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<FIRM> recommends/does not recommend that SCE consider <technology> to be Industry Standard Practice in the following instances: <>.

**The Purpose of ISP Studies (Low-Rigor)**

The purpose of an Industry Standard Practice (ISP) study is to recommend the appropriate baseline for calculating the potential energy savings; it is not to assess the potential energy savings that a proposed custom measure can achieve when compared to the existing old equipment. The intent is to collect enough data to make informed decisions regarding SCE’s incentive and rebate programs and to substantiate its energy savings claims for the Energy Division’s impact evaluation studies. The methodology is not intended to provide statistically significant measurements of market penetration rates. (For further discussion, see “Addendum: About ISP Studies” at the end of this report.)

**Project Discussion**

*<Background on technology and call for investigation>*

**Methodology**

*<Describe overarching investigation methods. Use following three sub-headers to provide the additional detail, and state under each if no such research was conducted.>*

Literature Review

Codes and Regulations

Subject Matter Expert Interviews

**Findings**

Summation of Factors For and Against Industry Standard Practice

The collected information, expert opinions and other relevant information have been compiled and presented in tabulated form.

**Table 1: Factors Supporting ISP**

| **Factor** | **Significance****( 1 – 3 )****1=low, 3=high** | **Significance Explanation** |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Table 2 lists the factors that indicate that VFDs are not ISP for airflow modulation in CO DCV systems for new construction of enclosed parking structures.

**Table 2: Factors Against ISP**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Factor**  | **Significance****( 1 – 3 )****1=low,3=high** | **Significance Explanation** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The factors in Table X, which support/against ISP, outweigh the factors in Table Y. *<ADD more if needed>.*

**Conclusions**

Based on *<insert rationale here>*, *<insert firm name here>* recommends *<insert recommendation for/against ISP here>*.

**Table 3: ISP for New Construction**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Installation Type | Recommended to be considered ISP? | Explanation |
| *<must align with cover sheet template>* |  |  |

**Appendix a: Literature Review**

Optional

**Appendix b: Code References**

Optional

**Appendix c: Recommended Notice of Applicability**

Mandatory?