## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Copy for:	
Orig. and Copy	RESOLUTION: E-2043
to Executive Director	EVALUATION & COMPLIANCE DIVISION
Director	BRANCH: Energy
- Numerical File	DATE: June 5, 1985
Alphabetical File	DAIL: Dulle 3, 1983
Accounting Officer	

## RESOLUTION

ORDER AUTHORIZING SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (SCE) TO IMPLEMENT AN AGREEMENT FOR THE EXTENSION OF ELECTRIC SERVICE TO A NEW RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION UNDER CONDITIONS WHICH DEVIATE FROM FILED TARIFFS

By Advice Letter 676-E, filed April 19, 1985, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) requests authorization to implement an Agreement dated January 14, 1985, with TAC Development (Applicant) providing for the extension of electric service to a residential development located in Rancho Cucamonga, California, located in San Bernardino County. The facts are as follows:

- 1. Southern California Edison Company has filed an Agreement, dated January 14, 1985, with TAC Development, providing for the extension of electric service to a residential development in Rancho Cucamonga, California, located in the County of San Bernardino.
- 2. The Agreement submitted herewith is referred to the Commission pursuant to the provisions of Rule No. 15.1, Section E.4. for the approval of Special Conditions mutually agreed upon by SCE and the Applicant which provide for refundable advance provisions for a line extension different from those set forth in Rule No. 15.1.
- 3. The Agreement provides that the Applicant advance to SCE \$3,827.00, the estimated installed cost of the electric line extension (exclusive of transformers, meters, and services) necessary to serve Applicant's residential development. Under normal circumstances Applicant would be required to advance to Edison under Section C.1. of Rule 15.1, an amount equal to \$5.55 per foot times the footage of property fronting on streets within the development. However, there are no streets within the development, and therefore, no property footage fronting on a street.
- 4. Under these conditions, by applying a strict interpretation of Rule 15.1, SCE would not be permitted to require a refundable advance from the Applicant.
- 5. Therefore, SCE has negotiated a modified contract with the Applicant wherein the Applicant will pay a refundable amount of \$3,827.00 based on the estimated installed cost of SCE's facilities.

Page 2

- 6. Public notification of this filing has been made by mailing copies of the advice letter to other utilities, interested parties, and to governmental agencies. The Commission Staff has received no protests in this matter.
- 7. This filing has been reviewed by the Staffs of the Service and Safety and the Energy Branches. The staff finds that the modified contract is just and non-discriminatory in that it permits the line extension to be installed under conditions acceptable to the Applicant and prevents the cost of the installation from being a burden on SCE's ratepayers. The staff recommends authorization, and the contract is hereby recommended to the Commission for its approval.

## THEREFORE:

- 1. Southern California Edison Company is authorized by Sections 454 and 532 of the Public Utilities Code and by Section X.A. of General Order 96-A to place the above agreement into effect today.
- 2. Advice Letters No. 676-E and the accompanying contract shall be marked to show that they were approved for filing by Commission Resolution E-2043. This resolution is effective today.

I certify that this resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on \_\_\_\_\_\_ June 5, 1985 \_\_\_\_\_. The following Commissioners approved it:

Executive Director

DONALD VIAL President
VICTOR CALVO
PRISCILLA C GREW
PRISCILLA BAGLEY
WILLIAM Commissioners