

July 15, 2016

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Timothy Sullivan Executive Director California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, California 94102-3298

**RE:** PacifiCorp (U 901-E) Annual Electric Reliability Report in Compliance with D.16-01-008

In compliance with California Public Utilities Commission Decision (D.) 16-01-008, enclosed is PacifiCorp's Annual Electric Reliability Report for January 1, 2015 – December 31, 2015. Please note that the planned outage data is considered confidential subject to California Public Utilities Code Section 583, General Order 66-C and D.16-01-008. In compliance with D.16-01-008, this information is submitted under seal.

If you have any questions, please contact Melissa Nottingham, Customer and Regulatory Manager, at (503) 813-5136, or Cathie Allen, Regulatory Affairs Manager, at (503) 813-5934.

Very truly yours,

R. Bryce Dalley

Vice President, Regulation

Enclosure

C: Edward Randolph David K. Lee



# Pacific Power's Annual Report:

California
Electric Reliability Report
(PUBLIC VERSION)

Calendar Year 2015 Review (January 1 – December 31, 2015)

### Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Outage Data Collection Process	4
Data Collected: Conventions, Indices and Certain Definitions	5
Cost Effective Improvements	θ
Worst Performing Circuits	θ
Service Territory Map	8
State Reliability Underlying Indices - Excluding Planned Outages: Ten-Year SAIDI, S	
Distribution	8
Transmission	12
Combined Transmission and Distribution	15
District Reliability Underlying Indices - Excluding Planned Outages: Ten-Year SAID Results	-
Crescent City	18
Yreka/Mt. Shasta	21
Tulelake/Alturas	24
State and District Reliability Underlying Indices - Including Planned Outages: Ten- SAIFI and CAIDI Results	
State	27
Crescent City	30
Yreka/Mt. Shasta	33
Tulelake/Alturas	36
Planned Outage by District	39
Top Ten Unplanned Power Outage Events for 2015	41
Major Event Summary	42
Historical Top Ten Unplanned Power Outage Events – 2014 through 2005	42
Customer Inquiries and Response	46
Customer Reliability Communications	46
Reliability Inquiry and Complaint Process Overview	46
Customer Reliability Inquiry/Complaint Tracking	47
2015 Customer Reliability Inquiry Responses	47

#### Introduction

In rulemaking R.14-12-014, the California Public Utilities Commission developed rules regarding changes to the state's required reliability reporting requirements for California investor owned electric utilities (IOUs), as outlined in D 16-01-008<sup>1</sup> (the Order). The report is being filed in compliance with those rules. The scope of the rulemaking included the following tasks:

- 1. Review of current reliability reporting requirements;
- Develop revised annual reporting requirements that include information about frequency and duration of outages;
- 3. Define the term "local area" for reliability reporting;
- 4. Clarify the term "major event day" (to align with definition of local area for reliability reporting);
- 5. Develop criteria and methodology for identifying worst performing circuits;
- 6. Develop an approach for demonstrating cost-effective remediation and determining cost recovery procedures;
- 7. Consider whether the IOUs should be allowed to set up memorandum accounts for remediation costs; and
- 8. Develop an annual outreach plan and related reporting to inform customers about planned and unplanned outages.

#### The Order includes the following requirements:

- 1. IOUs shall submit system level and district or division level electric reliability information to the Commission on July 15 of each year.
- 2. IOUs shall submit draft copies of the reports prepared for July 15, 2016 and July 15, 2017 to the Energy Division Director in electronic format at least 45 days prior to the July 15 deadline. Draft copies for subsequent reporting years shall be required at the discretion of the Energy Division Director.
- 3. Commission staff, in consultation with the IOUs, has the authority to require any necessary revisions to the draft reports before they are made public.
- 4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall combine in one single report the electric reliability reporting requirements pursuant to Decision (D.) 96-09-045 and D.04-10-034.
- 5. IOUs shall use the electric reliability reporting template at Appendix B of the Order to create their annual reports.
- 6. IOUs shall publish on their internet websites or provide to customers via U.S. mail, procedures for making requests about electric circuits that serve their homes or businesses.
- 7. IOUs shall conduct at least one annual public in-person presentation about the information in their annual electric reliability reports.
- 8. IOUs shall make webinar participation available for their annual in-person events so that their customers can attend the presentation remotely or in-person.
- 9. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall annually report the worst performing one percent of the circuits among all the electric circuits in their respective service territories.
- 10. Bear Valley Electric Service, Liberty Utilities, LLC and PacifiCorp shall report the following number of circuits on their list of worst performing circuits: three circuits for PacifiCorp; two circuits for Liberty Utilities, LLC; and one circuit for Bear Valley Electric Service.
- 11. IOUs shall provide reliability data at both the system and the district level. Whatever major event days are determined for calculations at the system level shall also be used for reliability calculations at the district or division level.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> D.16-01-008 http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M157/K724/157724560.PDF

- 12. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall respond to customer inquiries about electric reliability within 15 business days.
- 13. Bear Valley Electric Service, Liberty Utilities, LLC and PacifiCorp shall respond to customer inquiries about electric reliability within 30 business days.
- 14. IOUs should meet and confer to consolidate unidentified reliability reporting requirements from Commission decisions and General Orders into a single Commission decision and general order.
- 15. IOUs shall submit a single joint proposal for a proposed consolidated decision and general order to the directors of the Energy Division and the Safety and Enforcement Division within one year from the date of the Order.

This report serves to fulfill the foregoing requirements of the Order. In addition, this report includes a description of Pacific Power's outage data collection process, the applicable conventions, indices and definition, methods used by Pacific Power to determining cost-effective reliability improvement opportunities, Pacific Power's worst performing circuits and Pacific Power's service territory map.

#### Outage Data Collection Process

Pacific Power operates automated outage management and reporting systems; a diagram of the data flow process is shown below. Customer trouble calls and SCADA events are interfaced with the Company's real-time network connectivity model, its CADOPS system (Computer Aided Distribution Operations System). By overlaying these events onto the network model, the program infers outages at the appropriate devices (such as a transformer, fuse or other interrupting device) for all customers down line of the interrupting device. The outage is then routed to appropriate field operations staff for restoration and the outage event is recorded in the Company's Prosper/US outage repository. In addition to this real-time model of the system's electrical flow, the Company relies heavily upon the SCADA system it has in place. This includes the Dispatch Log System (an SQL database application) which serves to collect all events on SCADA-operable circuits. That data is then analyzed for momentary interruptions to establish state-level and circuit-level momentary interruption indices. Only those circuits (and the customers who are served from those devices) outfitted with SCADA equipment are considered within the calculations.



#### Data Collected: Conventions, Indices and Certain Definitions

SAIDI, SAIFI, CAIDI and MAIFI are the most common indicators or indices used by utilities across the nation for measuring and reporting reliability. Along with other indices, they were first rigorously documented in Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Standard 1366-1998, and since modified in IEEE 1366-2003/2012, IEEE Guide for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices.

For performance reporting as contained within this document, Pacific Power uses the current standard indices, applied at the state level as well as to each of the districts in which it provides service; these serve as "local areas" as defined within reporting requirements. Major event days are calculated at the state level and then applied at each of these districts consistent with the requirements of D.16-01-008. Pacific Power collects outage data on all outages on the source side of their electric meter. When it is required to interrupt power in order to perform work on the system, it records these outages with a separate designation to identify whether they were taken without notice, or whether the outages were pre-arranged or planned. For the purposes of the data provided in this report, Planned Outages are those in which either the customer or the Company made arrangements for the power interruption to occur; these may also often be considered to be Maintenance Outages. Certain other outages may be performed intentionally by employees, without notice (such as when a car strikes a utility pole and the crew replacing the damaged pole takes an operational outage) but since they happen precipitously are not classified as Planned Outages. Furthermore, the Company also collects information about outages which happen on equipment at voltages higher than distribution level, specifically the transmission or generation system; transmission voltages

within Pacific Power are those in excess of 34.5 kilovolt (kV). If an interruption occurs to distribution customers as a result of events at those facilities it designates these outages as Loss of Supply outages and denotes them in this report as Transmission.

#### Cost Effective Improvements

Pacific Power uses its reliability data in a variety of ways that are designed to improve reliability to its customers. It has devised methods that are contained in the industry guide for electric reliability, IEEE 1782-2014<sup>2</sup>. Some of these analytical methods render the outage data in a tabular, graphical and geospatial manner. All of them serve as input to identify and develop projects that improve reliability using the Company's fuse coordination program (Fuse It or Lose It: FIOLI), its circuit hardening program (Saving SAIDI), and its capital construction program (Network Initiatives). It evaluates the history of outages within a circuit and at specific devices (fuses, reclosers, circuit breakers) across the entire service area and determines the probability of avoiding outages of specific cause categories. Based upon its history reducing these outages for various improvements undertaken, it will forecast the amount of improvement in customer interruptions and customer minutes without power. The programs (FIOLI, Saving SAIDI and Network Initiatives) are evaluated for their forecast improvements to network reliability, as measured by the avoidance of customer interruptions, customer minutes interrupted and momentary customer interruptions. Each project has a value calculated for the cost of the project divided by the avoided interruptions. Pacific Power uses this cost per avoided customer interruption and customer minute interrupted to identify costeffective reliability improvement projects. It assembles each of these candidate projects and their cost to benefit value into a project priority listing which rank orders the projects and based upon the best-cost projects, prepares a suite of projects that align with metric improvement and budget targets. As projects are completed the list is reevaluated to determine whether reliability performance or funding levels have changed and warrant modifications to the plan.

#### **Worst Performing Circuits**

Further, Pacific Power calculates a "Circuit Performance Indicator" which is a blended metric for the circuit, applying weighted circuit SAIDI, SAIFI, MAIFI and breaker lockout events. This metric excludes outages which are Planned, Transmission or Major Events, and is identified as CPl<sub>99</sub>. The equation and weightings are detailed below.

#### **CP199**

CPI99 is an acronym for Circuit Performance Indicator, which uses key reliability metrics of the circuit to identify underperforming circuits. It excludes Major Event and Loss of Supply or Transmission outages. The variables and equation for calculating CPI are:

```
CPI = Index * ((SAIDI * WF * NF) + (SAIFI * WF * NF) + (MAIFI<sub>F</sub> * WF * NF) + (Lockouts * WF * NF))
```

Index: 10.645

SAIDI: Weighting Factor 0.30, Normalizing Factor 0.029 SAIFI: Weighting Factor 0.30, Normalizing Factor 2.439 MAIFI<sub>E</sub>: Weighting Factor 0.20, Normalizing Factor 0.70 Lockouts: Weighting Factor 0.20, Normalizing Factor 2.00

Therefore,  $10.645 * ((3-\text{year SAIDI} * 0.30 * 0.029) + (3-\text{year SAIFI} * 0.30 * 2.439) + (3-\text{year MAIFI}_{E} * 0.20 * 0.70) + (3-\text{year SAIFI} * 0.30 * 2.439) + (3-\text{year MAIFI}_{E} * 0.20 * 0.70) + (3-\text{year SAIFI} * 0.30 * 2.439) + (3-\text{year MAIFI}_{E} * 0.20 * 0.70) + (3-\text{year MAIFI}_{E}$ 

year breaker lockouts \* 0.20 \* 2.00)) = CPI Score

Those circuits whose scores are poorer (higher) than may be warranted, given the number of customers it serves, the exposure and the location of the circuit are identified as candidate worst performing circuits. Within five years of selection the score must be improved (lowered) by a targeted amount. If that improvement has not been achieved additional work may be implemented to further improve the circuit performance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NEW P1782 (PE/T&D) Guide for Collecting, Categorizing and Utilization of Information Related to Electric Power Distribution Interruption Events was approved on March 27, 2014 and contains many of the approaches used by Pacific Power to evaluate system reliability and determine areas where improvements should be deployed.

In selecting its three worst performing circuits, Pacific Power uses CPI99 as its preferred metric, as discussed above, and targets a 20% improvement in that metric within five years of selection. If a given circuit is identified as a worst performing circuit in successive years it would be asterisked and additional parameters would be required to be reported.

The Order directs utilities in the following manner regarding worst performing circuit selection.

- b. Any circuit appearing on this list of "deficient" (WPC) circuits that also appeared on the previous year's list would be marked by an asterisk. For each asterisked circuit, each utility shall provide the following information:
  - i. An explanation of why it was ranked as a "deficient" circuit, i.e., the value of the metric used to indicate its performance;
  - ii. A historical record of the metric;
  - iii. An explanation of why it was on the deficiency list again;
  - iv. An explanation of what is being done to improve the circuit's future performance and the anticipated timeline for completing those activities (or an explanation why remediation is not being planned); and
  - v. A quantitative description of the utility's expectation for that circuit's future performance.

Below are the circuits selected for performance for 2016. Since no circuit was a repeated selection<sup>3</sup> the details listed above are not being provided.


	Top 3 Worst Per	forming Circuits		
	Program Year	16: (CY2015)		
Circuit Name	Sawmill (5R171)	Town (5L83)		
District	Crescent City	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tulelake/Alturas	
<b>Customer Count</b>	453	1,863	908	
Substation Name	Yurok	Mt. Shasta	Tulelake	
Circuit-Miles	23 miles	73.4 miles	68.3 miles	
% UG	14%	53%	2%	
% ОН	86%	47%	98%	
# Breaker/Recloser Operations	0	1	0	
CPI99 Baseline	356	62	27	
Preferred Baseline	285	50	22	

7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In 2015 the three distribution circuits identified as concerns were Daggett (5G6), East (Tulelake) (5L82) and South Bank (5R165).

#### Service Territory Map

The graphic below shows Pacific Power's service territory and identifies the districts used in this report.



# State Reliability Underlying Indices - Excluding Planned Outages: Year SAIDI, SAIFI, MAIFI and CAIDI Results

PacifiCorp uses the current standard indices for performance reporting, as described within this document, at the state level and at newly-defined reliability reporting regional levels. System Indices are calculated based on the IEEE 1366 method, which excludes Planned and ISO outages and included generation outages. Major Events are determined using the "2.5 beta" statistical method to determine the threshold for a major event, as outlined in IEEE P1366. Major event days are removed from the reliability indices calculation. For more on the current year's major events see Section 7.

#### Distribution


Distribution outages include any outage where the device which operates is downstream of the high side disconnect of the substation down to the customer's meter.

Ten-


			Distribu	tion Systen	n Indices			
		Major Even	ts Included <sup>1</sup>		Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)			
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>
2015	297.5	1.110	268	4.330	100.0	0.674	148	4.330
2014	199.4	0.889	224	2.640	160.8	0.840	191	2.640
2013	127.4	0.740	172	4.171	123.1	0.705	174	4.171
2012	341.3	1.248	273	6.936	165.5	1.015	163	6.936
2011	143.0	1.047	137	8.550	143.0	1.047	137	8.550
2010	725.7	1.726	421	16.266	205.0	1.302	157	16.266
2009	173.5	1.145	151	7.597	173.4	1.145	151	7.597
2008	460.8	2.130	216	7.203	206.9	1.518	136	7.203
2007	352.4	1.373	257	6.737	113.9	0.851	134	6.737
2006	322.5	1.990	162	9.867	158.8	1.437	110	9.867

- 1 Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.
- 2 In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.
- 3 These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### Distribution Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### Distribution Reliability History - Excluding Major Events



#### Transmission

Transmission outages include any outage where the device which operates is upstream of the substation transformer. This can include outages that are the result of generator operations. Transmission voltages are in excess of 34.5 kilovolt (kV).


			Transmis	ssion Syster	m Indices			
		Major Even	ts Included <sup>1</sup>		Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)			
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>
2015	230.4	1.824	126	4.330	81.9	1.013	81	4.330
2014	230.5	1.089	212	2.640	72.7	0.586	124	2.640
2013	189.9	2.117	90	4.171	88.8	1.535	58	4.171
2012	160.5	1.742	92	6.936	94.0	1.225	77	6.936
2011	134.2	1.248	107	8.550	134.2	1.248	107	8.550
2010	462.2	2.826	164	16.266	247.3	2.529	98	16.266
2009	157.0	1.377	114	7.597	102.3	0.929	110	7.597
2008	470.8	1.983	237	7.203	111.2	0.822	135	7.203
2007	163.8	2.297	71	6.737	86.2	1.738	50	6.737
2006	298.1	2.522	118	9.867	103.7	1.435	72	9.867

<sup>1 -</sup> Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.


<sup>2 -</sup> In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.

<sup>3 -</sup> These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### Transmission Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### Transmission Reliability History - Excluding Major Events



#### Combined Transmission and Distribution


	Co	ombined T	ransmissic	n and Distr	ibution Sys	tem Indice	es		
		Major Events Included <sup>1</sup>				Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)			
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	
2015	527.8	2.934	180	4.330	181.9	1.687	108	4.330	
2014	430.0	1.978	217	2.640	233.6	1.426	164	2.640	
2013	317.3	2.857	111	4.171	211.9	2.240	95	4.171	
2012	501.8	2.990	168	6.936	259.5	2.240	116	6.936	
2011	277.2	2.295	121	8.550	277.2	2.295	121	8.550	
2010	1187.9	4.552	261	16.266	452.3	3.831	118	16.266	
2009	330.5	2.522	131	7.597	275.7	2.074	133	7.597	
2008	931.6	4.113	227	7.203	318.1	2.341	136	7.203	
2007	516.2	3.670	141	6.737	200.1	2.589	77	6.737	
2006	620.7	4.512	138	9.867	262.5	2.872	91	9.867	

<sup>1 -</sup> Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.


<sup>2 -</sup> In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.

<sup>3 -</sup> These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### Transmission and Distribution Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### Transmission and Distribution Reliability History - Excluding Major Events



### District Reliability Underlying Indices - Excluding Planned Outages: Ten-Year SAIDI, SAIFI and CAIDI Results

#### **Crescent City**


		С	rescent Cit	y - District	System Inc	lices			
		Major Even	ts Included <sup>1</sup>		Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup>				
		iviajoi Eveii	ts iliciuueu			(2.5 ß	P1366)		
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	
2015	949.5	2.495	381	2.482	96.7	0.776	125	2.482	
2014	846.7	2.967	285	0.000	318.2	1.592	200	0.000	
2013	105.4	0.615	171	0.000	105.4	0.615	171	0.000	
2012	453.0	4.115	110	0.000	391.4	3.770	104	0.000	
2011	191.9	1.263	152	0.000	191.9	1.263	152	0.000	
2010	228.2	1.944	117	0.895	227.5	1.939	117	0.895	
2009	265.3	1.902	139	3.176	265.3	1.902	139	3.176	
2008	939.8	2.773	339	0.683	175.8	1.009	174	0.683	
2007	334.1	3.942	85	0.000	128.5	2.354	55	0.000	
2006	649.0	4.072	159	0.000	258.1	2.341	110	0.000	

<sup>1 -</sup> Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.


<sup>2 -</sup> In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.

<sup>3 -</sup> These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### Crescent City Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### Crescent City Reliability History - Excluding Major Events




#### Yreka/Mt. Shasta


		Yrek	a/Mt. Shas	ta - District	System Ind	ices		
	Major Events Included <sup>1</sup>				Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)			
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>
2015	349.2	3.188	110	4.328	230.3	2.290	101	4.328
2014	303.0	1.738	174	2.666	222.0	1.437	155	2.666
2013	409.8	3.847	107	4.042	231.3	2.821	82	4.042
2012	616.1	2.967	208	7.268	228.1	1.838	124	7.268
2011	349.9	2.913	120	9.436	349.9	2.913	120	9.436
2010	1724.0	5.618	307	18.208	464.7	4.389	106	18.208
2009	346.8	2.302	151	7.978	255.7	1.608	159	7.978
2008	1081.7	5.201	208	7.615	400.3	3.023	132	7.615
2007	657.7	3.892	169	6.833	234.9	2.872	82	6.833
2006	694.0	5.338	130	10.803	283.9	3.455	82	10.803

- 1 Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.
- 2 In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.
- 3 These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### Yreka/Mt. Shasta Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### Yreka/Mt. Shasta Reliability History - Excluding Major Events




#### Tulelake/Alturas


	Tulelake/Alturas - District System Indices											
	Major Events Included <sup>1</sup>				Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)							
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>				
2015	462.3	2.739	169	5.237	147.1	0.978	150	5.237				
2014	171.2	1.126	152	4.755	125.0	1.083	115	4.755				
2013	341.4	3.067	111	8.754	329.6	2.925	113	8.754				
2012	142.7	1.033	138	10.761	142.7	1.033	138	10.761				
2011	146.2	1.745	84	9.851	146.2	1.745	84	9.851				
2010	828.5	5.114	162	16.017	814.1	5.084	160	16.017				
2009	385.0	4.518	85	10.354	373.6	4.217	89	10.354				
2008	339.8	2.308	147	6.926	256.1	2.095	122	6.926				
2007	288.8	2.306	125	8.843	192.5	1.906	101	8.843				
2006	282.4	2.072	136	7.456	186.6	1.554	120	7.456				

- 1 Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.
- 2 In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.
- 3 These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### Tulelake/Alturas Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### Tulelake/Alturas Reliability History - Excluding Major Events



# State and District Reliability Underlying Indices - Including Planned Outages: Ten-Year Year SAIDI, SAIFI and CAIDI Results

#### State


			State - Di	strict Syste	m Indices				
		Major Events Included <sup>1</sup>				Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)			
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	
2015	554.5	3.042	182	4.330	208.6	1.795	116	4.330	
2014	455.4	2.107	216	2.640	259.0	1.554	167	2.640	
2013	344.6	2.959	116	4.171	239.1	2.342	102	4.171	
2012	512.9	3.046	168	6.936	270.7	2.296	118	6.936	
2011	298.9	2.414	124	8.550	298.9	2.414	124	8.550	
2010	1209.4	4.725	256	16.266	473.7	4.003	118	16.266	
2009	351.8	2.594	136	7.597	297.0	2.146	138	7.597	
2008	955.9	4.252	225	7.203	342.3	2.480	138	7.203	
2007	525.5	3.714	141	6.737	209.4	2.633	80	6.737	
2006	673.5	4.664	144	9.867	297.2	3.004	99	9.867	

<sup>1 -</sup> Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.


<sup>2 -</sup> In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.

<sup>3 -</sup> These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### State Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### State Reliability History - Excluding Major Events




#### **Crescent City**


		Cre	escent City	- District S	ystem Indic	ces		
	Major Events Included <sup>1</sup>				Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)			
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>
2015	966.9	2.570	376	2.482	114.0	0.851	134	2.482
2014	871.1	3.103	281	0.000	342.6	1.728	198	0.000
2013	147.7	0.744	199	0.000	147.7	0.743	199	0.000
2012	457.4	4.142	110	0.000	395.8	3.797	104	0.000
2011	208.0	1.353	154	0.000	208.0	1.353	154	0.000
2010	232.7	1.970	118	0.895	231.9	1.964	118	0.895
2009	272.3	1.934	141	3.176	272.3	1.934	141	3.176
2008	950.0	2.858	332	0.683	186.0	1.094	170	0.683
2007	339.8	3.971	86	0.000	134.2	2.383	56	0.000
2006	731.4	4.207	174	0.000	273.9	2.408	114	0.000

- 1 Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.
- 2 In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.
- 3 These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### Crescent City Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### Crescent City Reliability History - Excluding Major Events




#### Yreka/Mt. Shasta


	Yreka/Mt. Shasta - District System Indices											
	Major Events Included <sup>1</sup>				Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)							
Year	SAIDI SAIFI CAIDI MAIFI <sup>3</sup>			MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>				
2015	382.2	3.325	115	4.328	263.2	2.427	108	4.328				
2014	332.6	1.842	181	2.666	251.7	1.540	163	2.666				
2013	422.0	3.911	108	4.042	243.5	2.885	84	4.042				
2012	633.1	3.048	208	7.268	244.9	1.919	128	7.268				
2011	368.0	3.010	122	9.436	368.0	3.010	122	9.436				
2010	1743.9	5.749	303	18.208	489.6	4.524	108	18.208				
2009	369.4	2.385	155	7.978	278.6	1.694	164	7.978				
2008	1112.2	5.373	207	7.615	430.6	3.194	135	7.615				
2007	667.7	3.946	169	6.833	243.9	2.924	83	6.833				
2006	739.7	5.495	135	10.803	329.4	3.607	91	10.803				

- 1 Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.
- 2 In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.
- 3 These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.

#### Yreka/Mt. Shasta Reliability History - Including Major Events



#### Yreka/Mt. Shasta Reliability History - Excluding Major Events



# Tulelake/Alturas


	Tulelake/Alturas - District System Indices								
	Major Events Included <sup>1</sup>			Major Events Excluded <sup>2</sup> (2.5 ß P1366)					
Year	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	SAIDI	SAIFI	CAIDI	MAIFI <sup>3</sup>	
2015	481.1	2.794	172	5.237	165.9	1.033	161	5.237	
2014	182.3	1.338	136	4.755	136.0	1.295	105	4.755	
2013	399.7	3.263	123	8.754	388.1	3.123	124	8.754	
2012	143.1	1.035	138	10.761	143.0	1.034	138	10.761	
2011	192.1	1.999	96	9.851	192.1	1.999	96	9.851	
2010	866.0	5.681	152	16.017	851.6	5.652	151	16.017	
2009	422.5	4.583	92	10.354	411.1	4.283	96	10.354	
2008	365.0	2.418	151	6.926	281.7	2.205	128	6.926	
2007	305.1	2.348	130	8.843	209.3	1.951	107	8.843	
2006	307.6	2.221	138	7.456	212.3	1.706	124	7.456	

### Notes:

- 1 Excludes outages that are customer requested, pre-arranged or resulting from a failure of another company's system.
- 2 In 2016 rulemaking 14-12-014, approved local Major Event exclusion. 2015 Local events were reviewed and are excluded from the indices going forward.
- 3 These results are inclusive of outages that occurred during major events and are assumed to be associated with distribution performance.


# Tulelake/Alturas Reliability History - Including Major Events

(includes customer notice given and customer requested)



# Tulelake/Alturas Reliability History - Excluding Major Events

(includes customer notice given and customer requested)



# CONFIDENTIAL DATA SUBJECT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 583, GENERAL ORDER 66-C AND D.16-01-008

# Planned Outage by District

The below table shows planned outage events which occurred annually, by district and month. Note that this data is confidential and submitted under seal.

		Planned Out	tages¹	
		Crescent City	Tulelake/Alturas	Yreka/Mt. Shasta
2015	January February March April May June July August September October November December			
2014	January February March April May June July August September October November December			
2013	January February March April May June July August September October November December			
2012	January February March April May June July August September October November December			

# CONFIDENTIAL DATA SUBJECT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 583, GENERAL ORDER 66-C AND D.16-01-008

		Planned Out	ages¹	
		Crescent City	Tulelake/Alturas	Yreka/Mt. Shasta
2011	January February March April May June July August September October November December			
2010	January February March April Mav June July August September October November December			
2009	January February March April May June July August September October November December			
2008	January February March April May June July August September October November December			

# CONFIDENTIAL DATA SUBJECT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 583, GENERAL ORDER 66-C AND D.16-01-008

	Planned Outages <sup>1</sup>								
		Crescent City	Tulelake/Alturas	Yreka/Mt. Shasta					
2007	January February March April May June July August September October November December								
2006	January February March April May June July August September October November December								

<sup>1 -</sup> Includes outages that are customer requested and customer notice given.

# Top Ten Unplanned Power Outage Events for 2015

The table below displays the top 10 unplanned outages in 2015 based on the total customer minutes lost.

	Top 10 Unplanned Outage Events – 2015										
Date	District	Description	Major Event?	Total Customer Minutes Lost	Total Customers in Incident						
2/5/2015	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,852,631	3,150						
2/7/2015	Crescent City	Wind Blown Tree	Υ	1,036,585	1,222						
2/6/2015	Crescent City	Wind Blown Tree	Υ	922,607	1,047						
2/7/2015	Crescent City	Wind Blown Tree	Υ	922,282	1,884						
2/7/2015	Crescent City	Wind Blown Tree	Υ	713,868	380						
2/5/2015	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	649,753	2,100						
2/7/2015	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	636,947	1,719						
7/7/2015	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	538,624	3,156						
4/25/2015	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tree	N	528,711	9,320						
2/7/2015	Crescent City	Emergency Damage Repair	Υ	455,081	3,024						

# **Major Event Summary**

PacifiCorp's service territory in California consists of the three operating areas: Crescent City, Yreka/Mt. Shasta, and Tulelake/Alturas. Each operating area has been designated as a reliability reporting region in accordance with the Order. Each year the major event threshold for the state is determined using the TMed methodology, as defined in IEEE P1366 and known as the "2.5 beta" method. The state TMed is then applied to each operating area<sup>4</sup>. The table below depicts the major events which have occurred during 2015.

	2015 Major Event Summary									
2.1.	Biston	0		Cu	stomers out fo	r a duration of				
Date	District	Cause	5 min - 3 hrs.	3 - 24 hrs.	24 - 48 hrs.	48 - 72 hrs.	72 - 96 hrs.	96 + hrs.		
February 5-9, 2015	California (State)	Storm	19,858	14,158	2,974	429	266	1		
June 9, 2015	Tulelake/ Alturas	Summer Storm	2,249	2,246	0	0	0	0		
July 7, 2015	Yreka/ Mt. Shasta	Summer Storm	9,758	57	0	0	0	0		
July 20, 2015	Tulelake/ Alturas	Summer Storm	5,144	341	0	0	0	0		
September 18, 2015	Crescent City	Loss of Supply	4,412	1,699	0		0	0		
November 18, 2016	Tulelake/ Alturas	Loss of Supply - Transmission Provider	2,631	0	0	0	0	0		
December 9-10, 2015	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission/ Wind	5,359	559	0	0	0	0		
December 13, 2015	Yreka/ Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission/ Wind and Snow	10,901	27	0	0	0	0		

# Historical Top Ten Unplanned Power Outage Events – 2014 through 2005

Historical Top Ten Unplanned Outage Events by Year								
Year	Date	District	Description	Excluded Major Event?	Total Customer Minutes Lost	Total Customers in Incident		
	10/25/2014	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	2,424,849	7,448		
	10/25/2014	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,084,725	1,533		
	9/15/2014	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	890,396	13,280		
	9/15/2014	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	802,134	5,660		
2014	10/25/2014	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	517,764	453		
2014	9/15/2014	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Intentional to Clear Trouble	Υ	498,809	1,205		
	3/24/2014	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	N	484,466	798		
	10/25/2014	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	478,808	1,176		
	5/5/2014	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Pole fire	N	472,976	1,875		
	8/17/2014	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	471,399	3,070		

42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Due to the size and irregularity of outage occurrences by operating area, it was deemed appropriate to apply the state Tmed to each operating area, in an attempt to balance major event occurrence throughout the operating areas and state.

Historical Top Ten Unplanned Outage Events by Year							
Year	Date	District	Description	Excluded Major Event?	Total Customer Minutes Lost	Total Customers in Incident	
	8/25/2013	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	2,210,746	14,259	
	8/25/2013	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	2,087,998	10,500	
	9/5/2013	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Substation	N	731,594	1,451	
	10/27/2013	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	466,576	1,452	
2013	5/11/2013	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	398,507	2,093	
2013	8/22/2013	Tulelake/Alturas	Loss of Transmission Line	N	361,772	2,407	
	7/9/2013	Tulelake/Alturas	Emergency Damage Repair	N	301,141	970	
	9/30/2013	Crescent City	Tree	N	299,295	458	
	5/20/2013	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Substation	N	297,838	1,042	
	12/9/2013	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Substation	N	297,317	1,663	
	12/20/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	1,789,753	3,108	
	12/20/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Emergency Damage Repair	Υ	1,691,153	11,788	
	11/29/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tree	N	876,375	12,070	
	9/30/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	807,000	3,078	
2012	12/23/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	697,305	373	
2012	12/22/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Intentional to Clear Trouble	Υ	681,990	508	
	9/30/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	568,353	6,469	
	12/21/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	560,115	414	
	12/24/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tree	Υ	509,765	438	
	12/13/2012	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	389,226	1,653	
	10/10/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	870,734	3,612	
	7/31/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	664,757	7,652	
	3/24/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	550,141	1,042	
	9/15/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Emergency Damage Repair	N	516,786	3,608	
2011	7/31/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	501,237	6,308	
2011	7/31/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	449,576	5,189	
	12/10/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	430,949	546	
	2/17/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	383,111	1,043	
	3/18/2011	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	N	354,489	9,340	
	12/23/2011	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	N	332,817	839	
	1/19/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	4,234,449	16,164	
	1/20/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	4,091,276	2,082	
	1/20/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	3,681,730	17,420	
	1/20/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tree	Υ	3,058,895	12,084	
2010	1/19/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tree	Υ	2,053,386	2,832	
2010	1/19/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,375,369	1,041	
	1/20/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,189,230	1,400	
	1/1/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	1,074,337	7,588	
	1/19/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	1,040,447	8,472	
	1/24/2010	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,012,477	1,041	

	Historical Top Ten Unplanned Outage Events by Year							
Year	Date	District	Description	Excluded Major Event?	Total Customer Minutes Lost	Total Customers in Incident		
	12/24/2009	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	2,337,570	10,853		
	3/2/2009	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Unknown - Weather	N	470,105	3,117		
	10/27/2009	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Equipment	N	422,256	9,568		
	10/14/2009	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	N	419,144	6,616		
2009	3/1/2009	Crescent City	Tree	N	383,888	918		
2009	8/1/2009	Tulelake/Alturas	Loss of Transmission Line	N	363,394	2,123		
	5/14/2009	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	N	360,703	833		
	3/3/2009	Tulelake/Alturas	Loss of Transmission Line	N	327,020	2,128		
	2/15/2009	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tree	N	320,727	764		
	12/27/2009	Crescent City	Transformer Failure	N	302,232	9,630		
	1/4/2008	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,548,177	511		
	1/8/2008	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,329,586	7,066		
	1/4/2008	Crescent City	Wind	Υ	1,252,667	1,033		
	1/10/2008	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,126,251	1,037		
2008	1/5/2008	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,100,672	1,037		
2008	2/23/2008	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	951,224	1,052		
	1/8/2008	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tree	Υ	936,169	1,037		
	1/4/2008	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	895,726	1,037		
	1/8/2008	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather - Snow	Υ	834,993	16,730		
	1/4/2008	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Wind	Υ	803,229	5,400		
	2/21/2007	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	1,438,657	901		
	2/22/2007	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	1,161,460	902		
	2/21/2007	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	992,492	3,344		
	2/22/2007	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	676,629	674		
2007	12/3/2007	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	530,361	924		
2007	12/3/2007	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	485,902	801		
	10/19/2007	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	N	484,494	12,651		
	2/25/2007	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	437,533	1,672		
	2/22/2007	Tulelake/Alturas	Weather	Υ	353,463	556		
	12/3/2007	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Wind	Υ	347,863	643		
	3/5/2006	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Tree	Υ	742,305	898		
	3/4/2006	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	702,692	1,409		
	1/1/2006	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Intentional to Clear Trouble	Υ	611,007	2,240		
	12/26/2006	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	596,617	454		
2006	8/7/2006	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	551,417	898		
2000	3/5/2006	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Trees	Υ	547,026	1,095		
	12/26/2006	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	516,929	401		
	9/22/2006	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	N	467,247	1,381		
	2/26/2006	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	428,736	1,752		
	2/26/2006	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	422,845	878		

	Historical Top Ten Unplanned Outage Events by Year									
Year	Date	District	Description	Excluded Major Event?	Total Customer Minutes Lost	Total Customers in Incident				
	7/29/2005	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	1,934,128	9,868				
	12/31/2005	Crescent City	Loss of Substation	Υ	1,299,059	3,150				
	10/28/2005	Crescent City	Equipment	Υ	1,147,004	5,097				
	1/8/2005	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	1,128,921	1,392				
2005	1/8/2005	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Weather	Υ	899,033	5,649				
2005	12/31/2005	Crescent City	Flooding	Υ	868,648	1,206				
	2/27/2005	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	736,202	5,611				
	1/8/2005	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	593,496	245				
	12/30/2005	Yreka/Mt. Shasta	Trees	Υ	562,475	2,254				
	10/28/2005	Crescent City	Loss of Transmission Line	Υ	431,726	6,882				

# **Customer Inquiries and Response**

# **Customer Reliability Communications**

Pacific Power has modified its internet to provide customer guidance on how to request reliability information. This information is contained at <a href="https://www.pacificpower.net/reliability">https://www.pacificpower.net/reliability</a>. Further, Pacific Power will be scheduling its first annual meeting in the fall of 2016 to review these results.

### Reliability Inquiry and Complaint Process Overview

The Company's process for managing customers' concerns about reliability are to provide opportunities to hear customer concerns, respond to those concerns, and where necessary, provide customers an opportunity to elevate those concerns.

#### **Customer Reliability Communications** Customer service representative Employee creates attempts to address customer's concern (i.e. review OPQ history Customer calls about Has the matter bee Outage Power Quality Inquiry transaction outage history and attempts to or outage event history) resolve customer's concern Investment delivery or field operations employee reviews inquiry and relevant outage history, **Outage Power Quality Inquiry** Has the matter been scheduled projects and other pertinent data call & resolution LYes\_ Document details of the Customer calls to file company complaint Employee records pertinent Has the matter beer data: researches situation to further about reliability resolve matter; responds to Document resolution Document resolution resolved? 1-800 Complaint Customer calls Employee commission to file Has the matter bee pertinent data; complaint about reliability communicates researches situation to customer complaint further esolve matter: responds Document resolution to appropriate party Has the matter been Employee records pertinen **Commission Complaint** data and responds to appropriate party

## Customer Reliability Inquiry/Complaint Tracking

Listed below are the various avenues available to a customer to resolve concerns about reliability performance.

### • Customer Reliability Inquiry

The company records customer inquiries about reliability as Outage Power Quality transactions in its customer service system, referred to as "OPQ" transactions.

### • Customer Complaint

If a customer's reliability concerns are not met through the process associated with the OPQ transaction, a customer can register a 1-800 complaint with the company. This is recorded in a complaint repository from which regular reports are prepared and circulated for resolution.

### • Commission Complaint

If a customer's reliability concerns are not met through the process associated with a 1-800 complaint, a customer can register a complaint with the Commission. This is recorded by the Commission staff and also by the company in a complaint repository. Regular reports are prepared and circulated for resolution of these items.

### 2015 Customer Reliability Inquiry Responses

The table below illustrates PacifiCorp's response times for each customer reliability inquiry received in 2015. The response time for each inquiry is calculated from the date of the initial inquiry to the date on which the company contacts the customer to discuss the specific circumstances associated with the inquiry.

Response Time (Days)	Number of Customer Inquiries	Response Time (Days)	Number of Customer Inquiries
1	115	16	0
2	14	17	0
3	6	18	0
4	14	19	0
5	1	20	0
6	0	21	0
7	0	22	0
8	0	23	0
9	0	24	0
10	0	25	0
11	0	26	0
12	0	27	0
13	0	28	0
14	0	29	0
15	0	30	0