PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Copy for:

Orig. and Copy

RECEIVED

Envisy brunch

RESOLUTION: E-2070

____to Executive Director JAN 2 7 1986

EVALUATION & COMPLIANCE DIVISION BRANCH: Energy DATE: January 23, 1986

_____Director _____Numerical File _____Alphabetical File _____Accounting Officer

RETURN TO: ENERGY BRANCH ROOM 2011

<u>RESOLUTION</u>

ORDER AUTHORIZING SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (SCE) TO IMPLEMENT AN AGREEMENT FOR THE EXTENSION OF ELECTRIC SERVICE TO A NEW RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION UNDER CONDITIONS WHICH DEVIATE FROM FILED TARIFFS

By Advice Letter 698-E, filed November 22, 1985, Southern California Edison Company (SCE), requests authorization to implement an Agreement dated September 9, 1985, with Aureo Exito (Applicant) providing for the extension of electric service to a residential development located at 2680 East Highland, San Bernardino, in San Bernardino County. The facts are as follows:

1. SCE has filed a signed Agreement providing for the extension of underground electric line and installation of service connections within a new residential subdivision.

2. The Agreement submitted herewith is referred to the Commission prusuant to the provisions of Rule 15.1, Section E.4. for approval of special conditions mutually agreed upon by SCE and the Applicant which provide for refundable advance provisions for a line extension different from those set forth in Rule 15.1.

3. The Agreement provides that the Applicant advance \$24,716.00, the estimated installed cost of the electric line extension (exclusive of transformers, meters, and services), necessary to serve Applicant's residential development. Under normal circumstances Applicant would be required to advance to SCE, under Section C.1. of Rule 15.1, an amount equal to \$10.62 per foot times the footage of property fronting on streets within the development. However, there are no streets within the development and therefore, no property footage fronting on a street.

4. Under these conditions, by applying a strict interpretation of Rule 15.1, SCE would not be permitted to require a refundable advance from the Applicant.

Resolution E-2070 Meeting of January 23, 1986

Page 2

ł

5. Therefore, SCE has negotiated a modified contract with the Applicant wherein the Applicant will pay a refundable amount of \$24,716.00 based on the estimated installed cost of SCE's facilities.

6. Public notification of this filing has been made by mailing copies of the advice letter to other utilities, interested parties, and to governmental agencies. The Commission staff has received no protests in this matter.

7. This filing has been reviewed by the staff of the Service and Safety and the Energy Branches of the Evaluation and Compliance Division. The staff finds that the modified contract is just and nondiscriminatory in that it permits the line extension to be installed under conditions acceptable to the Applicant and prevents the cost of the installation from being a burden on SCE's ratepayers. The staff recommends authorization, and the contract is hereby recommended to the Commission for its approval.

THEREFORE:

1. Southern California Edison Company is authorized under Sections 454 and 532 of the Public Utilities Code and by Section X.A. of General Order 96-A to place the above Agreement into effect today.

2. Advice Letter 698-E and the accompanying contract shall be marked to show that they were approved for filing by Commission Resolution E-2070. This Resolution is effective today.

I certify that this Resolution was adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its regular meeting on January 23, 1986. The following Commissioners approved it:

Executive Director

DONALD VIAL President PRISCILLA C. GREW FREDERICK R. DUDA Commissioners