PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Copy for:
    Orig. and Copy
_____to Executive Director

_____Director

_____Numerical File

_____Alphabetical File

_____Accounting Officer

R E S O L U T I O N

RESOLUTION NO. G-2253

UTILITIES DIVISION
BRANCH/SECTION: Gas
DATE: November 28, 1978

SUBJECT: Order Authorizing Acceptance of Special Contracts Under Conditions Deviating from Filed Tariffs

WHEREAS: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, by Advice Letters Nos. 1013-G, 1014-G, 1015-G and 1016-G, all filed October 30, 1978, having requested authorization to carry out the terms and conditions of special contracts with the following applicants: Robert W. F. and Jean L. Severin (Severin), Kaiser Aetna (Kaiser), Oak Shadows Mobile Home Park (Oak Shadows) and Gerald McCullough (McCullough), covering gas main extensions and service stubs to provide natural gas service to Applicants' commercial and industrial developments under conditions deviating from filed tariffs, and resulting in the following:

1. The agreements deviate from filed tariffs in that each applicant will pay in advance of construction an estimated total cost of construction less specific credits to each applicant and will also pay a cost-of-ownership charge either as a lump sum payment or a monthly charge as listed below:

Applicant	Location	Total Cost of Facilities	Less Credit to Applicant	Advance to PG&E	Cost-of-Ownership
Severin	Santa Rosa	$11,294	$7,849	$ 3,445	$ 50.44/mo.
Kaiser	Mountain View	12,867	------	12,867	128.67/mo.
Oak Shadows	San Andreas	14,557	3,245	11,312	$9,529
McCullough	Hollister	8,862	3,055	5,807	7,465

2. The computation of credit to applicants and cost-of-ownership charges are as follows:

Applicant	Credit	Cost - of - Ownership	
		Monthly Payment	Lump Sum Charge
Severin	2½ x Est. Ann. Rev.	1%/mo. of (unrefunded Advance + Cost of Trenching)	-------------------
Kaiser	None	1%/mo. of (unrefunded Advance)	-------------------
Oak Shadows	1 x Est. Ann. Rev.	--------------------------	P.W. of 12% of Adv. for 10 yrs @ 7% int.
McCullough	Cost of Trenching	--------------------------	(Same as above)

3. Oak Shadows is constructing a mobile home park and all other applicants are constructing industrial parks (developments); all applicants want gas facilities in advance of requests for utility service to aid sale of their properties.

4. None of the applicants are the ultimate consumers of gas service to be provided, and since it is not determined at this time as to who the ultimate consumers will be, exercising the Exceptional Case clause of Rule No. 15 is appropriate in these cases.

5. In all cases, the monthly cost-of-ownership charge or the lump sum cost-of-ownership payment will be adjusted accordingly if refunds are made.

6. Installing facilities prior to paving will result in lower costs to all parties, and the utility and all applicants have agreed to modify the contract agreements consistent with the Commission's findings in Case No. 10260.

7. All agreements are basically similar in content to the agreements filed by PG&E Advice Letters Nos. 1007-G to 1010-G, inclusive, authorized by Commission Resolution No. G-2242 on August 22, 1978, and

WHEREAS: We find that these agreements are compensatory and nondiscriminatory and will provide service to the Applicants in such a manner as not to place a burden on other ratepayers; therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Authority is granted under Sections 454 and 532 of the Public Utilities Code to place these contracts into effect on November 29, 1978, which constitutes regular statutory notice.

2. The above advice letters and contracts be marked to show that they were accepted for filing under Resolution No. G-2253 of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California. The effective date of this Resolution is the date hereof.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a regular conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, held on the ___28th___ day of ___November___, 19 _78_, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

_____
Executive Director