

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

267

Copy for:  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Orig. and Copy  
 \_\_\_\_\_ to Executive Director  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Director  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Numerical File  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Alphabetical File  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Accounting Officer

RESOLUTION NO. G-2344

R E S O L U T I O N

UTILITIES DIVISION  
 BRANCH/SECTION: Gas  
 DATE: April 2, 1980

RETURN TO GAS BRANCH

SUBJECT: Pacific Gas & Electric Company. Order Authorizing Acceptance of Special Contracts Under Conditions Deviating from Filed Tariffs

WHEREAS: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (PG&E), by Advice Letters Nos. 1072-G through 1075-G, inclusive, all filed March 3, 1980, has requested authorization to carry out the terms and conditions of special contracts with: (1) Castroville Holding Company (Castro); (2) Prudential Insurance Company (Prud.); (3) Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., (W.F.); and (4) Cal-Land Enterprises (Cal.), covering gas main extensions and service stubs to provide natural gas services to each of the applicant's commercial and industrial developments under conditions deviating from filed tariffs and resulting in the following:

1. The agreements each deviate from filed tariffs in that each applicant will pay in advance of construction the estimated total cost of construction less specific credits to each applicant and will also pay a monthly cost-of-ownership charge as listed below:

<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Total Cost of Facility</u>	<u>Less Credit To Applicant</u>	<u>Advance to PG&amp;E</u>	<u>Cost-of-Ownership</u>
Castro.	Castroville	\$19,396	\$ 7,537	\$11,859	\$193.96/mo.
Prud.	Milpitas	90,065	28,332	61,733	900.65/mo.
W.F.	Hayward	42,469	15,721	26,748	424.69/mo.
Cal.	Clovis	8,238	3,600	4,638	82.38/mo.

2. The credit to the applicant represents the cost of trenching performed by the applicant and the monthly cost-of-ownership charge represents 1% of the total cost of facilities in all cases.

3. All applicants are constructing industrial parks (developments), and all applicants want gas facilities in advance of requests for utility service in order to aid sales to their properties.

4. None of the applicants are the ultimate consumers of gas service to be provided, and since not all of the ultimate consumers have been determined at this time, exercising the Exceptional Case clause of Rule No. 15 is appropriate in these cases.

5. In all cases, the monthly cost-of-ownership charge will be adjusted accordingly if refunds are made.

6. All agreements are basically similar in content to the agreements filed by PG&E Advice Letters Nos. 1013-G through 1016-G, inclusive, 1027-G through 1034-G, inclusive, and 1054-G through 1056-G, inclusive, authorized by Commission Resolution Nos. G-2253, G-2270, and G-2319, dated November 28, 1978, April 10, 1979 and November 6, 1979, respectively, and

WHEREAS: We find that these agreements are compensatory and nondiscriminatory and will provide service to Applicants in such a manner as not to place a burden on other ratepayers; therefore, good cause appearing,


IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Authority is granted under Section X.A. of General Order No. 96-A, and under Sections 454 and 532 of the Public Utilities Code to place each of the above contracts into effect this date, which constitutes regular statutory notice.

2. The above advice letters and contracts shall be marked to show that they were accepted for filing under Resolution No. G-2344 of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California. The effective date of this resolution is the date hereof.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a regular conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, held on April 2, 1980, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

JOHN E. BRYSON  
President  
VERNON L. STURGEON  
RICHARD D. GRAVELLE  
LEONARD M. GRIMES, JR.

  
Executive Director

Commissioner Claire T. Dedrick, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.