

Project would result in

adverse visual impacts V-1,

V-3, V-4, V-5, and V-7, as

detailed in Table 6-1.

provided by SCE in August 2008.

Draft EIR/EIS

LSTs would be taller and wider than the existing 220-kV LSTs and would protrude above the skyline.

Segment 6 would achieve moderate scenic integrity in an otherwise predominantly natural-appearing

existing landscape character. Access and spur roads are simulated based on Road Permit Plans

Mitigation Measures. Implementation of Mitigation Measures (MMs) would reduce adverse visual impacts to a certain degree, but the Project would create strong adverse contrasts of form, line, color, texture, and scale. It would continue to <u>not</u> meet the High SIO established for this area. MMs would include: V-1 – Clean up staging areas, storage areas, marshalling yards, access and spur roads, and structure locations on a regular periodic basis; V-2b – Treat surfaces with appropriate colors, textures, and finishes; V-3a – Match spans of existing transmission structures; V-3b – On NFS lands, provide restoration/compensation for impacts to landscape character and visual quality; V-4a – Construct, operate, and maintain the Project with existing access and spur roads where feasible; V-4c – Avoid locating new roads in bedrock on NFS lands; and, V-4d – Dispose of excavated materials as prescribed.

Visual Simulation for KOP-Center-13 Mount Zion (Alternative 2, Segment 6)

Source: Lee Anderson and 3DScape, 2008.