

Table of Contents

Summary

1.	Introduction	
1.1	Project Purpose and Need	1-1
1.2	The Proposed Project/Action and Alternatives	1-2
1.3	CPUC and Forest Service Approvals Required	1-13
1.4	Issues Identified During Scoping	1-14
2.	Affected Environment	
2.1	Baseline Data Collection Methodology.....	2-1
2.2	Regional Setting	2-19
2.3	Alternative 2: SCE's Proposed Project	2-25
2.4	Alternative 3: West Lancaster Alternative.....	2-101
2.5	Alternative 4: Chino Hills Route Alternatives.....	2-101
2.6	Alternative 5: Partial Underground Alternative.....	2-105
2.7	Alternative 6: Maximum Helicopter Construction in the ANF Alternative	2-106
2.8	Alternative 7: 66-kV Subtransmission Alternative	2-112
3.	Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards	
3.1	Federal	3-1
3.2	State	3-4
3.3	Local	3-6
4.	Impact Analysis Approach	
4.1	Criteria for Determining Impact Significance.....	4-1
4.2	Applicant-Proposed Measures (APMs)	4-2
4.3	Impact Assessment Methodology	4-3
5.	Alternative 1 (No Project/Action): Impacts.....	5-1
6.	Alternative 2 (SCE's Proposed Project): Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
6.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	6-1
6.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis	6-138
7.	Alternative 3 (West Lancaster): Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
7.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	7-1
7.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis	7-17

8.	Alternative 4 (Chino Hills Routes): Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
8.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	8-2
8.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis	8-23
9.	Alternative 5 (Partial Underground): Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
9.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	9-1
9.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis	9-17
10.	Alternative 6 (Maximum Helicopter Construction in the ANF): Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
10.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	10-1
10.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis	10-21
11.	Alternative 7 (66-kV Subtransmission): Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
11.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	11-2
11.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis	11-18
12.	Comparison of Alternatives	12-1
13.	Summary of Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Requirements.....	13-1
14.	References	14-1

Volume 2

Appendices

- A Noxious Weed Assessment
- B Avian Risk Assessment
- C CNDBB Figures
- D Plant Species Considered but Rejected for Occurrence
- E Special-Status Plant Species Accounts
- F Plant Species Observed
- G Vegetation Mapping Figures
- H Vegetation Type Descriptions
- I Wildlife Species Considered but Rejected for Occurrence
- J Special-Status Wildlife Species Accounts
- K Wildlife Species Observed
- L RCA Crossings Figure

List of Tables

S-1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures – Biological Resources	S-6
S-2	Cumulative Effects Matrix – Alternative 2: SCE’s Proposed Project	S-10
S-3	Summary Comparison of Environmental Issues/Impacts – Biological Resources	S-21
1-1	Summary of Alternative 2 (SCE’s Proposed Project) Components	1-4
1-2	Issues Raised In Scoping	1-14
2-1	Vegetation Cross-walk	2-7
2-2	Locations and dates of special-status bat sampling using Anabat detectors for the Tehachapi Renewable Transmission Line Project (2007).....	2-14
2-3	Soil Types Present in the Northern Region	2-28
2-4	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Northern Region	2-28
2-5	Impacts to Management Indicators and Management Indicator Species for the ANF	2-38
2-6	Soil Types Present in the Central Region	2-38
2-7	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Central Region	2-39
2-8	Soil Types Present in the Southern Region	2-44
2-9	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Southern Region	2-45
2-10	Special-Status Plants with the Potential to Occur in the Project Area	2-48
2-11	Special-Status Wildlife with the Potential to Occur in the Project Area	2-72
2-12	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Alternative 3 Re-Route	2-101
2-13	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Alternative 4A Re-Route	2-102
2-14	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Alternative 4B Re-Route	2-103
2-15	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Alternative 4C Re-Route	2-104
2-15a	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Alternative 4C Modified Re-Route	2-104
2-16	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Alternative 4D Re-Route	2-105
2-17	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Alternative 5 Re-Route	2-106
2-18	Vegetation Types Occurring in Alternative 6	2-107
2-19	Vegetation Types Occurring in the Alternative 7 Re-Routes	2-113
4-1	Applicant-Proposed Measures – Biological Resources	4-2
6-1	Impacts to Vegetation Communities and Required Mitigation – Proposed Project Area Excluding NFS Lands	6-2
6-2	Impacts to Vegetation Communities and Required Mitigation – Proposed Project Area within the Angeles National Forest	6-4
6-3	General Effects of Herbicides on Plant and Wildlife Species	6-31
6-4	RCA Crossing Points Summary	6-35
6-5	Vegetation Communities within the Proposed Project Potentially Supporting Candidate, Forest Service Sensitive, or Special-status Plant Species	6-93
6-6	Estimated Loss of Suitable Habitat for Special-status Mammals within the Proposed Project Area	6-119
6-7	Alternative 2 Impacts to Management Indicator and Management Indicator Species for ANF	6-133
6-8	Cumulative Impacts for Biological Resources – Alternative 2	6-143
6-9	Development projects proposed, in progress, or recently completed within the Antelope Valley of Kern and Los Angeles Counties, California	6-145
6-10	Residential development projects proposed, in progress, or recently completed within the Chino and Puente Hills	6-146
13-1	Mitigation Monitoring Program – Biological Resources	13-2

List of Figures

2-1	TRTP Regional Location.....	2-3
2-2	Northern Region Project Location Map	2-21
2-3	Central Region Project Location Map	2-22
2-4	Southern Region Project Location Map	2-23