista.ca.us SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS DONALD P. GARBER SEMPRA ENERGY dgarber@sempra.com	FREDERICK M. ORTLIEB CITY OF SAN DIEGO fortlieb@sandiego.gov GEORGETTA J. BAKER SEMPRA ENERGY gbaker@sempra.com THEODORE ROBERTS SEMPRA ENERGY troberts@sempra.com KEITH MELVILLE SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY KMelville@sempra.com MICHAEL SHAMES UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK mshames@ucan.org WILLIAM E. POWERS POWERS ENGINEERING bpowers@powersengineering.com CORAL POWER, LLC. PILOT POWER GROUP, INC. JOSEPH R. KLOBERDANZ SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC jkloberdanz@semprautilities.com WENDY KEILANI SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC wkeilani@semprautilities.com WENDY KEILANIA SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY WKeilani@semprautilities.com JOHN W. LESLIE LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS, LLP jleslie@luce.com KEITH E. FULLER ITRON, INC. keith.fuller@itron.com COMMERCE ENERGY, INC. COMMERCE ENERGY, INC. AOL UTILITY CORP. CITY OF CORONA DEPARTMENT OF WATER & POW GEORGE HANSON CITY OF CORONA george.hanson@ci.corona.ca.us	CHRIS KING CALIFORNIA CONSUMER EMPOWERMENT chris@emeter.com MARC D. JOSEPH ADAMS, BROADWELL, JOSEPH & CARDOZO mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com JEANNE SOLE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO jeanne.sole@sfgov.org JOSEPH PETER COMO CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO joe.como@sfgov.org MARION PELEO CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION map@cpuc.ca.gov MICHEL PETER FLORIO THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK mflorio@turn.org OSA ARMI SHUTE MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP armi@smwlaw.com Amy C Yip-Kikugawa CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ayk@cpuc.ca.gov Karen P Paull CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION kpp@cpuc.ca.gov Regina DeAngelis CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION rmd@cpuc.ca.gov KAREN TERRANOVA ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP filings@a-klaw.com NORA SHERIFF ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP nes@a-klaw.com ROD AOKI ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP rsa@a-klaw.com SHERYL CARTER NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL scarter@nrdc.org
--	--	--	---

SERVICE LIST – R.04-04-003
(Updated September 19, 2005)

EDWARD V. KURZ
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
evk1@pge.com

JENNIFER K. POST
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
jlk@pge.com

JOHN W. BOGY
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC
j0b5@pge.com

MARY A. GANDESBERY
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
magq@pge.com

BRIAN CRAGG
GOODIN, MAC BRIDE,
SQUERI, RITCHIE & DAY
bcragg@gmssr.com

BRIAN T. CRAGG
GOODIN MACBRIDE
SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY
LLP
bcragg@gmssr.com

CHRISTOPHER HILEN
DAVIS WRIGHT
TREMINE, LLP
chrishilen@dwt.com

EDWARD W. O'NEILL
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE
LLP
edwardoneill@dwt.com

JAMES D. SQUERI
GOODIN MACBRIDE
SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY
LLP
jsqueri@gmssr.com

JEANNE B. ARMSTRONG
RITCHIE & DAY, LLP
jarmstrong@gmssr.com

JOSEPH M. KARP
WHITE & CASE LLP
jkarp@whitecase.com

STEVEN F. GREENWALD
DAVIS WRIGHT
TREMINE, LLP
stevegreenwald@dwt.com

LISA A. COTTLE
WHITE & CASE LLP
lcottle@whitecase.com

MARK R. HUFFMAN
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
mrh2@pge.com

SARA STECK MYERS
LAW OFFICES OF SARA
STECK MYERS
ssmyers@att.net

LYNNE BROWN
CALIFORNIANS FOR
RENEWABLE ENERGY,
INC.
l_brown123@hotmail.com

MAURICE CAMPBELL
CALIFORNIANS FOR
RENEWABLE ENERGY,
INC.
mecsoft@pacbell.net

CALPINE POWERAMERICA-
CA, LLC

AVIS CLARK
CALPINE CORPORATION
aclark@calpine.com

LINDA Y. SHERIF
CALPINE CORPORATION
linda.sherif@calpine.com

MARJORIE OXSEN
CALPINE CORPORATION
moxsen@calpine.com

RICK NOGER
PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC.
rick_noger@praxair.com

WILLIAM H. BOOTH
LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM
H. BOOTH
wbooth@booth-law.com

ERIC C. WOYCHIK
STRATEGY INTEGRATION
LLC
eric@strategyi.com

RAMONA GONZALEZ
EAST BAY MUNICIPAL
UTILITY DISTRICT
ramonag@ebmud.com

REED V. SCHMIDT
BARTLE WELLS
ASSOCIATES
rschmidt@bartlewells.com

GREGG MORRIS
GREEN POWER INSTITUTE
gmorris@emf.net

JOHN GALLOWAY
UNION OF CONCERNED
SCIENTISTS
jgalloway@ucsusa.org

CLYDE MURLEY
CONSULTING ON ENERGY
AND ENVIRONMENT
clyde.murley@comcast.net

NANCY RADER
CALIFORNIA WIND
ENERGY ASSOCIATION
nrader@calwea.org

TOM BEACH
CROSSBORDER ENERGY
tomb@crossborderenergy.com

PATRICK MCDONNELL
AGLAND ENERGY
SERVICES, INC.
pcmcdonnell@earthlink.net

JENNIFER HOLMES
ITRON INC.
jennifer.holmes@itron.com

MICHAEL E. BOYD
CALIFORNIANS FOR
RENEWABLE ENERGY,
INC.
michaeledwardboyd@sbcglobal.net

JUSTIN D. BRADLEY
SILICON VALLEY
MANUFACTURING GROUP
jbradley@svmg.org

BARRY F. MCCARTHY
MCCARTHY & BERLIN, LLP
bmcc@mccarthy.com

C. SUSIE BERLIN
MC CARTHY & BERLIN,
LLP
sberlin@mccarthy.com

CHRISTOPHER J. MAYER
MODESTO IRRIGATION
DISTRICT
chrism@mid.org

JOY A. WARREN
MODESTO IRRIGATION
DISTRICT
joyw@mid.org

ROBERT SARVEY
CALIFORNIANS FOR
RENEWABLE ENERGY,
INC.
sarveybob@aol.com

DAVID KATES
DAVID MARK AND
COMPANY
dkates@sonic.net

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH
BARKOVICH & YAP, INC.
brbarkovich@earthlink.net

JOHN R. REDDING
ARCTURUS ENERGY
CONSULTING
johnredding@earthlink.net

JAMES WEIL
AGLET CONSUMER
ALLIANCE
jweil@aglet.org

JOHN C. GABRIELLI
GABRIELLI LAW OFFICE
gumbrelli@cs.com

SHAWN SMALLWOOD,
PH.D.
puma@davis.com

GEETA O. THOLAN
CALIFORNIA
INDEPENDENT SYSTEM
OPERATOR
gtholan@caiso.com

GRANT A. ROSENBLUM
CALIFORNIA
INDEPENDENT SYSTEM
OPERATOR
grosenblum@caiso.com

MATTHEW V. BRADY
MATTHEW V. BRADY &
ASSOCIATES
matt@bradylawus.com

DAN L. CARROLL
DOWNEY BRAND LLP
dcarroll@downeybrand.com

DOUGLAS K. KERNER
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER &
HARRIS LLP
dkk@eslawfirm.com

GREGGORY L.
WHEATLAND
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER &
HARRIS
glw@eslawfirm.com

LYNN HAUG
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER &
HARRIS, LLP
lmh@eslawfirm.com

STEVEN KELLY
INDEPENDENT ENERGY
PRODUCERS ASSN
steven@iepa.com

DIANA MAHMUD
STATE WATER
CONTRACTORS
dmahmud@mwdh2o.com

RONALD LIEBERT
CALIFORNIA FARM
BUREAU FEDERATION
rliebert@cfbf.com

MICHAEL ALCANTAR
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP
mpa@a-klaw.com

SERVICE LIST – R.04-04-003
(Updated September 19, 2005)

DONALD W. SCHOENBECK
RCS, INC.
dws@r-c-s-inc.com

BRIAN M. JONES
M.J. BRADLEY &
ASSOCIATES, INC.
bjones@mjbradley.com

CARLO ZORZOLI
ENEL NORTH AMERICA,
INC.
carlo.zorzoli@enel.it

ANDREA WELLER
STRATEGIC ENERGY, LTD
aweller@sel.com

MARY LYNCH
CONSTELLATION ENERGY
COMMODITIES GROUP
mary.lynych@constellation.com

ERIC YUSSMAN
FELLOW-MCCORD &
ASSOCIATES
eyussman@knowledgeinenergy.com

TRENT A. CARLSON
RELIANT ENERGY
tcarlson@reliant.com

GARY HINNERS
RELIANT ENERGY, INC.
ghinners@reliant.com

MICHAEL A. CRUMLEY
EL PASO CORPORATION
michael.crumley@elpaso.com

WAYNE TOMLINSON
EL PASO NATURAL GAS
william.tomlinson@elpaso.com

DAVID SAUL
SOLEL, INC.
dsaul@solel.com

CYNTHIA K. MITCHELL
ECONOMIC CONSULTING
INC.
ckmitchell1@sbcglobal.net

CURTIS KEBLER
GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO.
curtis.kebler@gs.com

KEVIN R. MCSPADDEN
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY
& MCCLOY LLP
kmcspadden@milbank.com

NORMAN A. PEDERSEN
HANNA AND MORTON LLP
npedersen@hanmor.com

COLIN M. LONG
PACIFIC ECONOMICS
GROUP
cmlong@earthlink.net

ROGER PELOTE
WILLIAMS POWER
COMPANY, INC.
roger.pelote@williams.com

FRANK J. COOLEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
EDISON COMPANY
frank.cooley@sce.com

JANET COMBS
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
EDISON COMPANY
janet.combs@sce.com

LAURA GENAO
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
EDISON COMPANY
laura.genao@sce.com

DON WOOD
PACIFIC ENERGY POLICY
CENTER
dwood8@cox.net

TIM HEMIG
REGIONAL
ENVIRONMENTAL
BUSINESS NRG ENER
tim.hemig@nrgenergy.com

DANIEL A. KING
SEMPRA ENERGY
daking@sempra.com

ROB RUNDLE
SANDAG
rru@sandag.org

KEITH W. MELVILLE
SEMPRA ENERGY
kmelville@sempra.com

DONALD C. LIDDELL, P.C.
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
liddell@energylawattorney.com

THOMAS CORR
SEMPRA ENERGY
tcorr@sempra.com

YVONNE GROSS
SEMPRA ENERGY
ygross@sempraglobal.com

ABBAS M. ABED
SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC
amabed@semprautilities.com

IRENE M. STILLINGS
SAN DIEGO REGIONAL
ENERGY OFFICE
irene.stillings@sdenergy.org

JOSEPH KLOBERDANZ
SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC COMPANY
jkloberdanz@semprautilities.com

KELLY M. MORTON
SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC
kmorton@sempra.com

MICHAEL SCHMIDT
SAN DIEGO GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
mschmidt@semprautilities.com

SUSAN FREEDMAN
SAN DIEGO REGIONAL
ENERGY OFFICE
susan.freedman@sdenergy.org

CENTRAL FILES
SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC
centralfiles@semprautilities.com

JOSE C. CERVANTES
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
jcervantes@sandiego.gov

KURT J. KAMMERER
SAN DIEGO REGIONAL
ENERGY OFFICE
kjk@kjkammerer.com

MARK SHIRILAU
ALOHA SYSTEMS, INC.
marks@alohasys.com

CHARLES R. TOCA
UTILITY SAVINGS &
REFUND, LLC
ctoca@utility-savings.com

MARK J. SKOWRONSKI
SOLARGENIX AT INLAND
ENERGY GROUP
mjskowronski@inlandenergy.com

JUNE M. SKILLMAN
jskillman@prodigy.net

RENEE HOFFMAN
CITY OF ANAHEIM
rhoffman@anaheim.net

JIM MCARTHUR
ELK HILLS POWER, LLC
jmcArthur@elkhills.com

LAUREN CASENTINI
D & R INTERNATIONAL
lcaseyntini@drintl.com

DIANE I. FELLMAN
LAW OFFICES OF DIANE I.
FELLMAN
diane_fellman@fpl.com

MATTHEW FREEDMAN
THE UTILITY REFORM
NETWORK
freedman@turn.org

NOEL A. OBIORA
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION
nao@cpuc.ca.gov

DANIELLE DOWERS
S. F. PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
ddowers@sfgwater.org

SEAN CASEY
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSIO
scasey@sfgwater.org

DEVRA BACHRACH
NATURAL RESOURCES
DEFENSE COUNCIL
dbachrach@nrdc.org

CHRIS ANN DICKERSON,
PHD
FREEMAN, SULLIVAN &
CO.
dickerson05@fscgroup.com

GRACE LIVINGSTON-
NUNLEY
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
gxl2@pge.com

VALERIE J. WINN
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
vjlw3@pge.com

ROSALIE E. JOHNSON
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS
OF CALIFORNIA, INC.
rejohnson@att.com

PETER BRAY
PETER BRAY AND
ASSOCIATES
petertbray@yahoo.com

CALIFORNIA ENERGY
MARKETS
cem@newsdata.com

JAMES A. BOOTHE
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
james.booth@hklaw.com

JEFFREY P. GRAY
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE
LLP
jeffgray@dwt.com

SERVICE LIST – R.04-04-003
(Updated September 19, 2005)

LISA WEINZIMER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
CIRCUIT
lisa_weinzimer@platts.com

LAW DEPARTMENT FILE
ROOM
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
cpuccases@pge.com

MARGARET D. BROWN
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
mdbk@pge.com

ED LUCHA
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
el15@pge.com

SEBASTIEN CSAPO
PACIFIC GAS AND
ELECTRIC COMPANY
sscb@pge.com

ROBIN J. WALTHER
rwalther@pacbell.net

BARRY R. FLYNN
FLYNN RESOURCE
CONSULTANTS, INC.
brflynn@flynnrci.com

MICHAEL ROCHMAN
SCHOOL PROJECT UTILITY
RATE REDUCTION
service@spurr.org

KEITH WHITE
keithwhite@earthlink.net

ANDREW J. VAN HORN
VAN HORN CONSULTING
vhconsult@earthlink.net

JAY BHALLA
INTERGY CORPORATION
jay.bhalla@intergycorp.com

JACK PIGOTT
CALPINE CORPORATION
jackp@calpine.com

KENNETH ABREU
CALPINE CORPORATION
kena@calpine.com

STEVEN S. SCHLEIMER
CALPINE CORPORATION
sschleimer@calpine.com

GREGORY T. BLUE
DYNEGY INC.
greg.blue@dynegy.com

MONA TIERNEY
CONSTELLATION
NEWENERGY, INC.
mona.tierney@constellation.com

WILLIAM H. CHEN
CONSTELLATION NEW
ENERGY, INC.
bill.chen@constellation.com

STANLEY I. ANDERSON
POWER VALUE
INCORPORATED
sia2@pwrval.com

CATHERINE E. YAP
BARKOVICH & YAP, INC.
ceyap@earthlink.net

MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC.
mrw@mrwassoc.com

DAVID HOWARTH
MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC.
mrw@mrwassoc.com

DAVID MARCUS
dmarcus2@sbcglobal.net

CRAIG TYLER
TYLER & ASSOCIATES
craigtyler@comcast.net

EDWARD VINE
LAWRENCE BERKELEY
NATIONAL LABORATORY
elvine@lbl.gov

RYAN WISER
BERKELEY LAB
rhwiser@lbl.gov

KAREN NOTSUND
UC ENERGY INSTITUTE
knotsund@uclink.berkeley.edu

PHILLIP J. MULLER
SCD ENERGY SOLUTIONS
philm@scdenergy.com

JAN REID
COAST ECONOMIC
CONSULTING
janreid@coastecon.com

WILLIAM B. MARCUS
JBS ENERGY, INC.
bill@jbsenergy.com

VIKKI WOOD
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL
UTILITY DISTRICT
vwood@smud.org

CARLOYN KEHREIN
ENERGY MANAGEMENT
SERVICES
cmkehrein@ems-ca.com

SCOTT BLAISING
BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C.
blaising@braunlegal.com

CALIFORNIA ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

ERIC LEUZE
CALIFORNIA
INDEPENDENT SYSTEM
OPERATOR
eleuze@caiso.com

PHILIP D. PETTINGILL
CAISO
ppettingill@caiso.com

ROBERT SPARKS
CALIFORNIA
INDEPENDANT SYSTEM
OPERATOR
rsparks@caiso.com

BRIAN THEAKER
WILLIAMS POWER
COMPANY
brian.theaker@williams.com

DAVID LA PORTE
NAVIGANT CONSULTING

ED CHANG
FLYNN RESOURCE
CONSULTANTS, INC.
edchang@flynnrci.com

BRUCE MCLAUGHLIN
BRAUN & BLAISING P.C.
mclaughlin@braunlegal.com

DAN GEIS
AGRICULTURAL ENERGY
CONSUMERS ASSO.
dgeis@dolphingroup.org

KEVIN WOODRUFF
WOODRUFF EXPERT
SERVICES
kdw@woodruff-expert-
services.com

LOREN KAYE
POLIS GROUP
lkaye@ka-pow.com

MELANIE GILLETTE
DUKE ENERGY NORTH
AMERICA
mlgillette@duke-energy.com

WILLIAM W.
WESTERFIELD III
STOEL RIVES LLP
www.westerfield@stoel.com

ANDREW B. BROWN
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER &
HARRIS, LLP
abb@eslawfirm.com

GREG BROWNELL
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL
UTILITY DISTRICT
gbrowne@smud.org

CAROLYN A. BAKER
cabaker906@sbcglobal.net

KAREN NORENE MILLS
CALIFORNIA FARM
BUREAU FEDERATION
kmills@cfbf.com

E. JESUS ARREDONDO
NRG ENERGY, INC.
jesus.arredondo@nrgenergy.com

KAREN LINDH
LINDH & ASSOCIATES
karen@klindh.com

NATHAN TOYAMA
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL
UTILITY DISTRICT
ntoyama@smud.org

DON WINSLOW
PPM ENERGY
don.winslow@ppmenergy.com

G. ALAN COMNES
DYNEGY POWER CORP.
alan.comnes@dynegy.com

MARK C. TREXLER
TREXLER
CLIMATE+ENERGY
SERVICES, INC.
mtrexler@climateservices.com

SAM SALTER
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY
samuel.r.sadler@state.or.us

LAURA J. SCOTT
LANDS ENERGY
CONSULTING INC.
lscott@landsenergy.com

LOS ANGELES DOCKET
OFFICE
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION
LAdocke@cpuc.ca.gov

Aaron J Johnson
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
ajo@cpuc.ca.gov

Brian D. Schumacher
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
bds@cpuc.ca.gov

SERVICE LIST – R.04-04-003
(Updated September 19, 2005)

Bruce Kaneshiro
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
bsk@cpuc.ca.gov

Carol A Brown
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
cab@cpuc.ca.gov

Christine S Tam
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
tam@cpuc.ca.gov

Donald R Smith
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
dsh@cpuc.ca.gov

Donna J Hines
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
djh@cpuc.ca.gov

Eugene Cadenasso
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
cpe@cpuc.ca.gov

Jack Fulcher
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
jef@cpuc.ca.gov

Julie A Fitch
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
jf2@cpuc.ca.gov

Karen A Degannes
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
kdg@cpuc.ca.gov

Karen M Shea
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
kms@cpuc.ca.gov

Kenneth Lewis
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
kl1@cpuc.ca.gov

Lainie Motamedi
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
lrm@cpuc.ca.gov

Lisa Paulo
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
lp1@cpuc.ca.gov

Manuel Ramirez
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
mzr@cpuc.ca.gov

Mark S. Wetzell
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
msw@cpuc.ca.gov

Maryam Ebke
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
meb@cpuc.ca.gov

Meg Gottstein
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
meg@cpuc.ca.gov

Merideth Sterkel
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
mts@cpuc.ca.gov

Nilgun Atamturk
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
nil@cpuc.ca.gov

Paul Douglas
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
psd@cpuc.ca.gov

Philippe Auclair
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
pha@cpuc.ca.gov

Robert Elliott
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
rae@cpuc.ca.gov

Robert Kinosian
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
gig@cpuc.ca.gov

Scott Logan
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
sjl@cpuc.ca.gov

Shannon Eddy
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
sed@cpuc.ca.gov

Stephen St. Marie
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
sst@cpuc.ca.gov

Steve Linsey
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
car@cpuc.ca.gov

Terrie D Prosper
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
tdp@cpuc.ca.gov

Theresa Cho
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
txc@cpuc.ca.gov

Valerie Beck
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
vjb@cpuc.ca.gov

Zenaida G. Tapawan-Conway
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
ztc@cpuc.ca.gov

MARGARET TOBIAS
info@tobiaslo.com

ANDREW ULMER
SIMPSON PARTNERS, LLP
andrew@simpsonpartners.com

MICHAEL MESSENGER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
Mmesseng@energy.state.ca.us

JAMES MCMAHON
NAVIGANT CONSULTING,
INC.
JMcMahon@navigantconsultin
g.com

MEG GOTTSTEIN
meg@cpuc.ca.gov

BRETT FRANKLIN
CALIFORNIA ELECTRICITY
OVERSIGHT BOARD
bfranklin@eob.ca.gov

CONNIE LENI
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
cleni@energy.state.ca.us

Don Schultz
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
dks@cpuc.ca.gov

JENNIFER TACHERA
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
jtachera@energy.state.ca.us

KAREN GRIFFIN
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
kgriffin@energy.state.ca.us

MICHAEL JASKE
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
mjaske@energy.state.ca.us

PIERRE H. DUVAIR
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
pduvair@energy.state.ca.us

Thomas Flynn
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
trf@cpuc.ca.gov

TOM GLAVIANO
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
tglaviano@energy.state.ca.us

Wade McCartney
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
wsm@cpuc.ca.gov

PEGGY BERNARDY
CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF WATER
RESOURCES
dsandino@water.ca.gov

FERNANDO DE LEON
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
fdeleon@energy.state.ca.us

ARLEN ORCHARD
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL
UTILITY DISTRICT
aorchard@smud.org

JOHN PACHECO
CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF WATER
RESOURCES
jpacheco@water.ca.gov

KENNETH GLICK
CALIFORNIA ELECTRICITY
OVERSIGHT BOARD
kglick@eob.ca.gov

RON WETHERALL
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
rwethera@energy.state.ca.us

ROSS A. MILLER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
rmiller@energy.state.ca.us

PUC/X69951.v1