CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DIVISION OF WATER AND AUDITS

Advice Letter Cover Sheet

The protest or response deadline for this advice letter is 20 days from the date that this advice letter was mailed to the service list. Please

□ Compliance

Date Mailed to Service List: December 23, 2022

Protest Deadline (20th Day): January 12, 2023

Review Deadline (30th Day): January 22, 2023

Rate Impact: \$See AL

See AL%

Requested Effective Date: TBD

Utility Name: California American Water

District: Monterey Service Area

Tier □1 □2 ⊠3

Description: Pure Water Monterey Expansion – Potential

Mercury Treatment

see the "Response or Protest" section in the advice letter for more information.

CPUC Utility #: U210W

Authorization D.22-12-001

Advice Letter #: 1402

Utility Contact:	Chase Grady		Utility Contact:	Jonathan Morse	
Phone:			Phone:	916-568-4237	
Email:	chase.grady@amwater	.com	Email:	Jonathan.morse@amwater.com	
DWA Contact:	Tariff Unit				
Phone:	(415) 703-1133				
Email:	Water.Division@cpuc.c	ca.gov			
DWA USE ONLY					
<u>DATE</u>	<u>STAFF</u>		<u>CO</u>	<u>MMENTS</u>	
		-			
		-			
		-			
		-			
[] APPROVED		[]WITHDR	AWN	[] REJECTED	
C' 1					
		Comme	ents:		
Date:				_	





520 Capitol Mall, Suite 630 Sacramento, CA 95814

www.amwater.com

P (916) 568-4237

Decemeber 23, 2022

ADVICE LETTER NO. 1402

TO THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

California-American Water Company (U210W) (California American Water) submits this advice letter applicable to its Monterey Main service area customers.

Purpose:

By this advice letter, California American Water complies with Ordering Paragraph 4 of Decision ("D.") 22-12-001 and requests authorization to refile this Tier 3 advice letter, either as a supplement or as a new filing, at a time when cost estimates can be provided.

Background:

On December 5, 2022, the Public Utilities Commission issued D.22-12-001. D.22-12-001 authorized, among other items, cost caps for certain facilities necessary for the Pure Water Monterey ("PWM") Expansion Project. The facilities included extraction wells EW-1 and EW-2. EW-1 and EW-2 will be located at the Seaside Middle School, which is also the location of ASR Well 4 ("ASR-4"). In D.22-12-001, the Commission found "[w]ater samples collected from well ASR-4 on June 16, 2021, and July 6, 2021, contained concentrations of mercury above the maximum contamination level set by SWRCB." The Commission further noted that:

The presence of mercury at ASR-4 is of concern for the PWM Expansion Project because the proposed EW-1/EW-2 facility is also located at the Seaside Middle School. As the primary extraction site for the PWM Expansion Project, Cal-Am must demonstrate that mercury contamination in groundwater at the Seaside Middle School will not impact PWM Expansion Project wells EW-1 or EW-2, since Cal-

¹ D.22-12-001, p.80, Finding of Fact 25.

Am's current budget contemplates disinfection but not treatment of extracted groundwater for mercury.²

Based on its findings, the Commission ordered California American Water to file this Tier 3 advice letter as follows:

4. California-American Water Company must file a "Response to Inquiry" within 30 days of the issuance date of this decision, providing additional information discussing the extent of mercury above maximum contamination levels in the vicinity of ASR-4, the potential for mercury to impact extracted water from the EW-1/EW-2 site, any proposal to treat the mercury, and the potential cost impacts from mercury treatment as a Tier 3 advice letter to the California Public Utilities Commission's Water Division within 30 days of the issuance date of this decision. Water Division is directed to increase the cost cap herein authorized for the EW-1/EW-2 facility, as reasonable, to address additional remediation measures.³

Response to Inquiry:

California American Water worked with Valentine Environmental Engineers, LLC in order to provide the required response to inquiry. The following summarizes those findings.

1. Extent of mercury above maximum contamination levels in the vicinity of ASR-4.

Mercury can be either naturally occurring or found as a result of anthropogenic sources (such as from production of electrical products and other mercury-laden wastes).

The available water quality results indicate that other wells within a five (5) mile vicinity of EW-1/EW-2 are not typically subject to elevated mercury concentrations. While there is a chance that anthropogenic contamination may have resulted in the elevated concentration of mercury at the existing ASR Wells 1, 2 and 4, the issue is likely created by the combined injection and extraction operation of each well creating further disturbance of the subterranean soils local to each well and resulting in atypical release of naturally occurring mercury into the groundwater. Investigations California American Water has performed

² D.22-12-001, p.33.

³ D.22-12-001, pp. 87-88, Ordering Paragraph 4.

indicate mercury detection is from particles released from mercury containing aquifer deposits due to initial injection/extraction operations (flow direction change causing particle release).

To further support the theory of injection/extraction operation resulting in atypical release of mercury, the observed mercury issues at ASR 4 well have been temporary. When the well operation is changed from injection to extraction mode, the elevated mercury concentrations are only observed for a period of time before concentrations drop below the MCL. Thus far the period of time with elevated mercury in the ASR wells has been in the range of 1 to 2 years, but appears dependent on factors including the length of the injection time period as well as time between injection and extraction operations.

2. The potential for mercury to impact extracted water from the EW-1/EW-2 site.

Based on the data for wells in the area around the proposed EW-1 and EW-2 wells and because these wells will only be operated in extraction mode (California American Water has no plans to alternate injection and extraction at these wells), a mercury issue is not anticipated at EW-1 and EW-2.

The extent of whether mercury above the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 2 ug/L will be an issue at the new extraction wells (EW-1/EW-2) cannot be confirmed until a test well is drilled and tested for water quality. The test well drilling and testing will be completed before the production extraction wells are constructed and thus will confirm whether mercury is present above MCL and warrants treatment. If mercury is encountered from the test well analysis or future water quality sampling, the EW-1/EW-2 site design(s) can be adjusted to include mercury treatment.

3. Any proposal to treat the mercury.

Based on the foregoing, a proposal for mercury treatment is not included at this time.

4. The potential cost impacts from mercury treatment.

For the cost impacts of mercury treatment for the future extraction wells, if warranted, the concentrations of mercury and flows from the wells would need to be defined as these could significantly impact the treatment required and the treatment cost.

The test well is estimated to be completed by mid-2023 or sooner. If mercury is encountered in the test well that is above the MCL, there would be an expected timeframe of 30-60 days (1-2 months) needed in order to develop a range of

treatment system cost estimates for the Tier 3 advice letter to the CPUC. A range of cost estimates would be provided as the production well flowrate is also a factor in the cost of the treatment system; however, this production flowrate would not be confirmed until the actual extraction wells (EW-1 and EW-2) were drilled and tested.

Request:

For the reasons described above – the anticipation that no mercury above the MCL will be found and that costs cannot be determined unless and until it is found in the test well – providing cost estimates for treatment is not possible at this time. Therefore, California American Water requests the ability to refile this Tier 3 advice letter, either as a supplement or as a new filing, at a time when cost estimates can be provided. As described above, the filing would be made approximately two months after any potential discovery of mercury above the MCL in the test well. Should this timeline change, California American will communicate the potential changes to Water Division.

Tier Designation:

This advice letter is submitted pursuant to General Order No. 96-B and D.22-12-001 and is designated as a Tier 3 filing.

Effective Date:

California American Water requests the advice letter be made effective following a resolution from the CPUC.

Notice:

Pursuant to Section 4.3 of General Order No. 96-B, a copy of this advice letter is being provided to those entities listed in the attached "SERVICE LIST PURSUANT TO SECTION 4.3 OF G.O. NO. 96-B." Per guidance from the California Public Utilities Commission's Water Division, during the COVID-19 pandemic, advice letters will only be delivered electronically to the service list.

RESPONSE OR PROTEST⁴

Anyone may submit a response or protest for this AL. When submitting a response or protest, <u>please include the utility name and advice letter number in the subject line.</u>

A **response** supports the filing and may contain information that proves useful to the Commission in evaluating the AL. A **protest** objects to the AL in whole or in

⁴ G.O. 96-B, General Rule 7.4.1

part and must set forth the specific grounds on which it is based. These grounds⁵ are:

- (1) The utility did not properly serve or give notice of the AL;
- (2) The relief requested in the AL would violate statute or Commission order, or is not authorized by statute or Commission order on which the utility relies;
- (3) The analysis, calculations, or data in the AL contain material error or omissions:
- (4) The relief requested in the AL is pending before the Commission in a formal proceeding; or
- (5) The relief requested in the AL requires consideration in a formal hearing, or is otherwise inappropriate for the AL process; or
- (6) The relief requested in the AL is unjust, unreasonable, or discriminatory, provided that such a protest may not be made where it would require relitigating a prior order of the Commission.

A protest may not rely on policy objections to an AL where the relief requested in the AL follows rules or directions established by statute or Commission order applicable to the utility. A protest shall provide citations or proofs where available to allow staff to properly consider the protest.

DWA must receive a response or protest via email (<u>or</u> postal mail) within 20 days of the date the AL is filed. When submitting a response or protest, <u>please include</u> the utility name and advice letter number in the subject line.

The addresses for submitting a response or protest are:

Email Address: Mailing Address:

Water.Division@cpuc.ca.gov CA Public Utilities Commission

Division of Water and Audits 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

On the same day the response or protest is submitted to DWA, the respondent or protestant shall send a copy of the protest to California American Water at:

Email Address: Mailing Address:

<u>chase.grady@amwater.com</u> 520 Capital Mall, Suite 630

Sacramento, CA 95814

sarah.leeper@amwater.com 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 816

San Francisco, CA 94111

_

⁵ G.O. 96-B, General Rule 7.4.2

jonathan.morse@amwater.com 520 Capital Mall, Suite 630 Sacramento, CA 95814

Cities and counties that need Board of Supervisors or Board of Commissioners approval to protest should inform DWA, within the 20 day protest period, so that a late filed protest can be entertained. The informing document should include an estimate of the date the proposed protest might be voted on.

REPLIES⁶

The utility shall reply to each protest and may reply to any response. Any reply must be received by DWA within five business days after the end of the protest period, and shall be served on the same day on each person who filed the protest or response to the AL.

The actions requested in this advice letter are not now the subject of any formal filings with the California Public Utilities Commission, including a formal complaint, nor action in any court of law.

This filing will not cause the withdrawal of service, nor conflict with other schedules or rules.

If you have not received a reply to your protest within 10 business days, please contact Jonathan Morse at (916) 568-4237.

CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

/s/ Chase Grady

Chase Grady
Associate Rates & Regulatory Analyst

⁶ G.O. 96-B, General Rule 7.4.3

MONTEREY COUNTY DISTRICT SERVICE LIST CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

BY MAIL:

Alco Water Service 249 Williams Road Salinas, CA 93901

Yazdan Emrani, P.E.

Deputy Pub Works Director – Operations Monterey County DPW 168 W. Alisal Street, 2nd floor Salinas, CA 93901-2680

Ann Camel
City Clerk
City of Salinas
200 Lincoln Avenue
Salinas, CA 93901

Karen Crouch City Clerk,

Carmel-By-The-Sea PO Box CC

PO BOX CC

Carmel-by-the-Sea, CA 93921

Marc J. Del Piero 4062 El Bosque Drive

Pebble Beach, CA 93953-3011

Monterey Regional Water Pollution

Control Agency (MRWPCA) 5 Harris Court Road. Bldg D.

Monterey, CA 93940

City of Pacific Grove

c/o Community Development Department

Attention: Sarah Hardgrave 300 Forest Ave., 2nd floor Pacific Grove, CA 93950

City of Sand City

City Hall

California & Sylvan Avenues Sand City, CA 93955

Attn: City Clerk

Darryl D. Kenyon

Monterey Commercial Property Owners

Association P.O. Box 1953 Monterey, CA 93942 Vibeke Norgaard

City Attorney of Sand City

P.O. Box 183 Carmel, CA 93921 Monterey Peninsula Water Management

District

Chief Financial Officer

P.O. Box 85

Monterey, CA 93942

City of Pacific Grove City Attorney/City Hall 300 Forest Ave 2nd floor Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Deborah Mall, City Attorney

City of Monterey 512 Pierce Street Monterey, CA 93940

Irvin L. Grant

Deputy County Counsel County of Monterey

168 W. Alisal Street, 3rd floor Salinas, CA 93901-2680

Joe Lucido

25417 Boots Road Monterey, CA 93940

Los Angeles Docket Office California Public Utilities Commission 320 West 4th Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, CA 90013

MONTEREY COUNTY DISTRICT SERVICE LIST CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

BY E-MAIL:

John K. Hawks
Executive Director
California Water Association
601 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 2047
San Francisco, CA 94102-3200
jhawks cwa@comcast.net

Richard Rauschmeier
California Public Utilities Commission
DRA - Water Branch, Rm 4209
505 Van Ness Ave
San Francisco, CA 94102
rra@cpuc.ca.gov

City of Del Rey Oaks, City Hall 650 Canyon Del Rey Road Del Rey Oaks, CA 93940 Attn: City Clerk

citymanager@delreyoaks.org <u>kminami@delreyoaks.org</u> City of Seaside, City Hall

Attn: City Clerk Seaside, CA 93955

dhodgson@ci.seaside.ca.us

David C. Laredo and Fran Farina DeLay & Laredo 606 Forest Ave Pacific Grove, CA 93950 dave@laredolaw.net fran@laredolaw.net

Jon R. Giffen
City Attorney
City of Carmel-By-The-Sea
P.O. Box 805
Carmel-By-The-Sea, CA 93921
jgiffen@kaglaw.net

Brent Reitz
Capital Services
P.O. Box 1767
Pebble Beach CA 93953
reitzb@pebblebeach.com

Arlene Tavani Monterey Peninsula Water Mgmt Dist. Executive Assistant arlene@mpwmd.net

Monterey Peninsula Water Mgmt Dist. Chief Financial Officer P.O. Box 85 Monterey, CA 93942 <u>suresh@mpwmd.net</u> George Riley

Citizens for Public Water 1198 Castro Road Monterey, CA 91940 georgetriley@gmail.com

Mike Niccum
General Manager
Pebble Beach Community Services District
3101 Forest Lake Road
Pebble Beach, CA 93953
mniccum@pbcsd.org

Carmel Area Wastewater District 3945 Rio Road Carmel, CA 93923 buikema@cawd.org

Sheri Damon
City of Seaside, City Attorney
440 Harcourt Avenue
Seaside, CA 93955
cityatty@ix.netcom.com

Division of Ratepayer Advocates California Public Utilities Commission dra water al@cpuc.ca.gov

David Heuck
Accounting
2700 17 Mile Drive
Pebble Beach, CA 93953
heuckd@pebblebeach.com

Jim Heisinger P.O. Box 5427 Carmel, CA 93921 hbm@carmellaw.com

City of Monterey, City Hall Attn: City Clerk Monterey, CA 93940 cityclerk@monterey.org cao@monterey.org cmo@monterey.org Clerk of the Board County of Monterey P.O. Box 1728 Salinas, CA 93902 cob@co.monterey.ca.us

City of Salinas
Vanessa W. Vallarta – City Attorney
200 Lincoln Avenue
Salinas, CA 93901
vanessav@ci.salinas.ca.us
chrisc@ci.salinas.ca.us

CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY ADVICE LETTER 1402 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR STAFF

Pure Water Monterey Expansion – Potential Mercury Treatment – Monterey Service Area TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Workpaper 1-1 D.22-12-001 Excerpts	1-1
Workpaper 2-1 EW-1 and 2 Mercury Memorandum	2-1

Decision 22-12-001 December 1, 2022

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) to Obtain Approval of the Amended and Restated Water Purchase Agreement for the Pure Water Monterey Groundwater Replenishment Project, Update Supply and Demand Estimates for the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project, and Cost Recovery.

Application 21-11-024

DECISION AUTHORIZING CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY TO ENTER INTO THE PURE WATER MONTEREY GROUNDWATER REPLENISHMENT EXPANSION PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE CONSTRUCTION OF FOUR COMPANY-RELATED FACILITIES AND ASSOCIATED RATEMAKING TREATMENT

499515145 - 1 -

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. California-American Water Company is authorized to enter into the Amended and Restated Water Purchase Agreement, attached to this decision as Appendix A.
- 2. California-American Water Company (Cal-Am) shall actively participate in each Monterey One Water (M1W) and Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD), or their successor entities, rate proceedings involving the Amended and Restated Water Purchase Agreement (Amended WPA), attached to this decision as Appendix A. Cal-Am shall serve and file its written comments to the M1W or MPWMD proposal in the applicable rate proceeding(s). Cal-Am's written comments shall identify any and all concerns of Cal-Am with M1W's and MPWMD's proposals and provide alternative recommendations, if appropriate. If Cal-Am has no concerns, Cal-Am, in its written comments, shall state that it has no concerns. At the time Cal-Am serves and files its comments on the service list of the rate proceeding at issue, including M1W or MPWMD, Cal-Am shall simultaneously serve an electronic copy of the comments on the Commission's Director of Water Division and the service list of this proceeding.
- 3. California-American Water Company is authorized to construct and operate the following Company-related facilities: (1) extraction wells EW-1 and EW-2, and the chemical treatment facility; (2) extraction wells EW-3 and EW-4, and related piping; (3) the General Jim Moore Parallel Pipeline and the 1,100-foot section of the Transfer Pipeline; and (4) the Carmel Valley Pump Station.
- 4. California-American Water Company must file a "Response to Inquiry" within 30 days of the issuance date of this decision, providing additional

information discussing the extent of mercury above maximum contamination levels in the vicinity of ASR-4, the potential for mercury to impact extracted water from the EW-1/EW-2 site, any proposal to treat the mercury, and the potential cost impacts from mercury treatment as a Tier 3 advice letter to the California Public Utilities Commission's Water Division within 30 days of the issuance date of this decision. Water Division is directed to increase the cost cap herein authorized for the EW-1/EW-2 facility, as reasonable, to address additional remediation measures.

- 5. The Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan, attached to this decision as Appendix C, is adopted.
- 6. California-American Water Company (Cal-Am) shall carry out the following identified mitigation measures associated with the construction of Cal-Am's facilities which are detailed in the attached Appendix C: AE-2, AE-3, AE-4, AQ-1, BT-1a to BT-1d, BT-1f, BT-1h to BT-1k, BT-1m, BT-4, CR-2b, CR-2c, EN-1, NV-1a, NV-1c, NV-1e, NV-1f, NV-2, PS-3, TR-2, TR-3, and TR-4.
- 7. California-American Water Company (Cal-Am) is authorized to construct wells EW-3, EW-4, and the associated pipelines, on condition that Cal-Am complies with the following identified mitigation measures associated with the construction of Cal-Am's facilities which are detailed in the attached Appendix C: AE-2, AE-3, AE-4, AQ-1, BT-1a to BT-1d, BT-1f, BT-1h to BT-1k, BT-1m, BT-4, CR-2b, CR-2c, EN-1, NV-1a, NV-1c, NV-1e, NV-1f, NV-2, PS-3, TR-2, TR-3, and TR-4.
- 8. California-American Water Company is authorized to track direct costs for the four Company-related facilities, including the allowance for funds used during construction, in a subaccount of the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply

Project Phase 1 Costs Memorandum Account called the "PWM Expansion Project Costs Memorandum Account."

- 9. California-American Water Company shall submit a Tier 1 Advice Letter to the Commission's Water Division within 30 days of the date of issuance of this decision requesting to establish the PWM Expansion Project Costs Memorandum Account for the purpose of tracking PWM Expansion Project costs.
- 10. Within 60 days after operation commences at any of the Company-related facilities approved in this decision, California-American Water Company shall notify the Director of the Commission's Water Division by electronic letter indicating that the facility is completed and fully in service.
- 11. Within 60 days of notifying the Commission's Water Division of facility operation, California-American Water Company (Cal-Am) shall seek recovery of the costs of Company-related facilities up to the following cost caps using a Tier 2 Advice Letter: (1) \$16,723,704 for extraction wells EW-1 and EW-2, and the chemical treatment facility; (2) \$30,220,960 for extraction wells EW-3 and EW-4 and related piping; (3) \$8,264,655 for the General Jim Moore Parallel Pipeline and the 1,100-foot section of the Transfer Pipeline; and (4) \$6,475,000 for the Carmel Valley Pump Station. Cal-Am's Tier 2 AL filing shall provide the following: (1) a description of the facilities that are used and useful; (2) whether the costs are reasonable; and (3) whether the facilities are appropriately sized.
- 12. California-American Water Company is authorized to seek recovery for the additional costs incurred subsequent to October 2021, when the costs exceed the rate caps adopted for the Company-related facilities, through the next applicable general rate case.

- 13. All rulings issued to date by the assigned Commissioner and the assigned Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding are affirmed.
- 14. All pending motions relating to issues in Phase 1 of this proceeding, not expressly addressed by the assigned Administrative Law Judge or assigned Commissioner are denied.
 - 15. Application 21-11-024 remains open to resolve Phase 2 issues. This order is effective today.

Dated December 1, 2022, at San Francisco, California.

ALICE REYNOLDS
President
CLIFFORD RECHTSCHAFFEN
GENEVIEVE SHIROMA
DARCIE L. HOUCK
JOHN REYNOLDS
Commissioners

advanced innovation. pure water.

MEMORANDUM

To: Tim O'Halloran

California American Water

From: Samantha Terrell, PE

Teresa Valentine, PE

Valentine Environmental Engineers, LLC

RE: Extraction Wells (EW) 1 and 2 Anticipated Mercury Issues

Date: December 21, 2022

Introduction

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has requested that California American Water (CAW) discuss potential for mercury to impact the future extraction wells (EW) 1 and 2. California American Water is authorized to construct and operate EW-1 and EW-2 as well as the chemical addition facility, which will all be located to the west of General Jim Moore Boulevard and north of Coe Avenue. Mercury issues have been observed in CAW's existing ASR Wells – specifically ASR Well 4. ASR Well 4 is located west of General Jim Moore Boulevard and north of Coe Avenue. The new EW-1 and EW-2 will be located a couple hundred feet north/northwest of the ASR Well 4. A figure showing the overall locations of CAW wells is included in Figure 1.

The CPUC requested additional discussion on the following related to the EW-1 and EW-2 project:

- Extent of mercury above maximum contaminant levels (MCL) in the vicinity of ASR-4
- Potential for mercury to impact extracted water from the EW-1/EW-2 site
- Any proposal to treat mercury
- Potential cost impacts from mercury treatment as a Tier 3 advice letter to the CPUC

Mercury Issue Background

As stated in the State Water Resources Control Board's published groundwater information sheet for mercury, mercury can be either naturally occurring or found as a result of anthropogenic sources (such as from production of electrical products and other mercury-laden wastes).

The Groundwater Information System (GAMA) was explored to assess whether mercury has been observed within the last 10 years in any type of well within a five (5) mile radius of the new extraction wells 1 and 2 (EW-1 and EW-2). Figure 2 is included in the attached and shows that for the reported water quality, only one well other than the ASR Wells 1, 2 and 4 had a reported mercury concentration above 2 ug/L in the last 10 years. This was within one sampling event for the well, which is

advanced innovation. pure water.

located near Fremont Street and Highway 218, which occurred in 2015 with a reported mercury concentration of 2.1 ug/L.

Thus, the available water quality results indicate that other wells within a five (5) mile vicinity of EW-1/EW-2 are not typically subject to elevated mercury concentrations. While there is a chance that anthropogenic contamination may have resulted in the elevated concentration of mercury at the existing ASR Wells 1, 2 and 4, the issue is likely created by the combined injection and extraction operation of each well creating further disturbance of the subterranean soils local to each well and resulting in atypical release of naturally occurring mercury into the groundwater. Investigations CAW has performed indicate mercury detection is from particles released from mercury containing aquifer deposits due to initial injection/extraction operations (flow direction change causing particle release).

This theory is especially considered as the GAMA shows there are no other wells with reported elevated mercury concentrations within the last 10 years in the vicinity. California American Water's nearby extraction-only Paralta Well (located at General Jim Moore Boulevard and Coe Avenue as shown in Figure 1), for example, has historically not had mercury concentrations in excess of the MCL. The Paralta well located is approximately 1,000 feet south from the ASR 4 well.

To further support the theory of injection/extraction operation resulting in atypical release of mercury, the observed mercury issues at ASR 4 well have been temporary. When the well operation is changed from injection to extraction mode, the elevated mercury concentrations are only observed for a period of time before concentrations drop below the MCL. Thus far the period of time with elevated mercury in the ASR wells has been in the range of 1 to 2 years, but appears dependent on factors including the length of the injection time period as well as time between injection and extraction operations.

Potential for Mercury Issue at EW-1 and EW-2

Based on the data for wells in the area around the proposed EW-1 and EW-2 wells and because these wells will only be operated in extraction mode (CAW has no plans to alternate injection and extraction at these wells), a mercury issue is not anticipated at EW-1 and EW-2.

The extent of whether mercury above the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 2 ug/L will be an issue at the new extraction wells (EW-1/EW-2) can not be confirmed until a test well is drilled and tested for water quality. The test well drilling and testing will be completed before the production extraction wells are constructed and thus will confirm whether mercury is present above MCL and warrants treatment. If mercury is encountered from the test well analysis or future water quality sampling, the EW-1/EW-2 site design(s) can be adjusted to include mercury treatment.

A proposal for mercury treatment is not included at this time. For the cost impacts of mercury treatment for the future extraction wells, if warranted, the concentrations of



advanced innovation. pure water.

mercury and flows from the wells would need to be defined as these could significantly impact the treatment required and the treatment cost.

The test well is estimated to be completed by mid-2023 or sooner. If mercury is encountered in the test well that is above the MCL, there would be an expected timeframe of 30-60 days (1-2 months) needed in order to develop a range of treatment system cost estimates for the Tier 3 advice letter to the CPUC. A range of cost estimates would be provided as the production well flowrate is also a factor in the cost of the treatment system; however, this production flowrate would not be confirmed until the actual extraction wells (EW-1 and EW-2) were drilled and tested.



California American Water | Extraction Wells (EW) 1 and 2 Anticipated Mercury Issues

Bayonet & Black Horse ruture EW-1 and EW-2 SMS ASR-4 General Jim Moore Blvd Legend Paralta wel Existing ASR Well SM ASR-2 Future Extraction Well SM ASR-1

Vicinity of future extraction wells (EW) 1 and 2. Exact locations to be confirmed



California American Water | Extraction Wells (EW) 1 and 2 Anticipated Mercury Issues

