

# Table of Contents

<b>Summary</b> .....	S-1
<b>1. Introduction</b>	
1.1 Project Purpose and Need .....	1-1
1.2 The Proposed Project/Action and Alternatives .....	1-2
1.3 CPUC and Forest Service Approvals Required .....	1-16
1.4 Issues Identified During Scoping .....	1-17
<b>2. Affected Environment</b>	
2.1 Baseline Data Collection Methodology.....	2-1
2.2 Regional Setting .....	2-13
2.3 Alternative 2: SCE’s Proposed Project .....	2-16
2.4 Alternative 3: West Lancaster Alternative .....	2-102
2.5 Alternative 4: Chino Hills Route Alternatives.....	2-103
2.6 Alternative 5: Partial Underground Alternative.....	2-114
2.7 Alternative 6: Maximum Helicopter Construction in the ANF Alternative .....	2-116
2.8 Alternative 7: 66-kV Subtransmission Alternative .....	2-116
<b>3. Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards</b>	
3.1 Federal .....	3-1
3.2 State .....	3-1
3.3 Local .....	3-1
<b>4. Impact Analysis Approach</b>	
4.1 Criteria for Determining Impact Significance.....	4-3
4.2 Applicant-Proposed Measures (APMs) .....	4-3
4.3 Impact Assessment Methodology .....	4-4
<b>5. Alternative 1 (No Project/Action): Impacts</b> .....	5-1
<b>6. Alternative 2 (SCE’s Proposed Project): Impacts and Mitigation Measures</b>	
6.1 Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	6-1
6.2 Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	6-57
<b>7. Alternative 3: Impacts and Mitigation Measures</b>	
7.1 Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	7-1
7.2 Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	7-5

<b>8.</b>	<b>Alternative 4: Impacts and Mitigation Measures</b>	
8.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	8-1
8.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	8-8
<b>9.</b>	<b>Alternative 5: Impacts and Mitigation Measures</b>	
9.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	9-1
9.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	9-4
<b>10.</b>	<b>Alternative 6: Impacts and Mitigation Measures</b>	
10.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	10-1
10.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	10-14
<b>11.</b>	<b>Alternative 7: 66-kV Subtransmission Alternative</b>	
11.1	Direct and Indirect Effects Analysis.....	11-1
11.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis .....	11-4
<b>12.</b>	<b>Comparison of Alternatives.....</b>	<b>12-1</b>
<b>13.</b>	<b>Summary of Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Requirements.....</b>	<b>13-1</b>
<b>14.</b>	<b>References.....</b>	<b>14-1</b>

**Appendices**

- A. Photographs of the Existing Conditions and Visual Simulations for Each Key Observation Point
- B. Landscape Unit Maps and Key Observation Points
- C. Applicable Laws, Regulations and Standards for Visual Resources
- D. Landscape Character Photographs of Each Landscape Unit

**List of Tables**

S-1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures – Visual Resources .....	S-5
S-2	Summary of Significant and Unavoidable Impacts – Visual Resources .....	S-6
S-3	Cumulative Effects Matrix – Alternative 2: SCE’s Proposed Project .....	S-8
S-4	Summary Comparison of Environmental Issues/Impacts .....	S-10
1-1	Summary of Alternative 2 (SCE’s Proposed Project) Components.....	1-4
1-2	Issues Raised in Scoping: Visual Resources .....	1-17
2-1	Incorporated Cities of the South Area .....	2-3
2-2	General Guidance for Review of Visual Impact Significance for Non-NFS Lands .....	2-5
2-3	Scenic Integrity Objectives and Definitions for NFS Lands .....	2-8
2-4	Scenic Integrity Objectives by Mile for Alternative 2 (SCE’s Proposed Project) .....	2-9
2-5	Inconsistencies with Established Scenic Integrity Objectives of the 2005 Forest Plan .....	2-10
2-6	Alternative 2 (SCE’s Proposed Project) Components per Area and Alternatives by Area.....	2-16
2-7	Alternative 2 (Proposed Project) Components in Landscape Unit 1 .....	2-18
2-8	Alternative 2 (Proposed Project) Components in Landscape Unit 2 .....	2-25
2-9	Alternative 2 (Proposed Project) Components in Landscape Unit 3 .....	2-27
2-10	Alternative 2 (Proposed Project) Components in Landscape Unit 4 .....	2-34

**List of Tables (continued)**

2-11	Alternative 2 (Proposed Project) Components in Center Area (Landscape Units 5 through 8).....	2-36
2-12	Alternative 2 (Proposed Project) Segments 7 and 9 Components in Landscape Units 9, 10, and 12 .....	2-72
2-13	Alternative 2 (Proposed Project) Segments 8 and 9 Components in Landscape Units 13 through 19 .....	2-81
4-1	Applicant-Proposed Measures – Visual Resources (Aesthetics, per PEA) .....	4-3
6-1	Significance Criteria and Impacts – Visual Resources .....	6-1
6-2	Alternative 2 Components in the North Area (Landscape Units 1 through 4) .....	6-2
6-3	Alternative 2 Components in the Center Area (Landscape Units 5 through 8) .....	6-4
6-4	Alternative 2 Components in the South Area (Landscape Units 9 through 19) .....	6-5
6-5	In Landscapes That Currently Have No Transmission Lines, Specifically in Antelope Valley and Rose Hills Memorial Park, Locations Where Tubular Steel Poles and Colored Galvanizing Treatments are Recommended to Improve the Visual Environment.....	6-13
6-6	In Landscapes That Currently Have Transmission Lines, Locations Where Tubular Steel Poles and Colored Galvanizing Treatments Are Recommended to Improve the Visual Environment.....	6-36
6-7	Locations Where Colored Galvanizing Treatments are Recommended to Improve the Visual Environment .....	6-36
6-8	Locations Where New Structures Are Recommended to Match Spans of Existing 500-kV Structures, to the Extent Feasible and Practicable, to Improve the Visual Environment .....	6-38
6-9	Locations Where Slope Rounding and Re-Contouring Are Recommended to Improve the Visual Environment .....	6-50
6-10	Cumulative Impacts for Visual Resources – Alternative 2 .....	6-60
8-1	Additional Locations Where Tubular Steel Poles and Colored Galvanizing Treatments are Recommended to Improve the Visual Environment for Alternative 4 .....	8-6
12-1	Summary Comparison of Environmental Issues/Impacts .....	12-5
13-1	Mitigation Monitoring Program – Visual Resources .....	13-2