Draft of a possible questionnaire for POE. The format is consistent with the SCE HVAC Questionnaire that is part of the meeting resource materials.

DRAFT Preponderance of Evidence Questionnaire.

This is based on the format from the SCE HVAC Questionnaire.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Number | Preponderance of Evidence Questions and Answers | | | | | Score |
| 1 | In the last 12 months, the **equipment** in this project has had major repairs performed not related to a full system overhaul.  In the last 12 months, has this equipment had major repairs besides a full system overhaul? ( **This is not a scale but a yes/no question as you can’t “neither agree or disagree” that it has had major repairs unless you don’t know (which should be a response category) – three choices: yes/no/don’t know** | | | | |  |
| **Strongly Agree** | Agree | Neither Agree or Disagree | Disagree | **Strongly Disagree** |
| 2 | The required maintenance on the **equipment** in this project is excessive and/or has increased over the past three years.  How significant are the required maintenance costs for the equipment in this project right now or in the recent few years? | | | | |  |
| **Very Significant** | Significant | Neither Significant nor Insignificant | Insignificant | **Very Insignificant** |
| 3 | The **equipment** has continually met the service needs in the last 12 months.  The equipment has met our service needs (performance, capacity, reliability)during the last 12 months. | | | | |  |
| **Strongly Agree** | Agree | Neither Agree or Disagree | Disagree | **Strongly Disagree** |
| 4 | The **equipment** being replaced are operating and with normal maintenance would continue to operate for at least three years.  How likely is it that the equipment being replaced would continue to operate for at least three years? (Don’t need to mention “operating” since we used the word “continue” in the later part of the question) | | | | |  |
| **Very Likely** | Likely | Neither likely nor Unlikely | Unlikely | **Very Unlikely** |
| 5 | The financial incentives, technical information, recommendations, and support from the **program** [IOU/Implementer] has accelerated the decision to replace the equipment by | | | | |  |
| **<1.5 years** | >1.5 but <3 years | >3 years | **No Plan** | Unknown |
| 6 | Non-energy benefits (e.g. O&M and labor savings, equipment reliability, production improvements, occupant satisfaction, Title-24 Energy Code or other mandated compliance) are the primary consideration for the replacement of the equipment in this project.  How significant were factors such as O&M (Operations and Maintenance), labor savings, equipment reliability, production improvements, occupant satisfaction, Title 24 Energy Code, or other mandated compliance in the decision to replace the equipment in this project? | | | | |  |
| **Very Significant** | Significant | Neither Significant nor Insignificant | Insignificant | **Very Insignificant** |
| **Total Score** | | | | | |  |

By signing below, I certify that the above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I acknowledge that misrepresentation will result in a rejection of the project as an Accelerated Replacement.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Customer Signature Customer Printed Name Date

Scoring must be positive (note that included to illustrate scoring but may be removed from the actual questionnaire for implementation)

* **Bold blue = 2**
* No bold blue = 1
* Black = 0
* Non-bold red = -1
* **Bold red = -2**