BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Consider)	
Refinements to and Further Development of the)	R.05-12-013
Commission's Resource Adequacy)	
Requirements Program)	
)	

PROPOSAL OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION REGARDING LOCAL RESOURCE ADEQUACY REQUIREMENTS

Charles F. Robinson, General Counsel Sidney M. Davies, Assistant General Counsel Grant A. Rosenblum, Regulatory Counsel California Independent System Operator 151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630

Telephone: 916-351-4400 Facsimile: 916-351-2350

Attorneys for the California Independent System Operator

Dated: January 31, 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Consider)	
Refinements to and Further Development of the)	R.05-12-013
Commission's Resource Adequacy)	
Requirements Program)	
)	

PROPOSAL OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION REGARDING LOCAL RESOURCE ADEQUACY REQUIREMENTS

The California Independent System Operator Corporation ("CAISO") respectfully submits its 2006 LCR Technical Study ("LCR Study") and supporting addendum as its local resource adequacy requirements ("RAR") proposal in accordance with D.05-10-042, the *Order Instituting Rulemaking* (R.05-12-013), filed December 15, 2005 ("OIR"), and the ruling of Administrative Law Judge Wetzell pursuant to Rule 48 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, dated January 31, 2006.

I. Introduction

The CAISO strongly supports the Commission's efforts to ensure reliable and costeffective electricity supply in California through refinement and augmentation of its adopted
RAR program. As we collaboratively address the centerpiece of this OIR, the development
and refinement of local RAR, the CAISO emphasizes its shared values with the Commission
regarding the long-term objectives of its RAR program, namely that (1) investment required
for reliability actually occurs, (2) resources are available to the grid when and where needed,
(3) capacity is available when the system is stressed, and (4) least cost principles are upheld.
California's success in creating a viable and sustainable RAR program is tied to our ability to
meet these critical objectives.

The goal of this filing is to clarify the underpinnings of the CAISO's LCR Study and urge the Commission to adopt the CAISO's LCR Study methodology. The CAISO's LCR Study addresses all of the Commission's objectives identified above and directly facilitates the realization of having capacity available when the system is stressed and making capacity available when and where needed. As such, this filing highlights these objectives and outlines:

- The benefits of adopting the reliability criteria used in the LCR Study
- The risks of relying on sub-optimal reliability standards
- The critical steps and timing required to develop the 2007 LCR Study

II. Discussion

A. CAISO LCR Study is Based on Proven Reliability Criteria

The CAISO's LCR Study relies on prudent reliability criteria and planning standards that reflect the day-to-day realities of operating the CAISO Controlled Grid and adhere to the widely understood and proven deterministic approach to grid planning sanctioned by the Western Electricity Coordinating Council ("WECC") and the North American Electric Reliability Council ("NERC").

To maintain today's level of reliability, the CAISO's LCR Study is appropriately based on the CAISO's ability to recover from overlapping contingencies while staying within the existing equipment and path ratings, and it uses a one-in-ten year peak summer load forecast, which is standard practice for local area grid planning in California.

The results reflected in the CAISO's LCR Study are closely aligned with the minimum planning and operating requirements that determine the resource commitments made under both the CAISO's Reliability Must Run ("RMR") and, notably, the FERC Must-Offer Waiver Process. An important clarification about the CAISO's LCR Study is that it does not apply criteria beyond existing grid planning standards; rather it reflects the operational reality that RMR alone cannot achieve the Commission's objective that generation be available when and where needed. The existing RMR criteria constitute a subset of the applicable CAISO Grid Planning Standards and are insufficient in identifying the necessary quantity of capacity that is required to maintain the minimum reliability standards currently used for day-to-day operations.

1. Complies with Defined and Accepted Planning Standards

The technical analysis conducted by the CAISO for determining LCR for 2006 conform to the CAISO's Grid Planning Process and Standards, which have been developed

2

It is important to use similar assumptions for the amount of generation committed when comparing the local capacity requirement in the LCR Study to current RMR designations. The local capacity requirements in the LCR Study also include the operational requirements that are currently being met through the FERC Must-Offer Obligation.

in consultation with Participating Transmission Owners, Utility Distribution Companies and other market participants.² The CAISO's Planning Standards consistently rely on national and regional grid planning standards, in particular the NERC and WECC Planning Standards. The CAISO's Planning Standards build from, rather than duplicate, standards that were developed by WECC and NERC. The CAISO's Planning Standards have the added benefit that they:

- Address specifics not covered in the NERC/WECC Planning Standards.
- Provide interpretations of the NERC/WECC Planning Standards specific to the CAISO Grid.
- Clearly identify where specific criteria should be adopted that are more stringent than the NERC and, or WECC planning standards.

2. Allows for Recovery from Overlapping Contingencies

Transmission system reliability studies evaluate system impacts due to the loss of one or two elements in the transmission system under peak generation and load conditions. As was done in this LCR Study, the CAISO determined if its system could withstand single or multiple contingency events under realistically stressed conditions without pre-contingency interruptible or firm load shedding in the local pockets.

The CAISO's LCR Study incorporates specific requirements that allow for recovery from simultaneous or overlapping contingencies that require generators inside the load pocket be used to prevent violating existing industry standards and criteria. In other words, the CAISO is planning for contingencies where the system suffers the loss of a single element, the system is readjusted without pre-contingency interruptible or firm load shedding, and then the loss of the next credible transmission contingency occurs.

Any adopted standard different than this must meet the Commission's objective of having capacity available when the system is stressed. When translated to load pockets, this means there are sufficient MWs, whether generation or permissible and accepted load dropping capability or schemes, within the load pocket to keep the system within emergency thermal limits and acceptable voltage limits and prevent the possibility of voltage collapse and, or transient instability.

-

² CAISO Tariff § 3.2.1.2.

3. Incorporates Critical 500 kV Path Mitigation Criteria

Under WECC/NERC standards, the CAISO must account for contingencies on the entire CAISO Controlled Grid, including maintaining flow levels below all established path ratings, including 500 kV.

The CAISO's previous RMR Studies, in conjunction with Local Area Reliability Service ("LARS") designations, never considered 500kV path mitigation. The assumption was that all 500 kV path flows would be met through available market mechanisms; however, this was not fully realized and the CAISO had to rely on generation from the FERC Must-Offer process to satisfy the 500 kV limits. The RMR study only evaluated single contingencies on the 500 kV system and below, and as such, the RMR study was too narrow in scope to account for the replacement of both RMR and Must-Offer generation. For the CAISO's LCR Study, it would be imprudent for the CAISO to overlook this critical detail. Accordingly, the CAISO has incorporated this criterion into the CAISO's LCR Study and recommends the Commission do so as well in order to meet the Commission's objectives that investment for reliability occurs and capacity is available when and where needed.

4. Uses the Most Appropriate Load Forecast

The CAISO appropriately uses a one-in-ten year peak summer load forecast as a benchmark for local reliability. Parties have expressed concern that this criterion is overly stringent. However, the CAISO believes it is appropriate and should be the Commission's adopted standard for the following reasons:

- A one-in-ten year peak forecast has been used as an established standard practice for transmission planning studies within California for local areas for determining if and what reinforcement of the transmission system is needed.
- A one-in-ten year peak summer load forecast is superior to a one-in-five year forecast since it better accommodates the absence of load and temperature diversity in small load pockets.
- Use of a lower one-in-five year forecast does not provide a determination of local area generation resources that would be comparable to a transmission reinforcement project and, thus, would lead to a continuing gap in having sufficient generation resources available during real-time operation.
- It would put the generation and demand side at a disadvantage during the

- resource procurement process because transmission projects are routinely approved using a one-in-ten year load forecast for local areas.
- Using anything less than this load forecast for local areas would create a gap that the CAISO would likely need to fill with its backstop authority

If a load forecasting methodology change is contemplated or a different standard adopted, such a change should be coordinated through the CAISO Grid Planning Standards Committee as any such change could have impacts beyond this proceeding.³

In summary, the CAISO's believes the use of a one-in-ten year peak summer load forecast for the LCR Study is appropriate and would better estimate the local capacity requirements in the load pockets and is more consistent with prior CPUC directives intended to diminish reliance on CAISO procurement authority and reestablish load-serving entities ("LSEs") as primarily responsible for procuring the resources necessary to meet the needs of their customers.⁴

5. Incorporates the Contribution from Non-Generation Alternatives

The 2006 CAISO's LCR Study incorporates all approved transmission projects, operating procedures and special protection systems ("SPS") into its analysis, and thus the local capacity requirements presented are net of the benefits provided by these nongeneration alternatives. Any future transmission projects, operating procedures or SPS alternatives would be identified and evaluated through the annual expansion plans. Future preferred non-generation alternatives would be included in the CAISO transmission expansion plan, which would also be included in future resource adequacy proceedings at the CPUC.

B. Risks of Relying on Sub-optimal Reliability Standards

Reliability is often a political and social issue that has very real and significant consequences if managed imprudently. As California has experienced, loss of load results in both financial and political fallout that is increasingly unacceptable to California consumers, especially given ever-increasing expectations of assured reliability. The CAISO urges the Commission to adopt the CAISO's input assumptions and the criteria set forth in the

See, California ISO Planning Standards, February 7, 2002, p. 8.

See, *Interim Opinion Regarding Electricity Issues*, D.04-07-028 (July 7, 2004).

CAISO's LCR Study by supporting:

- The ability to recover from overlapping contingencies
- The need to maintain flow levels below all established path ratings, including 500 kV
- A one-in-ten year peak summer load forecast for determining local capacity requirements

The CAISO cautions the Commission against readily adopting single contingency only outcomes. If it does, the CAISO will likely need contingency plans, including precontingency load shedding, given that in many load pockets, any scheduled or unscheduled outage of a transmission or generation facility will result in immediate classification as an N-1 condition. In addition, significant market price volatility could result if the CAISO is chronically short in the load pockets and must dispatch energy resources to meet its operational requirements in these areas. Adopting a standard that complies with both the single and double contingencies would better ensure sufficient capacity exists in the load pockets and should help minimize reliance on high spot market prices to encourage availability.

In summary, the CAISO believes accepting any lower standards for the possibility of achieving minimal upside benefits is not worth the potentially costly downside risks.

C. Critical Steps and Timing Required to Develop a New LCR Study

The CAISO is confident in the results of its 2006 LCR Study and believes that it incorporates all of the appropriate reliability criteria and planning standards that ensure capacity is available when and where needed. The CAISO intends to deliver to the Commission a new LCR Study for 2007; however, it is important the Commission understand the process necessary to develop a new study.

The most important component of the LCR Study is the underlying assumptions that are input into the planning model. The CAISO is hopeful the Commission will adopt the criteria used in the CAISO's 2006 LCR Study which will help ensure consistency between the 2006 and 2007 LCR Studies and will best meet the Commission's RAR program objectives.⁵ Thus, it is imperative this Commission promptly establish a durable set of study

inputs that the CAISO can use as the basis for this and future LCR Studies and allow the CAISO to effectively manage and deliver a timely LCR Study for 2007.⁶

Once the Commission adopts a set of input study assumptions, the CAISO will require a minimum of two (2) weeks to build the base cases for the local areas and six (6) weeks to run simulations, retrieve and interpret data, and write the final report. This minimum required time does not reflect an important period for stakeholder review and comment, which should be incorporated into the overall process.

Finally, if the resulting studies require further revision, the time to reprocess the base cases can take anywhere from two (2) weeks, if changing only contingency assumptions, to six (6) weeks if load data or other, more fundamental base case assumptions are changed. All of these estimated times are based on the assumption that the study will be for year 2007 only and not a multi-year study.

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the CAISO respectfully requests that the Commission adopt the CAISO's LCR Study, including the methodology and reliability criteria contained therein. The reliability benefits of doing so are clear and strongly support the agreed to long-term objectives for creating a sustainable and viable RAR program.

January 31, 2006

Respectfully Submitted:

Grant A. Rosenblum

Attorney for

California Independent System Operator

Substantively, the ability to recover from overlapping contingencies, the need to maintain flow levels below all established path ratings, including 500 kV, and a one-in-ten year peak summer load forecast for determining local capacity requirements.

The Commission should be aware, however, that to the extent the study assumptions result in LCR results that do not permit the CAISO to meet its Applicable Reliability Criteria, the CAISO will utilize available procurement authority.

ATTACHMENT 1

California ISO

LOCAL CAPACITY TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

OVERVIEW OF STUDY REPORT AND FINAL RESULTS

September 23, 2005

Table of Contents

	Pa	ge
l.	Executive Summary	1
II.	Stakeholder Process and General Background	3
III.	Description of Local Area Requirements under Resource Adequacy	4
IV.	The Study	5
A B C D	Key Study Assumptions Methodology and Criteria	6 6
V.	Summary of Final Locational Capacity Requirement Study Results	11
A B		
VI.	Summary of Results by Local Area	15
A B C D E F. G H I.	North Coast / North Bay Area Sierra Area Stockton Area Greater Bay Area Greater Fresno Area Kern Area LA Basin Area San Diego Area	15 16 17 18 20 22 23 24
VII.	Next Steps and Future Annual Technical Analyses	25

Local Capacity Technical Analysis Overview and Study Results

I. Executive Summary

As part of the Phase 2 Resource Adequacy workshops, the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") requested the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("CAISO") to perform a technical analysis to determine the amount of generation capacity (MW) required to reliably serve load within transmission constrained areas of the grid. ¹ This final overview documents the technical basis for a Local Capacity Requirement ("LCR") that meets this objective of ensuring reliable service by applying consistent criteria across the CAISO Control Area. The methodology and criteria used by the CAISO and the final LCR results are described below.²

Application of the LCR criteria to the CAISO Controlled Grid for 2006 resulted in an aggregate requirement for all Local Capacity Areas of 23,420 MW. (See Table 2 below). This total LCR consists of 16,230 MW of market only generation and 7,190 MW of Municipal and Regulatory Must-take (State, Federal, QFs and nuclear units) generation that already are part of some LSE portfolio and have an assured revenue stream. By comparison, the CAISO's Local Area Reliability Service ("LARS") technical analysis used to procure Reliability Must Run ("RMR") contracts results in 10,415 MW of market only generation and 7,190 MW of Municipal and Regulatory Must-Take generation, which in the study is assumed to be on-line. Thus, the difference for the entire CAISO Controlled Grid is 5,815 MW.

It is important to understand why the results of the LCR study exceed the quantity of capacity procured through the LARS technical analysis. There are three primary factors contributing to this differential:

1. The LCR criteria reflect the standards under which the CAISO must operate the CAISO Controlled Grid. The LARS criteria do not, and are not intended to, achieve this objective. The LCR allow the CAISO to operate the grid with an ability to recover from overlapping contingencies in which a major facility is lost from service (N-1), the system is then readjusted, and then another major facility (N-1 or common mode N-2) is lost from service. (See Table 1 below). These are the actual conditions under which the CAISO currently must operate the CAISO Controlled grid. The LARS study criteria simply look at a single or N-1 contingency. Therefore, the

¹ Interim Opinion Regarding Resource Adequacy, D.04-10-035 (Oct. 28, 2004) at p. 47.

² This report was originally issued in draft form on June 23, 2005: http://www.caiso.com/docs/2005/06/24/2005062408465116859.pdf. A revised report was issued on July 26, 2005: http://www.caiso.com/docs/09003a6080/36/b0/09003a608036b0c1.pdf

current RMR or LARS criteria constitute a small subset of the applicable CAISO Grid Planning Standards.

- 2. The LCR, but not LARS, considers 500kV path mitigation. The CAISO must account for contingencies on the entire CAISO Controlled Grid, including established 500 kV path ratings. However, given the narrow focus of LARS, the RMR technical analysis only evaluates single contingencies on the 500 kV system and below; 500 path mitigation was realized through markets only. As such, a significant portion of the discrepancy arises from the fact that the LARS analysis and the resulting MW amount of RMR contracts fail to account for generation committed, pursuant to the existing Federal Energy Regulatory Commission-imposed Must Offer Obligations ("MOO"), to satisfy the operational requirements the CAISO must follow, in order to stay within all 500 kV path ratings, and which are reflected in the LCR.
- 3. Change of load forecast from one-in-five peak load to a one-in-ten peak load. The LARS technical process was, in part, developed as a compromise among the CAISO and market participants. An element of this compromise was the use of a one-in-five year peak load forecast. However, for local load pockets, the CAISO believes a one-in-ten year load forecast is more appropriate because of the absence of load and temperature diversity in small load pockets.³ As such, generation procurement, transmission expansion and load demand side management are all using the same criteria.

The use of different load forecast levels explains much of the change between RMR and LCR for the SDG&E service territory. Moreover, it is important to use similar assumptions for the amount of generation being committed when comparing the LCR to the 2006 RMR designations. As noted, the LCR requirements include operational requirements now met, in significant part, but not wholly, through generation dispatched under the existing MOO. The published 2006 RMR designations do not include generation dispatched under MOO for such purposes. Therefore, the amount of generation that would have been committed under MOO should be added to the RMR designation total to produce a fair comparison. In this regard, the LCR is consistent with prior CPUC directives intended to diminish reliance on CAISO procurement authority and reestablish load-serving entities ("LSEs") as primarily responsible for procuring the resources necessary to meet the needs of their costumers.⁴

_

³ For example, the SDG&E transmission system has been consistently studied in the transmission expansion plans with a 1-in-10 load forecast to address these issues.

See, Interim Opinion Regarding Electricity Issues, D.04-07-028 (July 7, 2004).

For 2006, this RMR/MOO total is approximately 15,145 MW. (See, Table 2.) Thus, the amount of market generation capacity required for the 2006 LCR will exceed the RMR/MOO total by approximately 7% [(16,230 – 15,145)/15,145].⁵

The CAISO believes this study reflects the necessary and appropriate levels of resources for an effective local capacity obligation.

II. Stakeholder Process and General Background

The parameters of this study were initially presented and discussed with stakeholders at a CPUC workshop conducted in Folsom on January 25, 2005. The proposed methodology and criteria for this Local Capacity Area technical study were published as part of a "Straw Proposal" document that was distributed to the CPUC R.04-04-003 service list of workshop participants. This document has since been posted on the CAISO website at:

http://www.caiso.com/docs/2005/06/22/2005062214371421107.pdf

The preliminary results of this study were presented to stakeholders at a meeting on June 29, 2005. These preliminary results are posted on the CAISO website at:

http://www.caiso.com/docs/2005/06/24/2005062408465116859.pdf

The CAISO also reviewed revisions to this preliminary report with stakeholders during conference calls on July 20 and August 1, 2005. A number of suggestions from these stakeholder discussions are incorporated within this improved overview. This overview also identifies the transmission lines into these Local Capacity Areas and the substation facilities that encircle or are included within each Local Capacity Area. The CAISO believes this information can be used to geographically define each Local Capacity Area and to assign specific local capacity obligations to the LSEs that serve load within these geographic boundaries. The CAISO anticipates that the CPUC will establish such an allocation mechanism through the CPUC's upcoming orders on Resource Adequacy.

MOO capacity.

⁵ The estimated MOO numbers for 2005 and 2006 in Table 2 below, represent the capacity (in MWs) actually committed for MOO during year 2004 from generating units (other then RMR) in the Eastern and Western sub-area of the Los Angeles ("LA") Basin, less the units retired or expected to retire and with the addition of reasonably anticipated new units that would be subject to the current MOO process. FERC-MOO capacity is not included for other Local Capacity Areas because of the historic absence of significant MOO waiver denials in those areas to address local reliability concerns. Further, changes to the transmission system in 2005, i.e., South of Lugo upgrades, Path 26 upgrades and the addition of Miguel-Mission #2, have greatly reduced the daily quantity of capacity and overall costs of MOO in the LA Basin. This daily reduction may or may not change the data included in Table 2 because the same units may be committed in 2005, but simply at a more infrequent rate. Thus, while 7% may somewhat underestimate the overall procurement difference, any comparison of the generation actually required under present system operation and under LCR must account for

The final results of the study are expressed in MWs that are meant to define the minimum amount of capacity that is needed in each Local Capacity Area for reliable operation of the CAISO Controlled Grid. The CAISO is providing an attachment that lists the generating units that would be eligible for meeting the MW amounts that must be procured within each Local Capacity Area. The CAISO envisions a process where it provides the aforementioned list of substations and the appropriate generators to LSEs on an annual basis to guide them in meeting the LCR.

III. Description of Local Area Requirements under Resource Adequacy

The regulatory framework adopted by the CPUC in the October 28th 2004 decision on resource adequacy, D.04-10-035, includes three distinct categories by which generators would be assessed for their ability to deliver the output of electricity, and thereby count toward meeting an LSE's resource adequacy obligation. These three categories are briefly described below.

Deliverability of generation to the aggregate of load

This category measures the ability of generators to provide energy to the CAISO transmission system at peak load while not being limited by the transmission system or dispatch of other resources in the vicinity. The CAISO conducted a baseline study assessing the deliverability of existing generators and presented the preliminary results to stakeholders on May 9, 2005. An additional phase of this baseline study will be conducted soon to account for new generation projects with approved interconnection studies. Thereafter the deliverability of new resources will be assessed incrementally as part of the CAISO's technical studies to ensure the safe and reliable interconnection of new generators.

Deliverability of imports

This category identifies the generation capacity (MW) amounts that should be considered deliverable from outside the CAISO Controlled Grid through import paths. For this initial assessment, the CAISO analyzed data that reflected the historical use of intertie points between the CAISO's system and neighboring systems. The preliminary results for the deliverability of imports category also were presented to stakeholders on May 9, 2005.

Deliverability to load within transmission constrained areas

This category of deliverability is the focus of the study documented by this overview report. It identifies the generation capacity (MW) that must be procured within the LCA to reliably serve the load located LCAs within the CAISO Controlled Grid.

All three categories of deliverability are assumed to be part of the resource adequacy rules that will be implemented in June 2006. It is expected the CPUC will require that specific resources must be deliverable to the aggregate of load in order

to count as qualified capacity meeting an LSE's overall resource adequacy obligation. Generating units within load sub-area that qualify as deliverable to load within a transmission constrained Local Area could count both toward the Local Capacity Area obligation and the overall RA obligation for an LSE.

As indicated in documents reviewed at previous CPUC workshops,⁶ the CAISO's study for determining capacity requirements in transmission constrained areas includes analysis of the 500 kV system between three major zones: NP15, NP15+ZP26, and SP26. The determination of these zonal requirements is intended to ensure that sufficient capacity exists within each large zone so that transmission constraints between zones do not threaten reliability.

Finally, the CAISO intends to perform this Local Capacity Area technical analysis annually. However, the transmission constraints that give rise to the Local Capacity Requirement may be relieved with the identification and construction of additional transmission infrastructure through the CAISO's transmission planning process. While this is certainly feasible, the CAISO anticipates that the boundaries of Local Capacity Areas will be fairly static over a 3-5 year time horizon and the minimum amount of capacity procured within each Local Capacity Area should remain reasonably stable. In short, the Local Capacity requirement for each Local Capacity Area may decline as transmission improvements relieve constraints, or increase proportionally as load grows; however, LSEs should be able to anticipate these changes over the long-term in order to strategically plan how to reach their procurement targets.

IV. The Study

A. Objectives

The purpose of the CAISO's Local Capacity Technical Analysis was to identify specific areas within the CAISO Controlled Grid that have local reliability problems and to determine the generation capacity (MW) that would be required to mitigate these local reliability problems. The results of this overview show:

- A. The minimum generation capacity (in MWs) that must be available within each Local Capacity Area;
- B. Transmission lines and substations that encircle each Local Capacity Area, from which a geographical description can be drawn to identify which load is encompassed within each sub-area;
- C. Generating units that are located within each Local Capacity Area that would be eligible to count toward meeting the LCR for that area.

⁶ http://www.caiso.com/docs/2005/06/22/2005062214371421107.pdf.

In some of the Local Capacity Areas, there are insufficient generation resources to mitigate the reliability criteria violations that occur. These Local Capacity Areas are highlighted in the Overview to provide guidance on where new transmission infrastructure or new generation resources could be added.

B. Key Study Assumptions

Many of the assumptions related to generation adopted for this study are similar to the assumptions made for RMR studies, including the availability of "Must Take" resources at their contract ratings, the dispatch of hydro generation and the explicit representation of municipal, state, federal and QF generating units in the power flow base cases.

The CAISO utilized the "2006 CAISO Controlled Grid – Summer Peak" as the starting base case for the local area power flows. To complete the local area component of this study, this base case was adjusted to reflect a one-in-ten-year peak load forecast for each local area as provided to the ISO by the Participating Transmission Owners ("PTOs"). To complete the zonal component of this study, the base case was adjusted to reflect a one-in-five-year peak load forecast for each zone. The lower forecast is acceptable on a zonal level due to higher diversity of load and temperature at peak time and consistent with the transmission expansion plans provided by the PTOs. Electronic contingency files provided by the PTOs were utilized to perform the numerous contingencies required to identify the LCR needs. These contingency files include remedial action and special protection schemes that are expected to be in operation during 2006.

C. Methodology and Criteria

The CAISO's study followed the proposed methodology and criteria that were published as part of a "Straw Proposal" document that was distributed to the CPUC R.04-04-003 service list of workshop participants. A comparison of the proposed LCR criteria to the existing RMR and WECC/NERC criteria is shown in Table 1. As can be seen from this table, the proposed LCR criteria, while more extensive than the existing RMR criteria, is consistent with the CAISO Grid Planning criteria. A brief description of how the CAISO applied the criteria in its study is provided below.

Performance Level A

This a normal operating condition with no overloads and all voltages within their normal operating limits.

Performance Level B

This performance level incorporates N-1 contingencies that could include the loss of a single generator, a single transmission line or a single transformer bank. This standard requires enough generation so that the system avoids voltage collapse or transient instability as a result of these potential N-1

scenarios. The transmission system also should remain within emergency thermal limits and acceptable voltage limits. Following this N-1 contingency the generation must be sufficient to allow for operators to bring the system back to within acceptable (normal) operating range (voltage and loading) and/or appropriate OTC.

Performance Level C

This performance level requires sufficient generation for the system to absorb the loss of a generating unit or transmission facility, readjust to a normal operating state, and then suffer the loss of another transmission facility. This standard requires a MW amount within that Local Capacity Area sufficient to keep the system within emergency thermal limits and acceptable voltage limits, as well as avoiding voltage collapse and transient instability.

Performance Level C also incorporates common mode failure N-2 contingencies that could include the simultaneous loss of two transmission lines or two generating units. This standard requires enough generation so that the system avoids voltage collapse or transient instability as a result of these potential N-2 scenarios. The transmission system also should remain within emergency thermal limits and acceptable voltage limits.

Operating Requirements

This study also incorporated specific operating requirements, needed in order to prevent voltage collapse or transient instability for "N-1, followed by N-2" contingencies. This would include contingencies where the system suffers the loss of a single generating unit or transmission line, the system is readjusted and then the simultaneous loss (common mode failure)⁷ of two transmission lines occurs.

Consistent with NERC standards, after the second N-1 or immediately after the common mode N-2 load shedding is allowed as long as all criteria (thermal, voltage, transient, reactive margin) are respected. However, while the CAISO criteria generally allows for load shedding for the N-1, N-2 contingencies, the CAISO has also maintained the level of reliability that existed prior to its formation. As such, to the extent a PTO's pre-CAISO standards did not allow for load shedding for common corridor and/or double circuit tower line outages, the CAISO has maintained that practice to assure that the level of reliability that prevailed before the CAISO was formed would be maintained.

⁷ These failures include a double circuit tower and the loss of two 500kv lines that are located in the same corridor.

D. Table 1: Criteria Comparison

Table 1 Criteria Comparison				
Contingency Component(s)	ISO Grid Planning Criteria	Existing RMR Criteria	Locational Capacity Criteria	
A - No Contingencies	x	X	x	
B – Loss of a single element 1. Generator (G-1) 2. Transmission Circuit (L-1) 3. Transformer (T-1) 4. Single Pole (dc) Line 5. G-1 system readjusted L-1	X X X X	X X X ² X X	X1 X1 X1,2 X1 X	
C – Loss of two or more elements 1. Bus Section 2. Breaker (failure or internal fault) 3. L-1 system readjusted G-1 3. G-1 system readjusted T-1 or T-1 system readjusted G-1 3. L-1 system readjusted G-1 3. L-1 system readjusted G-1 3. L-1 system readjusted L-1 3. T-1 system readjusted T-1 4. Bipolar (dc) Line 5. Two circuits (Common Mode) L-2 6. SLG fault (stuck breaker or protection failure) for G-1 7. SLG fault (stuck breaker or protection failure) for T-1 8. SLG fault (stuck breaker or protection failure) for Bus section WECC-S3. Two generators (Common Mode) G-2	X X X X X X X X X X		X X X X	
<u>D – Extreme event – loss of two or more elements</u> Any B1-4 system readjusted (Common Mode) L-2 All other extreme combinations D1-14.	X4 X4		х3	

- 1 System must be able to readjust to normal limits.
- ² A thermal or voltage criterion violation resulting from a transformer outage may not be cause for a local area reliability requirement if the violation is considered marginal (e.g. acceptable loss of facility life or low voltage), otherwise, such a violation will necessitate creation of a requirement.
- ³ Evaluate for risks and consequence, per NERC standards. No voltage collapse or dynamic instability allowed.
- 4 Evaluate for risks and consequence, per NERC standards.

A significant number of simulations were run to determine the most critical contingencies within each Local Capacity Area. Using power flow, post-transient load flow, and stability assessment tools, the system performance results of all the contingencies that were studied were measured against the system performance requirements defined by the criteria shown in Table 1. Where the specific system performance requirements were not met, generation was adjusted such that the minimum amount of generation required to meet the criteria was determined in the Local Capacity Area. The following describes how the criteria were tested for the specific type of analysis performed.

Power Flow Assessment:

<u>Contingencies</u>	Thermal Criteria ³	Voltage Criteria ⁴
Generating unit 1,6	Applicable Rating	Applicable Rating
Transmission line 1,6	Applicable Rating	Applicable Rating
Transformer ^{1, 6}	Applicable Rating ⁵	Applicable Rating ⁵
(G-1)(L-1) ^{2, 6}	Applicable Rating	Applicable Rating
Overlapping 6, 7	Applicable Rating	Applicable Rating

- All single contingency outages (i.e. generating unit, transmission line or transformer) will be simulated on Participating Transmission Owners' local area systems.
- ² Key generating unit out, system readjusted, followed by a line outage. This over-lapping outage is considered a single contingency within the ISO Grid Planning Criteria. Therefore, load dropping for an overlapping G-1, L-1 scenario is not permitted.
- Applicable Rating Based on ISO Transmission Register or facility upgrade plans.
- Applicable Rating ISO Grid Planning Criteria or facility owner criteria as appropriate.
- A thermal or voltage criterion violation resulting from a transformer outage may not be cause for a local area reliability requirement if the violation is considered marginal (e.g. acceptable loss of facility life or low voltage), otherwise, such a violation will necessitate creation of a requirement.
- Following the first contingency (N-1), the generation must be sufficient to allow the operators to bring the system back to within acceptable (normal) operating range (voltage and loading) and/or appropriate OTC following the studied outage conditions.

During normal operation or following the first contingency (N-1), the generation must be sufficient to allow the operators to prepare for the next worst N-1 or common mode N-2 without pre-contingency interruptible or firm load shedding. SPS/RAS/Safety Nets may be utilized to satisfy the criteria after the second N-1 or common mode N-2 except if the problem is of a thermal nature such that short-term ratings could be utilized to provide the operators time to shed either interruptible or firm load. T-2s (two transformer bank outages) would be excluded from the criteria.

Post Transient Load Flow Assessment:

<u>Contingencies</u>
<u>Reactive Margin Criteria</u>

Selected ¹

Applicable Rating

- If power flow results indicate significant low voltages for a given power flow contingency, simulate that outage using the post transient load flow program. The post-transient assessment will develop appropriate Q/V and/or P/V curves.
- Applicable Rating positive margin based on the higher of imports or load increase by 5% for N-1 contingencies, and 2.5% for N-2 contingencies.

Stability Assessment:

<u>Contingencies</u> <u>Stability Criteria</u> ²
Selected ¹ Applicable Rating

- Base on historical information, engineering judgment and/or if power flow or post transient study results indicate significant low voltages or marginal reactive margin for a given contingency.
- Applicable Rating ISO Grid Planning Criteria or facility owner criteria as appropriate.

Loss of Load Probability:

Loss of Load Probability ("LOLP") is a study methodology that can be used to establish the level of capacity required in each local area by performing a probabilistic analysis to achieve a specified probability for loss of load. In the established Eastern markets, a one-event in ten years LOLP methodology is used to determine LSE capacity obligations. The LOLP approach provides a potentially more uniform reliability result than the proposed deterministic approach. In the future, if the LOLP approach is determined to be a more desirable approach, then the LOLP analysis will be incorporated into the criteria if and when a criteria and methodology for applying it has been developed. Any LOLP criteria and methodology will need to be reviewed by stakeholders and approved by the CPUC. Until such time, the LOLP approach will not be used to establish LSE capacity requirements, and the deterministic approach defined above will be used.

V. Summary of Final Locational Capacity Requirement Study Results

The LCR results reflect two sets of generation. The first set is "market only" generation. The second set is comprised of generation that would normally be expected to be on-line such as Municipal generation and Regulatory Must-take generation (State, Federal, QFs and nuclear units).

The CAISO's technical analyses for both RMR and LCR studies have assumed this second set of generation to be available and on-line. However, the CAISO's previous RMR documentation did not specifically identify the amount of this generation in its documentation primarily because, by definition, RMR is only the amount of market only generation that is needed to address local reliability issues. As such, the total LCR cannot be compared to the RMR/Must Offer total unless the set of Municipal/Regulatory Must-take generation is appropriately accounted for in the comparison.

Within this overview, LCR is defined as the amount of generating capacity that is required within a Local Capacity Area to reliably serve the load located within this area. Therefore, the "Total LCR" for any given Local Capacity Area includes both the market only generation identified for RAR procurement and the Municipal and Regulatory Must-take generation that is assumed to be on-line.

The results of the CAISO's analysis are summarized in the following two tables.

A. Table 2: Local Requirements Comparison

Table 2						
Local Area Name	irements Comparison: RMR vs. LC 2005 RMR 2006 RMR LCR (MW) (MW) (MW)					
Humboldt	124	125	126	162		
North Coast / North Bay	517	273	518	658		
Sierra	384	468	808	1770*		
Stockton	57	100	244	440*		
Greater Bay	4000	4000	4600	6009		
Greater Fresno	1558	1691	2529	2837 *		
Kern	N/A	N/A	171	797*		
LA Basin	1390 4700 (MOO)	1389 4730 (MOO)	4800	8127		

San Diego	2019	2369	2434	2620
Total	14749	15145	16230	23420

^{*} Generation deficient areas (or with sub-area that are deficient) – deficiency included in LCR

The "2005 RMR" and "2006 RMR" columns represent the total market generation requirements, based on the RMR criteria, with the assumption that all Muni, State, Federal, QFs and nuclear units are on-line and available to serve load. For the LA Basin (total between the east and west sub-areas), those columns also include capacity committed historically by the CAISO under the existing FERC-MOO to satisfy planning and operational criteria. The estimated MOO numbers for 2005 and 2006, represents the capacity (in MWs) actually committed for MOO during year 2004, from generating units (other then RMR) in the Eastern and Western sub-area of the LA Basin, less the units retired or expected to retire and with the addition of reasonably anticipated new units that would be subject to the current MOO process. FERC-MOO capacity is not included for other Local Capacity Areas because of the historic absence of significant MOO waiver denials in those areas to address local reliability concerns.⁸

The "2006 market only LCR" column represents the total market generation requirements, based on the LCR criteria, with the assumption that all Muni, State, Federal, QFs and nuclear units are on-line and available to serve load. This column compares the MW requirements under the RMR versus the LCR criterion using the same generation assumptions. The CAISO believes these results compared with those in the previous column are the most important to view when considering the overall impact of transitioning to LCR from the existing RMR process. It should be noted that for the LA Basin the total of 4800 MW represents the minimum generation requirement prescribed by technical studies and assumes the most effective generation facilities are on-line. In contrast, the MOO historical data (2004) underlying the 2006 RMR/MOO column reflects waiver denials across the entire year, not at a specific point in time. As such, there is a great chance that different sets of units were used at different times to address similar reliability concerns because of unit availability. This accounts, in part, for the higher MW quantity in the 2006 RMR column than in the 2006 market only LCR column.

The last column represents the "2006 Total LCR requirement" that all LSEs have to procure in local areas under the CPUC Locational Capacity Requirements. This last column includes all units needed to maintain system reliability. The difference between the "2006 market only LCR" and the "2006 Total LCR" is all the Muni, State, Federal, QFs and nuclear units that were considered on-line and available to serve load in all previous RMR studies.

⁸ See footnote 4 above for further details.

B. Table 3: Local Capacity Requirements vs. Peak Load and Local Area Generation

Table 3 Local Capacity Requirements vs. Peak Load and Local Area Generation that need to be served by all LSE in that local area						
Local Area Name	2006 Total LCR (MW)	Peak Load (1 in10) (MW)	2006 LCR as % of Peak Load	Total Dependable Local Area Generation (MW)	2006 LCR as % of Total Area Generation	
Humboldt	162	195	83%	168	96%	
North Coast/North Bay	658	1,494	44%	888	74%	
Sierra	1,770	1,791	99%	1,713	103%**	
Stockton	440	924	48%	458	96%**	
Greater Bay	6,009	9,485	63%	7,591	79%	
Greater Fresno	2,837	3,117	91%	2,651	107%**	
Kern	797	1,209	66%	839	95%**	
LA Basin	8,127	18,839	43%	10,309	79%	
San Diego	2,620	4,578	57%	2,957	89%	
Total	23.420	41.632*	56%*	27.574	85%	

^{*} Value shown only illustrative, since each local area peaks at a different time.

** Generation deficient LCA (or with sub-area that are deficient) – deficiency included in LCR. Generator deficient area it implies that in order to comply with the criteria, at summer peak, load must be shed immediately after the first contingency.

Table 3 shows how much of the local area load is dependent on local generation and how much local generation needs to be available in order to reliably (see LCR criteria) serve the load in those Local Capacity Areas. This table also indicates where new transmission projects, new generation additions or demand side management programs would be most useful in order to reduce the dependency on existing (mostly old and inefficient) local area generation.

VI. Summary of Results by Local Area

A. Humboldt Area

The most critical contingency for the Humboldt area is the outage of the Bridgeville-Cottonwood 115 kV line over-lapping with an outage of one Humboldt Bay Power Plant. The local area limitation is low voltage and reactive power margin. This multiple contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 162 MW (includes 36 MW of QF and Muni generation) as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this area.

The transmission tie lines into the area include:

- 1) Bridgeville-Cottonwood 115 kV line #1
- 2) Humboldt-Trinity 115 kV line #1
- 3) Willits-Garberville 60 kV line #1
- 4) Trinity-Maple Creek 60 kV line #1

The substations that delineate the Humboldt Area are:

- 1) Bridgeville 115 kV
- 2) Humboldt 115 kV
- 3) Kekawaka 60 kV
- 4) Ridge Cabin 60 kV

B. North Coast / North Bay Area

The North Coast/North Bay Area is composed of two sub-areas and the generation requirements within them. The most critical contingency for the Eagle Rock-Fulton Sub-area is described by the outage of the Fulton-Ignacio 230 kV line #1 and the Fulton-Lakeville 230 kV line #1. The sub-area area limitation is thermal overloading of the Corona-Penngrove section of the Corona-Lakeville 115 kV line #1. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 319 MW (includes 79 MW of QF and Muni generation) as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

The transmission tie facilities coming into this sub-area are:

- 1) Fulton-Lakeville 230 kV line #1
- 2) Fulton-Ignacio 230kV line #1
- 3) Cortina 230/115 kV Transformer #1
- 4) Lakeville-Sonoma 115 kV line #1
- Corona-Lakeville 115 kV line #1
- 6) Willits-Garberville 60 kV line #1

The substations that delineate the Eagle Rock-Fulton sub-area are:

- 1) Fulton 230 kV
- 2) Corona 115 kV
- 3) Sonoma 115 kV
- 4) Cortina 115 kV
- 5) Laytonville 60 kV

The most critical contingency for the Lakeville Sub-area would be outages on Vaca-Dixon-Lakeville 230 kV line #1 and the Crockett-Sobrante 230 kV line #1. The sub-area area limitation is thermal overloading of the Tulucay-Vaca Dixon 230 kV line #1. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 658 MW (includes 140 MW of QF and Muni generation) as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area. The LCR requirement for Eagle Rock/Fulton sub-area can be counted toward fulfilling the requirement of Lakeville sub-area

.

The transmission tie lines into this sub-area are:

- 1) Vaca Dixon-Lakeville 230 kV line #1
- 2) Tulucay-Vaca Dixon 230 kV line #1
- 3) Lakeville-Sobrante 230 kV line #1
- 4) Ignacio-Sobrante 230 kV line #1
- 5) Ignacio-Fulton 230 kV line #1
- 6) Lakeville-Fulton 230 kV line #1
- 7) Lakeville-Corona 115 kV line #1
- 8) Lakeville-Sonoma 115 kV line #1

The substations that delineate the Lakeville sub-area are:

- 1) Lakeville 230 kV
- 2) Ignacio 230 kV
- 3) Tulucay 230 kV
- 4) Lakeville 115 kV

C. Sierra Area

The most critical contingencies in the Sierra Area are 1) the loss of the Poe-Rio Oso 230 kV line #1 and the Colgate – Rio Oso 230 kV line #1, and 2) the loss of the Cresta-Rio Oso 230 kV line #1 and the Colgate – Rio Oso 230 kV line #1. The area limitation is thermal overloading of the Table Mt-Rio Oso 230 kV line #1. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 1770 MW (includes 962 MW of QF and Muni generation and an LCR Deficiency of 143 MW)

as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this area.

This area has numerous sub-areas (minimum six – see RMR report), however since all units are needed to maintain the overall requirement, no additional detailed sub-area analysis is needed at this time.

The transmission tie lines into the Sierra Area are:

- 1) Table Mountain-Rio Oso 230 kV line
- 2) Table Mountain-Palermo 230 kV line
- 3) Table Mt-Pease 60 kV line
- 4) Caribou-Palermo 115 kV line
- 5) Drum-Summit 115 kV line #1
- 6) Drum-Summit 115 kV line #2
- 7) Spaulding-Summit 60 kV line
- 8) Brighton-Bellota 230 kV line
- 9) Rio Oso-Lockeford 230 kV line
- 10) Gold Hill-Eight Mile Road 230 kV line
- 11) Gold Hill-Lodi Stig 230 kV line
- 12) Gold Hill-Lake 230 kV line

The substations that delineate the Sierra Area are:

- 1) Table Mountain 60 kV
- 2) Table Mountain 230 kV
- 3) Big Bend 115 kV
- 4) Drum 115 kV
- 5) Tamarack 60 kV
- 6) Brighton 230 kV
- 7) Rio Oso 230 kV
- 8) Gold Hill 230 kV

D. Stockton Area

The requirement for this area is driven by the requirement for the Tesla-Bellota Subarea and Lockeford Sub-area.

The critical contingency for the Tesla-Bellota Sub-area is the loss of Tesla-Tracy 115 kV and Tesla-Schulte 115 kV #1. The capacity needed for this sub-area is 449 MWs. The area limitation is thermal overloading of the Tesla-AEC section of Tesla-Kasson-Manteca 115 kV line

CAISO Regional Transmission

This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 449 MW (includes 229 MW of QF and Muni generation)

as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this area.

The transmission facilities that establish the boundary of the Tesla-Bellota Sub-area are:

- 1) Bellota 230/115 kV Transformer #1
- 2) Bellota 230/115 kV Transformer #2
- 3) Tesla-Tracy 115 kV Line
- 4) Tesla-Salado 115 kV Line
- 5) Tesla-Salado-Manteca 115 kV line
- 6) Tesla-Shulte 115 kV Line
- 7) Tesla-Manteca 115 kV Line

The substations that delineate the Tesla-Bellota Sub-area are:

- 1) Tesla 115 kV
- 2) Bellota 115 kV

The critical contingency for the Lockeford Sub-area is the loss of Lockeford-Industrial 60 kV and Lockeford-Lodi #2 60 kV. The capacity needed for this sub-area is 92 MWs. The area limitation is thermal overloading of the Lockeford-Colony section of the Lockeford-Lodi #1 60 kV line

This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 92 MW (includes 2 MW of QF generation)

as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this area.

The transmission facilities that establish the boundary of the Lockeford Sub-area are:

- 1) Lockeford-Industrial 60 kV line
- 2) Lockeford-Lodi #1 60 kV line
- 3) Lockeford-Lodi #2 60 kV line
- 4) Lockeford-Lodi #3 60 kV line

The substations that delineate the Lockeford Sub-area is:

1) Lockeford 60 kV

E. Greater Bay Area

The most limiting contingencies within the Greater Bay Area are an over-lapping outage of the Tesla-Metcalf 500 kV line with the Tesla-Newark #1 230 kV line. The

amount of generation required within the Greater Bay Area is predicated on staying within the emergency rating of the Tesla-Newark #2 230 kV line and specifically that portion of the line consisting of bundled 1113 AL conductor emanating from Newark Substation. This requires 6,009 MW of generation resources (includes 1409 MW of QF and Muni generation) within the Greater Bay area.

There are four sub-areas within this area where there is dependence on specific generation facilities to mitigate a reliability problem. These areas are:

San Francisco Sub-area - Per the CAISO Revised Action Plan for SF, all Potrero units (365 MW) will continued to be required until completion of the plan as it is presently described.

Oakland Sub-area - The most critical contingency is an outage of either the C-X 115 kV cable or the D-L 115 kV cable (with one of the Oakland CT's off-line) . The sub-area area limitation is thermal overloading of either the C-X 115 kV cable or the D-L 115 kV cable

This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 100 MW (includes 50 MW of Muni generation) as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

San Jose Sub-area - The most critical contingency is an outage between Metcalf and Morgan Hill 115 kV (with one of the Gilroy Peaker off-line).

The sub-area area limitation is thermal overloading of the Metcalf-Llagas 115 kV line. As documented within an CAISO Operating Procedure, this limitation is dependent on power flowing in the direction from Metcalf to Llagas/Morgan Hill. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 100 MW as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

Pittsburg Sub-area - The most critical contingency is an outage of the Pittsburg-Tesla #1 or #2 230 kV line (with Delta Energy Center off-line)

. The sub-area area limitation is thermal overloading of the parallel Pittsburg-Tesla 230 kV line

This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 2363 MW (includes 763 MW of QF generation) as the minimum capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

The transmission tie lines into the Greater Bay Area are:

- 1) Lakeville-Sobrante 230 kV
- 2) Ignacio-Sobrante 230 kV
- 3) Parkway-Moraga 230 kV
- 4) Bahia-Moraga 230 kV
- 5) Lambie SW Sta-Contra Costa Sub 230 kV

- 6) Peabody-Contra Costa P.P. 230 kV
- 7) Kelso-Brentwood 230 kV
- 8) Tesla-Delta Switching Yard 230 kV
- 9) Tesla-Pittsburg #1 230 kV
- 10) Tesla-Pittsburg #2 230 kV
- 11) Tesla-Newark #1 230 kV
- 12) Tesla-Newark #2 230 kV
- 13) Tesla-Tracy #1 230 kV
- 14) Tesla-Tracy #2 230 kV
- 15) Tesla-Ravenswood 230 kV
- 16) Tesla-Metcalf 500 kV
- 17) Moss Landing-Metcalf 500 kV
- 18) Moss Landing-Metcalf #1 230 kV
- 19) Moss Landing-Metcalf #2 230 kV
- 20) Green Valley-Morgan Hill #1 115 kV
- 21) Green Valley-Morgan Hill #2 115 kV
- 22) Oakdale TID-Newark #1 115 kV
- 23) Oakdale TID-Newark #2 115 kV

The substations that delineate the Greater Bay Area are:

- 1) Lakeville 230 kV
- 2) Ignacio 230 kV
- 3) Moraga 230 kV
- 4) Lambie SW Sta 230 kV
- 5) Kelso 230 kV
- 6) Contra Costa P.P. 230 kV
- 7) Pittsburg 230 kV
- 8) Tesla 230 kV
- 9) Metcalf 500 kV
- 10) Moss Landing 500 kV
- 11) Morgan Hill 115 kV
- 12) Newark 115 kV

F. Greater Fresno Area

Wilson Sub-area: The most critical contingency for the Wilson sub-area is the loss of the Wilson - Melones 230 kV line, which would thermally overload the Wilson - Warnerville 230 kV line

This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 1560 MW (which includes 105 MW of muni generation and 203 MW of QF generation) as the minimum generation capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

CAISO Regional Transmission

At least 120 MWs of the 1560 MW must come from the Helms generating units.

Herndon Sub-area: The most critical contingency for the Herndon sub-area is the loss of the Herndon 230/115 kV bank 1, which would thermally overload the parallel Herndon 230/115 kV bank 2. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 1,207 MW (which includes 153 MW of QF generation and 50 MW of area deficiency) as the minimum generation capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

McCall Sub-area: The most critical contingency for the McCall sub-area is the loss of Kings River – Sanger – Reedley 115 kV line, which would thermally overload the McCall – Wahtoke 115 kV line. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 1,346 MW (which includes 60 MW of QF generation and 36 MW of area deficiency) as the minimum generation capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

Henrietta Sub-area: Within the Henrietta sub-area a minimum 40 MW generation capacity is needed to mitigate the Henrietta 230/70 kV bank overload.

Merced Sub-area: The most critical contingencies for the Merced sub-area is the double line outage of the Wilson – Atwater 115 kV #1 and #2 lines, which would thermally overload the Wilson – Merced 115 kV #1 and #2 lines. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 172 MW (which includes 105 MW of muni generation, 4 MW of QF generation and 60 MW of area deficiency) as the minimum generation capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

In conclusion for the Greater Fresno Area, the total accumulative Local Capacity Requirement for the five sub-areas is 4323 MW. Because of the overlapping LCR MWs requirements among the sub-areas, the total aggregate LCR requirement for the Greater Fresno Area is 2837 MW (includes 105 MW of muni generation, 203 MW of QF generation and 146 MW of total three sub-area deficiency).

The transmission facilities coming into the Greater Fresno area are:

- 1) Gates-Henrietta Tap 1 230 kV
- 2) Gates-Henrietta Tap 2 230 kV
- 3) Gates #1 230/115 kV Transformer Bank
- 4) Los Banos #3 230/70 Transformer Bank
- 5) Los Banos #4 230/70 Transformer Bank
- 6) Panoche-Gates #1 230 kV
- 7) Panoche-Gates #2 230 kV
- 8) Panoche-Coburn 230 kV
- 9) Panoche-Moss Landing 230 kV
- 10) Panoche-Los Banos #1 230 kV
- 11) Panoche-Los Banos #2 230 kV

- 12) Panoche-Dos Amigos 230 kV
- 13) Warnerville-Wilson 230 kV
- 14) Wilson-Melones 230 kV
- 15) Corcoran Alpaugh Smyrna 115 kV
- 16) Coalinga #1-San Miguel 70 kV

The substations that delineate the Greater Fresno area are:

- 1) Los Banos 230 kV
- 2) Gates 230 kV
- 3) Panoche 230 kV
- 4) Wilson 230 kV
- 5) Alpaugh 115 kV
- 6) Coalinga 70 kV

G. Kern Area

Kern PP Sub-area: The most critical contingency for the Kern PP sub-area is the outage of the Kern PP 230/115 kV transformer Bank 5 and the Kern PP – Kern Front 115 kV line, which would thermally overload the parallel Kern PP 230/115 kV Bank 3 and Bank 3a. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 771 MW (which includes 618 MW of QF generation and 132 MW of area deficiency) as the minimum generation capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

Weedpatch Sub-area: The most critical contingency is the loss of the Wheeler Ridge – San Bernard 70 kV line and the Wheeler Ridge – Tejon 70 kV line, which would thermally overload the Wheeler Ridge – Weedparch 70 kV line and cause low voltage problem at the local 70 kV transmission system. This limiting contingency establishes a Local Capacity Requirement of 26 MW (which includes 8 MW of QF generation and 10 MW of area deficiency) as the minimum generation capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within this sub-area.

In conclusion, for the Kern Area, the total accumulative and aggregate Local Capacity Requirement for the two sub-areas is 797 MW (which includes 626 MW of QF generation and 142 MW of total two sub-area deficiency).

The transmission facilities coming into the Kern PP sub-area are:

- 1) Wheeler Ridge-Lamont 115 kV line
- 2) Kern PP 230/115 kV Bank # 3 & 3A
- 3) Kern PP 230/115 kV Bank # 4
- 4) Kern PP 230/115 kV Bank # 5
- 5) Midway 230/115 Bank # 1

- 6) Midway 230/115 Bank # 2 & 2a
- 7) Temblor San Luis Obispo 115 kV line

These sub-stations form the boundary surrounding the Kern PP sub-area:

- 1) Midway 115 kV
- 2) Kern PP 115 kV
- 3) Wheeler Ridge 115 kV
- 4) Temblor 115 kV

The transmission facilities coming into the Weedpatch sub-area are:

- 1) Wheeler Ridge 115/60 kV Bank
- 2) Wheeler Ridge 230/60 kV Bank

These sub-stations form the boundary surrounding the Weedpatch sub-area:

1) Wheeler Ridge 60 kV

H. LA Basin Area

The total market generation requirement for the LA Basin is 4,800 MW. This area's generation requirement is defined by two sub-areas (the Western and Eastern Sub-areas). The combined Local Area Requirement is 8127 MW of which 3327 MW includes the San Onofre Nuclear Power Plant and QF and Muni generation.

The critical contingency for the in the Western Sub-area is the loss of Vincent - Rio Hondo 230 kV line #2, followed by loss of Mesa - Vincent 230 kV line. The sub-area area limitation is thermal overloading of the Mesa-Antelope 230 kV line

.

The two critical contingencies in the Eastern Sub-area are: (1) Loss of Devers – Valley 500 kV line, followed by the loss of two Lugo – Mira Loma 500 kV lines #2 and #3, and (2) Loss of one San Onofre Nuclear Generator, followed by the loss of two Lugo – Mira Loma 500 kV lines #2 and #3. The sub-area area limitation is low area post-transient voltage associated with voltage collapse.

The Western and Eastern sub-area contingencies require 4800 MW as the minimum amount of generating capacity necessary for reliable load serving capability within these sub-areas. 1925 MW of this capacity is needed in the Eastern sub-area, and the rest (2875 MW) is needed in the Western sub-area.

The transmission tie lines into the LA Basin Area are:

- 1) San Onofre San Luis Rey #1, #2, & #3 230 kV Lines
- 2) San Onofre Talega #1 & #2 230 kV Lines
- 3) Lugo Mira Loma #1, #2 & #3 500 kV Lines
- 4) Sylmar LA Sylmar S #1, #2 & #3 230/230 kV Transformers
- 5) Sylmar S Pardee #1 & #2 230 kV Lines
- 6) Vincent Mesa Cal #1 230 kV Line
- 7) Antelope Mesa Cal #1 230 kV Line
- 8) Vincent Rio Hondo #1 & #2 230 kV Lines
- 9) Eagle Rock Pardee #1 230 kV Line
- 10) Devers Valley #1 500 kV Line
- 11) Devers #1 & #2 500/230 kV Transformers
- 12) Devers Coachely # 1 230 kV Line
- 13) Mirage Ramon # 1 230 kV Line
- 14) Julian Hinds-Eagle Mountain 230 kV

These sub-stations form the boundary surrounding the LA Basin area:

- 1) Devers 500 kV
- 2) Mirage 230 kV
- 3) Vincent 230 kV
- 4) San Onofre 230 kV
- 5) Sylmar 230 kV
- 6) Lugo 500 kV

I. San Diego Area

The most limiting contingency in the San Diego area is described by the outage of 500 kV Southwest Power Link (SWPL) between Imperial Valley and Miguel Substations over-lapping with an outage of the new Palomar Combined-Cycle Power plant (542 MW) while staying within the South of San Onofre (WECC Path 44) non-simultaneous import capability rating of 2,500 MW. Therefore the 2,620 MW (includes 186 MW of QF generation) of capacity required within this area is predicated on having sufficient generation in the San Diego Area to reduce Path 44 to its non-simultaneous rating of 2500 MW within 30 minutes.

The transmission tie lines forming a boundary around San Diego include:

- 1) Imperial Valley Miguel 500 kV Line
- 2) Miguel Tijuana 230 kV Line
- 3) San Onofre San Luis Rey #1 230 kV Line
- 4) San Onofre San Luis Rey #2 230 kV Line
- 5) San Onofre San Luis Rey #3 230 kV Line
- 6) San Onofre Talega #1 230 kV Line
- 7) San Onofre Talega #2 230 kV Line

The boundaries for the San Diego Area can be defined by the following sub-stations:

- 1) Miguel 230 kV
- 2) San Luis Rey 230 kV
- 3) Talega 230 kV

J. Zonal Capacity Requirements

The ISO performed a preliminary assessment of the Zonal Capacity needs and compared these needs to the aggregate amount of capacity already required within the zone due to proposed local area requirements within that zone. The additional requirement for 2006 in NP15, NP15+ZP26 and SP26 appears to be minimal, and is expected to be covered by overall resource procurement requirements.

VII. Next Steps and Future Annual Technical Analyses

The CAISO will transmit this final Local Capacity Technical Analysis to the CPUC, including the assigned Administrative Law Judge, and serve it electronically on the R.04-04-003 service list.

The CAISO believes that the information contained in this report can be used to geographically define each Local Capacity Area, and to assign specific local capacity obligations to LSEs that serve load within these geographic boundaries. The CAISO anticipates that the CPUC will establish such an allocation mechanism for CPUC jurisdictional entities through the CPUC's upcoming orders on Resource Adequacy.

The CAISO recognizes that additional generation, new transmission, or special protection schemes on existing facilities may impact the LCR requirement in certain Local Capacity Areas. While it is not envisioned that such projects, not already accounted for in this study, would be in-service before the 2006 summer peak period, the CAISO will support and work closely with all LSEs, TOs and other market participants in order to assure that new transmission projects, special protection schemes (where applicable and feasible), new generation as well as demand side management projects are reflected in the annual LCR technical analysis for the period when such projects are implemented.

PF bus									
31150 PACLUMB 13.8 1				PF			LCR Area		
31152 PACLUMB 138 2 PACLUM 5 UNIT PACIFIC LUMBER (HUMBOLDT) Humbolt 1 Humbolt								Area #	LCR Sub-Area Name
31152 HUMBOLD 13.2 1 HUMBPP 6 MOBILES HUMBORD 6 MOBILES HUMBOLD 1 HUMB								1	
11154 HUMBOLDT 13.2 1						` '			
31154 HUMBOLDT 122 2						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	
11156 LUTRAPWR 12.5 1 LUTRAPOWER (BLUE LAKE) Humboolt 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
31198 LP SAMOA 1.5 1									
31166 KEKAWAK 9-11 1						` ,		1	
131170 HMSOLDT 13.8 1 HJMBPP_T_UNIT 1 HJMBPD_T 13.8 1 HJMBPP_T_UNIT 2 13.8 1 SANTFG_T_UNITS 2 SANTFG_T_UNITS 3 13.8 1 BEARCN_2_UNIT 2 CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWST KNS) 2 Fulton 2 CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWST KNS) 2 Fulton 2 CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWST KNS) 2 Fulton 2 CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWST KNS) 2 Fulton 2 CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWST KNS) 2 Fulton 2 CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWST KNS) 2 Fulton 2 CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWST KNS) 2 Fulton 2 Fulton 2 CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWST KNS) 2 Fulton			9.1				Humboldt	1	
34400 SANTA FE	31170	HMBOLDT1	13.8	1	HUMBPP_7_UNIT 1	Humboldt Bay Unit 1	Humboldt	1	
31400 SANTA FE 13.8 2 SANTEG 7_UNITS SEYSERS POWER COMPANY, I.C. NCNB 2 Lakeville 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400	31172	HMBOLDT2			HUMBPP_7_UNIT 2	HUMBOLDT BAY UNIT 2			
31402 BEAR CAN 13.8 1 BEARCN_Z_UNIT1 CALPINE GEYSERS COL. P. (West P NORB 2 Fulton State P Fulton State P State									
31404 MEST FOR 13.8 2 BEARCM_2_UNITS CALPINE GEYSERS CO. L. P. (KWM2) NCNB 2 Fulton						· ·			
31404 WEST FOR 13.8 1 WOFRDF_2 UNITS CALPINE GEYSERS COL P, (West NCNB 2 Fulton State St						` '			
31406 GEYSER5-6 13.8 2 WFRDF_2 UNITS GYSSKS 7_UNIT-6 GYSSKS 7_UNIT-6 GYSSKS 7_UNIT-6 GYSSKS 7_UNIT-7									
31406 GEYSR-6 13.8 1 GYSS/6, 7_UNIT 5 Geysens Unit 5 NCNB 2 Eagle Rook									
31406 GEYSR-56 13.8 2 CYSSX6_7_UNIT 6 Geysers Unit 6 Ceysers Unit 7 NCNB 2 Eagle Rock									
31408 GEYSER78 13.8 1 GYST/8, 7_UNIT 8 Geysers Unit 7 Geysers Unit 8 CRISER 9 CRI									_
31403 GEYSER78 13.8 2 GYSTX8 7_UNIT 8 GEYSER 11 13.8 1 GEYSER 11_7_UNIT 11 GEYSER 11_7_UNIT 11 GEYSER 11_7_UNIT 12 GEYSER 11_7_UNIT 13 GEYSER 11_7_UNIT 14 GEYSER 11_7_UNI									_
31414 GEYSER11 13.8									_
31414 GEYSER12 13.8									_
13418 GEYSER16 13.8 1 GEYS14_T_UNIT14 GEYSERS UNIT 14 (HEALDSBURG) NCNB 2 Fulton	31414	GEYSER12	13.8	1	GEYS12_7_UNIT12	GEYSERS UNIT 12 (HEALDSBURG)	NCNB	2	Fulton
141420 GEYSER16 13.8 1 GEYS16.7_UNIT16 GEYSERS UNIT 16 (HEALDSBURG) NCNB 2 Fulton 141420 GEYSER10 13.8 1 GEYS17_UNIT17 GEYSERS UNIT 17 (HEALDSBURG) NCNB 2 Lakeville 141420 GEYSER20 13.8 1 GEYS20.7_UNIT20 GEYSERS UNIT 17 (HEALDSBURG) NCNB 2 Lakeville 141420 GEYSER20 13.8 1 GEYS20.7_UNIT20 GEYSERS UNIT 18 (HEALDSBURG) NCNB 2 Lakeville 141420 GEYSERS UNIT 18 (HEALDSBURG) NCNB 2 Lakeville MCNB 141430 MCNB 2 Lakeville MCNB 2 Lakeville MCNB 141430 MCNB 2 Lakeville MCNB MCNB 2 Lakeville MCNB MCNB MCNB 2 Lakeville MCNB M	31416	GEYSER13		1	GEYS13_7_UNIT13	GEYSERS UNIT 13 (HEALDSBURG)	NCNB		Lakeville
131424 GEYSER17 13.8						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
131426 GEYSER78 13.8						` '			
131426 GEYSER20 13.8									
131430 SMUDGEO1 3.8 1 SMUGGO_7_LUNIT 1 SONOMA POWER PLANT NCMB 2 Eagle Rock						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
31433 POTTRVLY						· ·			
31433 POTTRVLY 2.4 4 POTTER_6_UNITS Potter Valley Potter Valley NCNB 2 Eagle Rock 31435 GEO.ENGY 9.1 1 ADLIN_1_UNIT 1 GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PARTNERS NCNB 2 Eagle Rock 31435 GEO.ENGY 9.1 2 ADLIN_1_UNIT 2 GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PARTNERS NCNB 2 Eagle Rock 31436 INDIAN V 1 INDIV_1_1_UNIT 3 GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PARTNERS NCNB 2 Eagle Rock 31436 INDIAN V 1 INDIV_1_1_UNIT 5 GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PARTNERS NCNB 2 Eagle Rock 32700 MONTICLO 9.1 1 MONTPH_7_UNIT 5 MONTPH_7_UNIT 2 MONTOLELLO Unit 1 NCNB 2 Fulton S2700 MONTICLO 9.1 2 MONTPH_7_UNIT 2 MONTICELLO Unit 1 NCNB 2 Fulton S2700 MONTICLO 9.1 3 MONTPH_7_UNIT 2 MONTICELLO Unit 2 NCNB 2 Fulton S2700 MONTICLO 9.1 3 MONTPH_7_UNIT 2 MONTICELLO Unit 2 NCNB 2 Fulton S2700 MONTICLO 9.1 3 MONTPH_7_UNIT 2 MONTICELLO Unit 3 NCNB 2 Fulton S2700 MONTICLO 9.1 3 MONTPH_7_UNIT 2 MONTICELLO Unit 3 NCNB 2 Fulton S2700 MONTICLO 9.1 3 MONTPH_7_UNIT 2 MONTICELLO Unit 3 NCNB 2 Fulton S2700 MONTICLO 9.1 3.8 1 NCPA_7_GP1UN1 NCPA_7_GP1UN1 NCPA_7_GP1UN1 NCPA_7_GP2UN3 NCPA_7_GP2UN3 NCPA_7_GP2UN3 NCPA_7_GP2UN3 NCPA_7_GP2UN4 NCPA_7_GP2UN									
31435 GOTTRPLY 2.4 4 POTTER_ JUNITS Potter Valley GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PARTNERS NCNB 2 Eagle Rock 31435 GEO.ENGY 9.1 2 ADLIN_1_UNIT 2 GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PARTNERS NCNB 2 Eagle Rock 31436 INDIAN V 9.1 1 INDIV_Y 1_UNITS INDIAN_VALLEY HYDRO NCNB 2 Eagle Rock S1436 INDIAN V 9.1 1 INDIV_Y 1_UNITS INDIAN_VALLEY HYDRO NCNB 2 Eagle Rock S1436 INDIAN V 9.1 1 INDIV_Y 1_UNITS INDIAN_VALLEY HYDRO NCNB 2 Eagle Rock S1436 INDIAN_VALLEY HYDRO NCNB 2 Eagle Rock S1436 INDIAN_VALLEY HYDRO NCNB 2 Eagle Rock S1436 INDIAN_VALLEY HYDRO NCNB 2 Fulton NCNB NC						1			
31436 GEO.ENGY 9.1 1 ADLIN_1_UNIT 2 GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PARTNERS NCNB 2 Eagle Rock						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
31436 INDIAN V			9.1			<u> </u>		2	_
31446 SONMA LF 9.1 1 SNMALF 6 UNITS Sonoma County Landfill NCNB 2 Fulton	31435	GEO.ENGY	9.1	2	ADLIN_1_UNIT 2	GEOTHERMAL ENERGY PARTNERS	NCNB		Eagle Rock
32700 MONTICLO 9.1 1 MONTPH_T_UNIT 2 MONTICELLO Unit 1 NCNB 2 Fulton Signar 3 Signar 3 Signar 3 Signar 3 Signar 4 Signar 3									_
32700 MONTICLO 9.1 2 MONTPH_T_UNIT 2 MONTICELLO Unit 2 NCNB 2 Fulton						=			
32700 MONTICLO 9.1 3.8 3.8 MONTPH_T_UNIT 3 MONTICELLO Unit 3 NCPA Capture Capture NCPA Capture NCPA Capture Capture NCPA Capture Capture Capture NCPA Capture Capture Capture NCPA Capture Capture Capture NCPA Capture Cap									
38106 NCPA1GY1 13.8 1 NCPA_7_GP1UN1 NCPA GEO PLANT 1 UNIT 1 NCNB 2 Lakeville								_	
38108 NCPA1GY2 13.8 1 NCPA_7_GP1UN2 NCPA GEO PLANT 1 UNIT 2 NCNB 2 Lakeville Sation NCPA2GY1 13.8 1 NCPA_7_GP2UN3 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 3 NCNB 2 Fulton Sation NCPA2GY2 13.8 1 NCPA_7_GP2UN4 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB 2 Fulton Sation NCPA2GY2 13.8 1 NCPA_7_GP2UN4 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB 2 Fulton Sation NCPA2GY2 13.8 1 NCPA_7_GP2UN4 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB 2 Fulton NCPA2GY2 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB 2 Fulton NCPA2GY2 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB 2 Fulton NCPA2GY2 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB 2 Fulton NCPA2GY2 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB 2 Fulton NCPA2GY2 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB 2 Fulton NCNB Sierra 3 NCPA_7_GP2UN4 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 4 NCNB NCNB 2 Fulton NCNB NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 3 NCNB NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 3 NCNB NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 3 NCNB NCNB NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 3 NCNB									
38110 NCPA2GY1 13.8 1 NCPA_T_GP2UN3 NCPA GEO PLANT 2 UNIT 3 NCNB 2 Fulton									
38112 NCPA2GY2 13.8 1 NCPA_T_GP2UN4 BELDEN_T UNIT 1 Sierra 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3									
31784 BELDEN 13.8 1 BELDEN_T_UNIT 1 BELDEN HYDRO Sierra 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3									
31786 ROCK CK1 13.8 1 RCKCRK_7_UNIT 1 ROCK CREEK HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 Sierra 3 31790 POE 1 13.8 1 POEPH_7_UNIT 1 POE HYDRO UNIT 2 Sierra 3 31792 POE 2 13.8 1 POEPH_7_UNIT 1 POE HYDRO UNIT 2 Sierra 3 31794 WOODLEAF 13.8 1 WDLEAF_7_UNIT 1 WOODLEAF HYDRO Sierra 3 31812 CRESTA 11.5 1 CRESTA_7_UNIT 1 CRESTA UNIT #1 Sierra 3 31812 CRESTA 11.5 2 CRESTA_7_UNIT 1 CRESTA UNIT #2 Sierra 3 31820 BCKS CRK 11 1 BUCKCK_7_PL1X2 BUCKS CREEK AGGREGATE Sierra 3 31820 BCKS CRK 11 2 BUCKCK_7_PL1X2 BUCKS CREEK AGGREGATE Sierra 3 31832 SLY.CR. 9.1 1 SLYCRK_1_UNIT 1 SLY CREEK HYDRO Sierra 3 31834 KELLYRDG 9.1 1 KELYRG_6_UNIT SLY CREEK HYDRO Sierra 3 31838 OROVILE 9.1 1 DEADCK_1_DEADWD 31839 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OROVILE COGEN Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32166 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3									
31790			13.8	1	RCKCRK_7_UNIT 1			3	
31792 POE 2							Sierra		
31794 WOODLEAF 13.8									
31812 CRESTA								_	
31812 CRESTA 11.5 2 CRESTA_7_UNIT 2 CRESTA UNIT #2 Sierra 3 31814 FORBSTWN 11.5 1 FORBST_7_UNIT 1 FORBESTOWN HYDRO Sierra 3 31820 BCKS CRK 11 1 BUCKCK_7_PL1X2 BUCKS CREEK AGGREGATE Sierra 3 31832 SLY.CR. 9.1 1 SLYCRK_1_UNIT 1 SLY CREEK HYDRO Sierra 3 31834 KELLYRDG 9.1 1 KELYRG_6_UNIT KELLY RIDGE HYDRO Sierra 3 31886 DROVLLE 9.1 1 DEADCK_1_DEADWD YUBA COUNTY WATER (DEADWOOI Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 OROVILLE COGEN Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1									
31814 FORBSTWN 11.5 1 FORBST_7_UNIT 1 FORBESTOWN HYDRO Sierra 3 S									
31820 BCKS CRK 11 1 BUCKCK_7_PL1X2 BUCKS CREEK AGGREGATE Sierra 3 31820 BCKS CRK 11 2 BUCKCK_7_PL1X2 BUCKS CREEK AGGREGATE Sierra 3 31832 SLY.CR. 9.1 1 SLYCRK_1_UNIT 1 SLY CREEK HYDRO Sierra 3 31834 KELLYRDG 9.1 1 KELYRG_6_UNIT KELLY RIDGE HYDRO Sierra 3 31882 DEADWOOD 9.1 1 DEADCK_1_DEADWD YUBA COUNTY WATER (DEADWOOI Sierra 3 31888 OROVLLE 9.1 1 OROVILE_6_UNIT OROVILLE COGEN Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC									
31820 BCKS CRK 11 2 BUCKCK_7_PL1X2 BUCKS CREEK AGGREGATE Sierra 3 31832 SLY.CR. 9.1 1 SLYCRK_1_UNIT 1 SLY CREEK HYDRO Sierra 3 31834 KELLYRDG 9.1 1 KELLYRDGE HYDRO Sierra 3 31862 DEADWOOD 9.1 1 DEADCK_1_DEADWD YUBA COUNTY WATER (DEADWOOI Sierra 3 31888 OROVLLE 9.1 1 OROVILE_0_UNIT OROVILLE COGEN Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3								_	
31832 SLY.CR. 9.1 1 SLYCRK_1_UNIT 1 SLY CREEK HYDRO Sierra 3 31834 KELLYRDG 9.1 1 KELYRG_6_UNIT KELLY RIDGE HYDRO Sierra 3 31862 DEADWOOD 9.1 1 DEADCK_1_DEADWD YUBA COUNTY WATER (DEADWOOI Sierra 3 31888 OROVLLE 9.1 1 OROVILE_0_UNIT OROVILLE COGEN Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32166 UC DAVIS 9.1 1 UCDAVS_1_UNIT 1 UC DAVIS CAMPUS GENERATION Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3									
31834 KELLYRDG 9.1 1 KELLYRG_6_UNIT KELLY RIDGE HYDRO Sierra 3 31862 DEADWOOD 9.1 1 DEADCK_1_DEADWD YUBA COUNTY WATER (DEADWOOI Sierra 3 31888 OROVLLE 9.1 1 OROVIL_6_UNIT OROVILLE COGEN Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32166 UC DAVIS 9.1 1 UCDAVS_1_UNIT UC DAVIS CAMPUS GENERATION Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3									
31862 DEADWOOD 9.1 1 DEADCK_1_DEADWD YUBA COUNTY WATER (DEADWOOT Sierra 3 31888 OROVLLE 9.1 1 OROVIL_6_UNIT OROVILLE COGEN Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32166 UC DAVIS 9.1 1 UCDAVS_1_UNIT UC DAVIS CAMPUS GENERATION Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3									
31890 PO POWER 9.1 1 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 31890 PO POWER 9.1 2 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32166 UC DAVIS 9.1 1 UCDAVS_1_UNIT UC DAVIS CAMPUS GENERATION Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3	31862	DEADWOOD	9.1			YUBA COUNTY WATER (DEADWOOL	Sierra	3	
31890 PO POWER 9.1 2 PACORO_6_UNIT OGDEN POWER PACIFIC,INC. (ORO) Sierra 3 32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32166 UC DAVIS 9.1 1 UCDAVS_1_UNIT UC DAVIS CAMPUS GENERATION Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3									
32156 WOODLAND 9.1 1 BIOMAS_1_UNIT 1 WOODLAND BIOMASS Sierra 3 32166 UC DAVIS 9.1 1 UCDAVS_1_UNIT UC DAVIS CAMPUS GENERATION Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3						•			
32166 UC DAVIS 9.1 1 UCDAVS_1_UNIT UC DAVIS CAMPUS GENERATION Sierra 3 32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3						,			
32450 COLGATE1 13.8 1 COLGAT_7_UNIT 1 COLGATE HYDRO UNIT 1 Sierra 3 32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3									
32451 FREC 13.8 1 BOGUE_1_UNITA1 Feather River Energy Center Sierra 3									

PF bus			PF			LCR Area		
	PF bus name		Unit #		Resource Name	Name	Area #	LCR Sub-Area Name
	DRUM 5 MIDLFORK	13.8 13.8		DRUM_7_UNIT 5	DRUM PH 2 UNIT 5	Sierra	3 3	
	MIDLFORK	13.8		MIDFRK_7_UNIT 1 MIDFRK_7_UNIT 2	MIDDLE FORK UNIT 1 MIDDLE FORK UNIT 2	Sierra Sierra	3	
	RALSTON	13.8		RALSTN_7_UNIT 1	RALSTON UNIT 1	Sierra	3	
	NEWCSTLE	13.2		NWCSTL_7_UNIT 1	NEWCASTLE HYDRO	Sierra	3	
	CHI.PARK	11.5		CHICPK_7_UNIT 1	CHICAGO PARK 1, BEAR RIVER CA	Sierra	3	
32464	DTCHFLT1	11		DUTCH1_7_UNIT 1	DUTCH FLAT 1 PH	Sierra	3	
	NARROWS1	9.1	1	NAROW1_2_UNIT	NARROWS PH 1 UNIT	Sierra	3	
	NARROWS2	9.1		NAROW2_2_UNIT	NARROWS PH 2 UNIT	Sierra	3	
_	CMP.FARW	9.1		CAMPFW_7_FARWST	CAMP FAR WEST HYDRO	Sierra	3	
	SPAULDG	9.1		SPAULD_6_UNIT 1	SPAULDING HYDRO PH 1 UNIT	Sierra	3	
	SPAULDG	9.1		SPAULD_6_UNIT 2	SPAULDING HYDRO PH 2 UNIT	Sierra	3	
	SPAULDG	9.1 9.1		SPAULD_6_UNIT 3 DEERCR_6_UNIT 1	SPAULDING HYDRO PH 3 UNIT DEER CREEK	Sierra	3 3	
	DEER CRK ROLLINSF	9.1 9.1		ROLLIN_6_UNIT	ROLLINS HYDRO	Sierra Sierra	3	
	HALSEY F	9.1		HALSEY_6_UNIT	HALSEY HYDRO	Sierra	3	
	BOWMAN	9.1		BOWMN_6_UNIT	NEVADA POWER AUTHORITY	Sierra	3	
	OXBOW F	9.1		OXBOW 6 DRUM	OXBOW HYDRO	Sierra	3	
-	HELLHOLE	9.1		HELLHL_6_UNIT	HELL HOLE HYDRO	Sierra	3	
	HAYPRES+	9.1		HAYPRS_6_UNIT 1	HAYPRESS HYDROELECTRIC,INC. (I	Sierra	3	
	HAYPRES+	9.1		HAYPRS_6_UNIT 2	HAYPRESS HYDROELECTRIC, INC. (I	Sierra	3	
32490	GRNLEAF1	13.8		GRNLF1_1_UNITS	GREENLEAF #1 COGEN AGGREGAT	Sierra	3	
	GRNLEAF1	13.8		GRNLF1_1_UNITS	GREENLEAF #1 COGEN AGGREGAT		3	
	GRNLEAF2	13.8		GRNLF2_1_UNIT	GREENLEAF II COGEN	Sierra	3	
	YUBA CTY	9.1		YUBACT_1_SUNSWT	YUBA CITY COGEN	Sierra	3	
32496		13.8		GRNLF1_1_UNIT 1	Yuba City Energy Center	Sierra	3	
	SPILINCF ULTR RCK	12.5 9.1		SPI LI_2_UNIT 1 ULTRCK_2_UNIT	SIERRA PACIFIC IND. (LINCOLN) Rio Bravo Rocklin	Sierra Sierra	3 3	
	DTCHFLT2	6.9		DUTCH2_7_UNIT 1	DUTCH FLAT 2 PH	Sierra	3	
	DRUM 1-2	6.6		DRUM_7_UNIT 1	DRUM PH 1 UNIT 1	Sierra	3	
	DRUM 1-2	6.6		DRUM_7_UNIT 2	DRUM PH 1 UNIT 2	Sierra	3	
	DRUM 3-4	6.6		DRUM_7_UNIT 3	Drum PH 1 Unit 3	Sierra	3	
	DRUM 3-4	6.6		DRUM_7_UNIT 4	Drum PH 1 Unit 4	Sierra	3	
32508	FRNCH MD	4.2	1	FMEADO_7_UNIT	FRENCH MEADOWS HYDRO	Sierra	3	
	CHILIBAR	4.2		PLACVL_1_CHILIB	Chili Bar	Sierra	3	
32512		12		WISE_1_UNIT 1	Wise Hydro Unit 1	Sierra	3	
	ELDRADO1	21.6		ELDORO_7_UNIT 1	El Dorado Irrigation Dist. Unit 1	Sierra	3	
	ELDRADO2	21.6		ELDORO_7_UNIT 2	El Dorado Irrigation Dist. Unit 2	Sierra	3	Tarda Dallata
	GWFTRCY1 GWFTRCY2	13.8 13.8		SCHLTE_1_UNITA1 SCHLTE_1_UNITA2	Tracy Unit 1 Peaking Project Tracy Unit 2 Peaking Project	Stockton Stockton	4 4	TeslaBellota TeslaBellota
	CPC STCN	12.5		STOKCG_1_UNIT 1	STOCKTON COGEN CO.	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	CAMANCHE	4.2		CAMCHE_1_UNIT 1	Camanche 1	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	CAMANCHE	4.2		CAMCHE_1_UNIT 2	Camanche 2	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	CAMANCHE	4.2		CAMCHE_1_UNIT 3	Camanche 3	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	FBERBORD	115		SPIFBD_1_PL1X2	SIERRA PACIFIC IND. (SONORA)	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	CH.STN.	13.8	1	ULTPCH_1_UNIT 1	OGDEN POWER PACIFIC (CHINESE	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	STNSLSRP	13.8		STNRES_1_UNIT	STANISLAUS WASTE ENERGY CO.	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	DONNELLS	13.8		DONNLS_7_UNIT	DONNELLS HYDRO	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	SANDBAR	13.8		SNDBAR_7_UNIT 1	TRI DAM AUTHORITY	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	STANISLS	13.8		STANIS_7_UNIT 1	STANISLAUS HYDRO	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	BEARDSLY TULLOCH	6.9 6.9		BEARDS_7_UNIT 1 TULLCK_7_UNITS	BEARDSLEY HYDRO TULLOCH HYDRO AGGREGATE	Stockton Stockton	4 4	TeslaBellota TeslaBellota
	TULLOCH	6.9		TULLCK_7_UNITS	TULLOCH HYDRO AGGREGATE TULLOCH HYDRO AGGREGATE	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	SPRNG GP	6		SPRGAP_1_UNIT 1	SPRING GAP HYDRO	Stockton	4	TeslaBellota
	LODI25CT	9.11		LODI25_2_UNIT 1	Lodi GT	Stockton	-	Lockeford
	PTSB 7	20		PITTSP_7_UNIT 7	PITTSBURG UNIT 7	Bay Area		Pittsburg
	LAMBGT1	13.8		LMBEPK_2_UNITA1	Lambie Energy Center, Unit #1	Bay Area	5	•
	GOOSEHGT	13.8	2	LMBEPK_2_UNITA2	Creed Energy Center, Unit #1	Bay Area	5	
	CREEDGT1	13.8		LMBEPK_2_UNITA3	Goose Haven Energy Center, Unit #1	Bay Area	5	
	HILLSIDE	115		GRZZLY_1_BERKLY	PE - BERKELEY, INC.	Bay Area		Pittsburg
	CRCKTCOG	18		CROKET_7_UNIT	CROCKETT COGEN	Bay Area		Pittsburg
	OAKLND 1	13.8		OAK C_7_UNIT 1	OAKLAND STATION C GT UNIT 1	Bay Area	5	Oakland
	OAKLND 2	13.8		OAK C_7_UNIT 2	OAKLAND STATION C GT UNIT 2	Bay Area	5	Oakland
	OAKLND 3 UNOCAL	13.8 12		OAK C_7_UNIT 3 UNOCAL_1_UNITS	OAKLAND STATION C GT UNIT 3 TOSCO (RODEO PLANT)	Bay Area Bay Area	5 5	Oakland Pittsburg
102910	SINOUAL	14	'	IOMOOUT I OMITS	10000 (NODEO FLANT)	Day Aled	5	i ittabulg

PF bus			PF			LCR Area		
	PF bus name	kV	Unit #	Resource ID	Resource Name	Name	Area #	
	UNOCAL	12	2	UNOCAL_1_UNITS	TOSCO (RODEO PLANT)	Bay Area		Pittsburg
	UNOCAL UNION CH	12 9.11	3 1		TOSCO (RODEO PLANT) CONTRA COSTA CARBON PLANT Bay Area 5 Pittsburg 5 Pittsburg		_	
	CHEVGEN1	13.8		UNCHEM_1_UNIT STOILS_1_UNITS	CONTRA COSTA CARBON PLANT CHEVRON RICHMOND REFINERY	Bay Area Bay Area	5 5	Pittsburg Pittsburg
	CHEVGEN2	13.8		STOILS_1_UNITS	CHEVRON RICHMOND REFINERY	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	PTSB 5	18	1	PITTSP_7_UNIT 5	PITTSBURG UNIT 5	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	PTSB 6	18	1		PITTSBURG UNIT 6	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	DEC STG1	24	1		DELTA ENERGY CENTER STG UNIT	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
33108	DEC CTG1	18	1	DELTA_2_CTG1	DELTA ENERGY CENTER CTG UNIT	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
33109	DEC CTG2	18	1	DELTA_2_CTG2	DELTA ENERGY CENTER CTG UNIT	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	DEC CTG3	18	1		DELTA ENERGY CENTER CTG UNIT	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	LMECCT2	18	1		LOS MEDANOS CTG UNIT 2	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	LMECCT1	18	1		LOS MEDANOS CTG UNIT 1	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	LMECST1	18	1		LOS MEDANOS STG UNIT	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	C.COS 6	18	1		CONTRA COSTA UNIT 6	Bay Area	5	
	C.COS 7 GWF #1	18 9.11	1 1	COCOPP_7_UNIT 7 GWFPW1 6 UNIT	CONTRA COSTA UNIT 7 GWF POWER SYSTEMS INC. #1	Bay Area	5 5	
	GWF #1 GWF #2	13.8	1	GWFPW1_0_UNIT 1	GWF POWER SYSTEMS INC. #1	Bay Area Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	GWF #2 GWF #3	13.8	1	GWFPW2_1_UNIT 1	GWF POWER SYSTEMS INC. #2	Bay Area	5	ritisburg
	GWF #4	13.8	1		GWF POWER SYSTEMS INC. #4	Bay Area	5	
	GWF #5	13.8	1	GWFPW5_6_UNIT 1	GWF POWER SYSTEMS INC. #5	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	CCCSD	12.47	1		CONTRA COSTA SANITATION DISTR	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	STAUFER	9.11	1		RHODIA INC. (RHONE-POULENC)	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	SHELL 1	12.47	1	SHELRF_1_UNITS	SHELL OIL REFINERY AGGREGATE	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	SHELL 2	12.47	1		SHELL OIL REFINERY AGGREGATE	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
33143	SHELL 3	12.47	1	SHELRF_1_UNITS	SHELL OIL REFINERY AGGREGATE	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
33145	CROWN.Z.	13.8	1		GAYLORD	Bay Area	5	
	CROWN.Z.	13.8	2	GAYCRZ_1_UNIT 1	GAYLORD	Bay Area	5	
	FOSTER W	12.47	1		MARTINEZ COGEN LIMITED PARTNE	,	5	Pittsburg
	FOSTER W	12.47	2	– –	MARTINEZ COGEN LIMITED PARTNE	,	5	Pittsburg
	FOSTER W	12.47	3		MARTINEZ COGEN LIMITED PARTNE	,	5	Pittsburg
	DOWCHEM1	13.8	1		Calpine Pittsburg Power Plant 1	Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	DOWCHEM2 DOWCHEM3	13.8 13.8	1	DOWCHM_1_UNITS	Calpine Pittsburg Power Plant 2	Bay Area	5 5	Pittsburg
	WINDMSTR	9.11	1	DOWCHM_1_UNITS WNDMAS_2_UNIT 1	Calpine Pittsburg Power Plant 3 BUENA VISTA ENERGY,LLC	Bay Area Bay Area	5	Pittsburg
	RVEC_GEN	13.8	1		Riverview Energy Center (GP Antioch)	Bay Area	5	
	POTRERO3	20	1		POTRERO UNIT 3	Bay Area	5	San Francisco
	POTRERO4	13.8	1		POTRERO UNIT 4	Bay Area	5	San Francisco
	POTRERO5	13.8	1		POTRERO UNIT 5	Bay Area	5	San Francisco
33255	POTRERO6	13.8			POTRERO UNIT 6	Bay Area	5	San Francisco
33270	HNTRS P4	18	1	HUNTER_7_UNIT 4	HUNTERS POINT UNIT 4	Bay Area	5	San Francisco
33271	HNTRS P1	12	1		HUNTERS POINT UNIT 1	Bay Area	5	San Francisco
	CARDINAL	12.47	1		CARDINAL COGEN	Bay Area	5	
	CARDINAL	12.47	2		CARDINAL COGEN	Bay Area	5	
	UNTED CO	9.11	1		UNITED AIRLINES (COGEN)	Bay Area	5	
	SRI INTL	9.11	1		SRI INTERNATIONAL	Bay Area	5	
	IBM-CTLE GLRY COG	115 13.8	1	IBMCTL_1_UNIT 1	IBM Cottle GILROY COGEN - UNIT 1	Bay Area	5 5	San Jose
	GLRY COG GLRY COG	13.8	2	GILROY_1_CT1 GILROY_1_CT1	GILROY COGEN - UNIT 1 GILROY COGEN - UNIT 1	Bay Area Bay Area	5 5	San Jose
	GROYPKR1	13.8	1	GILROY_1_C11 GILRPP_1_PL1X2	Gilroy Peaker - Unit 1	Bay Area	5	San Jose
	GROYPKR2	13.8	l	GILRPP_1_PL1X2	Gilroy Peaker - Unit 2	Bay Area	5	San Jose
	GROYPKR3	13.8	1	GILRPP_1_PL3X4	Gilroy Peaker - Unit 3	Bay Area	5	San Jose
	LECEFGT1	13.8	1		Los Esteros Critical Energy Center 1	Bay Area	5	
	LECEFGT2	13.8	1		Los Esteros Critical Energy Center 2	Bay Area	5	
35856	LECEFGT3	13.8	1	LECEF_1_UNITS	Los Esteros Critical Energy Center 3	Bay Area	5	
	LECEFGT4	13.8	1		Los Esteros Critical Energy Center 4	Bay Area	5	
	OLS-AGNE	9.11	1	CALPIN_1_AGNEW	GATX/CALPINE COGEN-AGNEWS IN	Bay Area	5	
	SJ-SCL W	9.11	1			Bay Area	5	
	CATALYST	9.11			SAN JOSE COGEN	Bay Area	5	
	MEC CTG1	18	1		Metcalf Energy Center	Bay Area	5	
	MEC CTG2	18	1		Metcalf Energy Center	Bay Area	5	
	MEC STG1 CSC COG.	18 12	1		Metcalf Energy Center SVP COGEN	Bay Area Bay Area	5 5	
	CSC COG.	12	1 2		SVP COGEN	Bay Area	5 5	
	CSC_CCA	13.8			SMURFIT STONE (CONTAINER CORI		_	
•	CVISO 0 30 08	1		1 - 2		,	. ~	I

	ı		ı	Г	T			T
PF bus			PF			LCR Area		
#	PF bus name	kV	Unit#	Resource ID	Resource Name	Name	Area #	LCR Sub-Area Name
	CSC_GNR1	13.8		CSCGNR_1_UNIT 1	GIANERA PEAKER UNIT 1	Bay Area	5	Lon Sub-Area Name
	DVRPPCT1	13.8	l '	DUANE_1_PL1X3	DVR UNITS	Bay Area	5	
	DVRPPCT2	13.8	1	DUANE_1_PL1X3	DVR UNITS	Bay Area	5	
	DVRPPSTA	13.8	1	DUANE_1_PL1X3	DVR UNITS	Bay Area	5	
	CSC_GNR2	13.8	2	CSCGNR_1_UNIT 2	GIANERA PEAKER UNIT 2	Bay Area	5	
	ALMDACT1	13.8	1 1	ALMEGT_1_UNIT 1	ALAMEDA GT UNIT 1	Bay Area	5	Oakland
	ALMDACT2	13.8		ALMEGT_1_UNIT 2	ALAMEDA GT UNIT 2	Bay Area		Oakland
	WHD_PAN2	13.8		PNOCHE_1_UNITB1	Wellhead Power - Panoche	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	MADERA G	13.8	1	CAPMAD_1_UNIT 1	CAPCO MADERA Power Plant	Fresno	_	Herndon, Wilson
	DG_PAN1	13.8		PNOCHE_1_UNITA1	CalPeak Power - Panoche LLC	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	CHOWCOGN	13.8		CHWCHL_1_UNIT	CHOW 2 PEAKER PLANT	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	EXCHQUER	13.8		EXCHEC_7_UNIT 1	EXCHEQUER HYDRO	Fresno		Wilson, Merced
	KERCKHOF	13.8		KERKH2_7_UNIT 1	KERKHOFF PH 2 UNIT #1	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	MCSWAIN	9.11		MCSWAN_6_UNITS	MC SWAIN HYDRO	Fresno		Wilson, Merced
	MERCEDFL	9.11		MERCFL_6_UNIT	MERCED FALLS	Fresno		Wilson, Merced
	JRWCOGEN	9.11	1	JRWOOD_1_UNIT 1	SAN JOAQUIN POWER COMPANY	Fresno		Wilson, Merced
	BIO PWR	9.11	1	MENBIO 6 UNIT	MENDOTA BIOMASS POWER	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	INT.TURB	9.11	1	INTTRB 6 UNIT	Intl Wind Turb Research (Dinosaur Poir	Fresno	6	Wilson
34344	KERCKHOF	6.6	1	KERKH1_7_UNIT 1	Kerchoff 1	Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
	KERCKHOF	6.6		KERKH1_7_UNIT 2	Kerchoff 2	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
34344	KERCKHOF	6.6		KERKH1_7_UNIT 3	Kerchoff 3	Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
34431	GWF_HEP1	13.8	1	GWFPWR_1_UNITS	HEP PEAKER PLANT AGGREGATE	Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
	GWF_HEP2	13.8	1	GWFPWR_1_UNITS	HEP PEAKER PLANT AGGREGATE	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	GWF_GT1	13.8	1	HENRTA_6_UNITA1	GWF HENRIETTA PEAKER PLANT UI	Fresno	6	Wilson, Henrietta
	GWF_GT2	13.8	1	HENRTA_6_UNITA2	GWF HENRIETTA PEAKER PLANT UI	Fresno	6	Wilson, Henrietta
	WHD_GAT2	13.8	1	GATES_6_UNIT	Wellhead Power-Gates	Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
34600	HELMS	18	1	HELMPG_7_UNIT 1	HELMS PUMP-GEN UNIT 1	Fresno	6	Wilson, McCall
34602	HELMS	18	2	HELMPG_7_UNIT 2	HELMS PUMP-GEN UNIT 2	Fresno	6	Wilson, McCall
34604	HELMS	18	3	HELMPG_7_UNIT 3	HELMS PUMP-GEN UNIT 3	Fresno	6	Wilson, McCall
34608	AGRICO	13.8	2	AGRICO_6_UNIT 3	Fresno Peaker of Wellhead	Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
34608	AGRICO	13.8	3			Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
34608	AGRICO	13.8	4			Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
34610	HAAS	13.8		HAASPH_7_UNIT 1	HAAS PH UNIT 1	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
34610	HAAS	13.8	2	HAASPH_7_UNIT 2	HAAS PH UNIT 2	Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
34612		13.8	1	BALCHS_7_UNIT 2	BALCH 2 PH UNIT 2	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
34614		13.8	1		BALCH 2 PH UNIT 3	Fresno	6	Herndon, Wilson
	KINGSRIV	13.8		KINGRV_7_UNIT 1	KINGS RIVER HYDRO UNIT 1	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	BALCH	13.2		BALCHS_7_UNIT 1	BALCH 1 PH UNIT 1	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	SJ2GEN	9.11		CRNEVL_6_SJQN 2	SAN JOAQUIN 2	Fresno		Wilson, McCall
	SJ3GEN	9.11		CRNEVL_6_SJQN 3	SAN JOAQUIN 3	Fresno		Wilson, McCall
	FRIANTDM	9.11		FRIANT_6_UNITS	FRIANT DAM	Fresno		Wilson, McCall
	ULTR.PWR	9.11	1	ULTPFR_1_UNIT 1	RIO BRAVO FRESNO	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	KINGSBUR	9.11		KINGCO_1_KINGBR	PE - KES KINGSBURG,LLC	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	SANGERCO	9.11	1		DYNAMIS COGEN	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson, McCall
	DINUBA E	13.8	1	DINUBA_6_UNIT	DINUBA GENERATION PROJECT	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson, McCall
	GWF-PWR.	9.11		GWFPWR_6_UNIT	HANFORD L.P.	Fresno		Wilson, Henrietta
	CHV.COAL	9.11	1	CHEVCO 6 UNIT 1	CHEVRON USA (COALINGA)	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	CHV.COAL COLNEAGN	9.11 9.11	2	CHEVCO_6_UNIT 2 COLGA1_6_SHELLW	AERA ENERGY LLC. (COALINGA) COALINGA COGENERATION COMPA	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	WISHON	2.3	1		Wishon 1	Fresno Fresno		Herndon, Wilson Wilson, McCall
	WISHON	2.3	2	WISHON_6_UNITS WISHON_6_UNITS	Wishon 2	Fresno		Wilson, McCall
	WISHON	2.3	3	WISHON_6_UNITS	Wishon 3	Fresno		Wilson, McCall
	WISHON	2.3		WISHON_6_UNITS	Wishon 4	Fresno		Wilson, McCall
	KRCDPCT1	2.3 13.8	1	new unit	Kings River Conservation District (Mala	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	KRCDPCT1 KRCDPCT2	13.8	1 1	new unit	Kings River Conservation District (Mala Kings River Conservation District (Mala	Fresno		Herndon, Wilson
	TEXCO_NM	9.11	1	TXNMID_1_UNIT 2	CHEVRON/TEXACO INC(NORTH MID	Kern		Kern PP
	TEXCO_NM	9.11	2	TXNMID_1_UNIT 3	CHEVRON/TEXACO INC(NORTH MID	Kern		Kern PP
	KERNCNYN	9.11		KRNCNY_6_UNIT	KERN CANYON	Kern		Weedpatch
	RIOBRAVO	9.11	l '		KERN HYDRO (OLCESE)	Kern		Weedpatch
	DOUBLE C	9.11	l	DOUBLC_1_UNITS	DOUBLE "C" LIMITED	Kern		Kern PP
	DEXEL +	9.11	l '	DEXZEL_1_UNIT	DAI / OILDALE , INC.	Kern		Kern PP
	KERNFRNT	9.11		KERNFT_1_UNITS	KERN FRONT LIMITED	Kern		Kern PP
	HISIERRA	9.11	l	SIERRA_1_UNITS	HIGH SIERRA LIMITED	Kern		Kern PP
	OILDALE	9.11		OILDAL_1_UNIT 1	OILDALE ENERGY LLC	Kern		Kern PP
	BADGERCK				BADGER CREEK LIMITED	Kern		Kern PP
						-=		•

PF bus			PF			LCR Area		
	PF bus name	kV	Unit#	Resource ID	Resource Name	Name	Area #	LCR Sub-Area Name
	CHV-CYMR	9.11	1	CHEVCY_1_UNIT	CHEVRON USA (CYMRIC)	Kern		Kern PP
	MIDSUN +	9.11	1		Midsun Generating Facility	Kern		Kern PP
	ULTR PWR	9.11	1		RIO BRAVO POSO	Kern		Kern PP
	MT POSO	9.11	1		MT.POSO COGENERATION CO.	Kern	7	Kern PP
	UNIVRSTY	9.11	1		BERRY PETROLEUM COGEN 38	Kern		Kern PP
35038	CHLKCLF+	9.11	1	CHALK_1_UNIT	CHALK CLIFF LIMITED	Kern	7	Kern PP
35040	KERNRDGE	9.11	1	KERNRG_1_UNITS	AERA ENERGY (SOUTH BELRIDGE)	Kern	7	Kern PP
35044	TX MIDST	9.11	1	MIDSET_1_UNIT 1	MIDSET COGEN. CO.	Kern	7	Kern PP
35046	SEKR	9.11	1	VEDDER_1_SEKERN	TEXACO EXPLORATION & PRODUCT	Kern	7	Kern PP
35048	FRITOLAY	9.11	1	FRITO_1_LAY	FRITO-LAY	Kern	7	Kern PP
35050	SLR-TANN	9.11	1	TANHIL_6_SOLART	BERRY PETROLEUM COGEN 18 AG	Kern	7	Kern PP
35052	CHEV.USA	9.11	1	CHEVCD_6_UNIT	CHEVRON USA (TAFT/CADET)	Kern		Kern PP
	PSE-LVOK	9.11	1	LIVOAK_1_UNIT 1	LIVE OAK LIMITED	Kern	7	Kern PP
35060	PSEMCKIT	9.11	1	MKTRCK_1_UNIT 1	MCKITTRICK LIMITED	Kern	7	Kern PP
	DISCOVRY	9.11	1	DISCOV_1_CHEVRN	CHEVRON USA (EASTRIDGE)	Kern	7	Kern PP
	NAVY 35R	9.11	1		OCCIDENTAL OF ELK HILLS,INC.	Kern		Kern PP
	PSE-BEAR	9.11	1	BEARMT_1_UNIT	BEAR MOUNTAIN LIMITED	Kern	7	Kern PP
	ALAMT1 G	18	1		ALAMITOS GEN STA. UNIT 1	LA Basin	8	Western
	ALAMT2 G	18	2		ALAMITOS GEN STA. UNIT 2	LA Basin	8	Western
	ALAMT3 G	18	3		ALAMITOS GEN STA. UNIT 3	LA Basin	8	Western
	ALAMT4 G	18			ALAMITOS GEN STA. UNIT 4	LA Basin	8	Western
	ALAMT5 G	20	5		ALAMITOS GEN STA. UNIT 5	LA Basin	8	Western
	ARCO 1G	13.8	1	ARCOGN_2_UNITS		LA Basin	8	Western
	ARCO 2G	13.8	2	ARCOGN_2_UNITS		LA Basin	8	Western
	ARCO 3G	13.8	3	ARCOGN_2_UNITS		LA Basin	8	Western
	ARCO 4G	13.8	4	ARCOGN_2_UNITS		LA Basin	8	Western
	BRIGEN	13.8	1		OBRIEN CALIFORNIA COGENERATIO		8	Western
	CARBOGEN	13.8	1		BP WILMINGTON CALCINER	LA Basin	8	Western
	CHEVGEN1	13.8	1	CHEVMN_2_UNITS		LA Basin	8	Western
	CHEVGEN2	13.8	2	CHEVMN_2_UNITS	O L O ENEROY COMPANY OURS	LA Basin	8	Western
	CIMGEN	13.8	1		O.L.S. ENERGY COMPANY CHINO	LA Basin	8	Western
	ELSEG3 G	18	3		EL SEGUNDO GEN STA. UNIT 3	LA Basin	8	Western
	ELSEG4 G	18	4		EL SEGUNDO GEN STA. UNIT 4	LA Basin LA Basin	8 8	Western
	HARBOR G	13.8	1		Harbor Cogen Unit 1		-	Western
	HARBOR G	13.8 13.8	HP 1		HARBOR COGEN UNITS 2 & 3 AGGR L.A. COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT		8	Western
	HILLGEN HINSON		1		HINSON QFS	LA Basin	8	Western
	HUNT1 G	66 13.8	1		HUNTINGTON BEACH GEN STA. UNI	LA Basin	8	Western Western
	HUNT2 G	13.8			HUNTINGTON BEACH GEN STA. UNI			Western
	ICEGEN	13.8	1		CARSON COGENERATION COMPAN			Western
	LA FRESA	66	l	LOTTITI _0_IOLOLIN	CARGON COCENERATION COMI AN	LA Basin	-	Western
	LAGUBELL	66	1			LA Basin	8	Western
	MOBGEN	13.8	1	MOBGEN 6 UNIT 1	MOBIL OIL CORPORATION	LA Basin	8	Western
	PULPGEN	13.8	1		JEFFERSON SMURFIT CORPORATION	LA Basin	8	Western
	REDON5 G	18	5		REDONDO GEN STA. UNIT 5	LA Basin	8	Western
	REDON6 G	18	6		REDONDO GEN STA. UNIT 6	LA Basin	8	Western
	REDON7 G	20	7		REDONDO GEN STA. UNIT 7	LA Basin	8	Western
	REDON8 G	20	8		REDONDO GEN STA. UNIT 8	LA Basin	-	Western
	S.ONOFR2	22	2		SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR UNIT 2	LA Basin	8	Western
	S.ONOFR3	22	3		SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR UNIT 3	LA Basin	8	Western
	SANTIAGO	66	1			LA Basin	8	Western
	SERRFGEN	13.8	1	HINSON_6_SERRGN	CITY OF LONG BEACH	LA Basin	8	Western
	ALAMT6 G	20	6		ALAMITOS GEN STA. UNIT 6	LA Basin	8	Western
	ARCO 5G	13.8	5	ARCOGN_2_UNITS		LA Basin		Western
	ARCO 6G	13.8	6	ARCOGN_2_UNITS		LA Basin	8	Western
24167	HUNT3 G	13.8	3	HNTGBH_7_UNIT 3	HUNTINGTON BEACH GEN STA. UNI	LA Basin	8	Western
	HUNT4 G	13.8	4		HUNTINGTON BEACH GEN STA. UNI	LA Basin	8	Western
24197	ELLIS	66	1			LA Basin	8	Western
	CENTER S	66	1			LA Basin	8	Western
24211	OLINDA	66	1	OLINDA_2_QF		LA Basin	8	Western
25203	ANAHEIMG	13.8	1		ANAHEIM COMBUSTION TURBINE	LA Basin	8	Western
	HARBORG4	4.16	LP	HARBGN_7_PL2X3	HARBOR COGEN UNITS 2 & 3 AGGR	LA Basin	8	Western
28005	PASADNA1	13.8	1	GLNARM_7_UNIT 1	GLEN ARM UNIT 1	LA Basin	8	Western
	PASADNA2	13.8	1	GLNARM_7_UNIT 2	GLEN ARM UNIT 2	LA Basin		Western
28007	BRODWYSC	13.8	1	BRDWAY_7_UNIT 3	BROADWAY UNIT 3	LA Basin	8	Western
	•				•	'		

Property Property		I		I					
P	PF bus			PF			LCR Area		
2020 DENTURY 13.8 1			kV		Resource ID	Resource Name		Area #	LCR Sub-Area Name
DREWS									
24024 CHINO				1				8	
24053 MTNVST3	24024	CHINO	66	1			LA Basin	8	Eastern
24031 MINNST 18	24030	DELGEN	13.8	1	MIRLOM_6_DELGEN	CORONA ENERGY PARTNERS LTD.	LA Basin	8	Eastern
24071 PADUA 66 1	24052	MTNVIST3	18	3	ETIWND_7_UNIT 3	ETIWANDA GEN STA. UNIT 3	LA Basin	8	Eastern
24111 PADUA 66 2 2			18	4	ETIWND_7_UNIT 4	ETIWANDA GEN STA. UNIT 4	LA Basin	8	Eastern
24114 SIMPSON 13.8 1	24071	INLAND	13.8	1	INLAND_6_UNIT	INLAND	LA Basin	8	Eastern
24406 JALLEYSC 115	24111	PADUA	66	1			LA Basin	8	Eastern
24816 GARNET 115	24111	PADUA	66	2				8	Eastern
24815 GARNET 115	24140	SIMPSON	13.8	1	CHINO_6_SMPPAP	SIMPSON PAPER	LA Basin	8	Eastern
24816 NAIDCO 115 15 15 16 16 17 18 1 16 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 19 18 18	24160	VALLEYSC		1			LA Basin	8	Eastern
24826 MNTV-CT1								-	Eastern
24922 MNTV-CT1 18				2	DEVERS_1_QF	Devers QFS	LA Basin	8	Eastern
24922 MNTV-CT2 18 1 new unit Mountain/wev Power Project LA Basin 8 Eastern 24923 MNTV-CT3 18 1 new unit Mountain/wev Power Project LA Basin 8 Eastern 24925 MNTV-ST2 18 1 new unit Mountain/wev Power Project LA Basin 8 Eastern 24926 MNTV-ST2 18 1 new unit Mountain/wev Power Project LA Basin 8 Eastern 25604 DILCYNG 13.8 1 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 3 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 3 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 3 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 3 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 1 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 1 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 2 LA Basin 8 Eastern 2649 DVLCYNG 13.8 1 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 2 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 2 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 2 LA Basin 8 Eastern 28190 WINTECK 13.8 1 DVLCYN_1, LINIT 2 INDIGO_PEAKER UNIT 2 LA Basin 8 Eastern 28200 ALTANAS 15 1 LARKSP_6_UNIT 1 LARKSP_6_UNIT 1 LARKSP_6_UNIT 2 LA Basin 8 Eastern 22190 CA			115					8	
24924 MNTV-CT3				1				-	
24924 MNTV-CT3 18 brace 1 new unit Mountainview Power Project L. Basin 8 brace 24925 MNTV-ST2 18 brace 1 new unit Mountainview Power Project L. Basin 8 brace 25694 MNTV-ST2 18 brace 1 cew unit Mountainview Power Project L. Basin 8 brace 25694 DVLCVNG 13.8 draws 3 DVLCVN_1_UNIT 3 DVLCVN_1_UNIT 3 L. Basin 8 brace 25644 DVLCVNG 13.8 draws 1 DVLCVN_1_UNIT 3 DEVIL CANYON HYDRO UNIT 3 L. Basin 8 brace 25694 DVLCVNG 13.8 draws 1 DVLCVN_1_UNIT 2 DEVIL CANYON HYDRO UNIT 3 L. Basin 8 brace 26690 DVLCVNG 13.8 draws 1 NDIGO_1 UNIT 2 L. Basin 8 brace Brace 28190 WINTECX 13.8 draws 1 NDIGO_1 UNIT 2 L. Basin 8 brace Brace 28206 ALTAMSA4 115 draws 1 LARKSPE_6_UNIT 1 LARKSPER UNIT 2 LA Basin 8 brace 21210 CARTILO 6 grace 1 CHILLS_7_UNITAI CHILLS_CE San Diego 9 brace 221210 CARTILO 1 draws					new unit	<u> </u>		-	
24926 MNTV-ST2 18 1 1 new unit				1		•		-	
24926 MNTV-ST2 18						<u> </u>		-	
ETIWNDG 13.8 1 ETIWND 6 MYDETI ETIWNND RECOVERÝ HYDRO LA Basin 8 Eastern								-	
25603 DVLCYN3G 13.8 3 DVLCYN 1_UNIT 3 DEVIL CANYON HYDRO UNIT 3 LA Basin 8 Eastern 25648 DVLCYN1G 13.8 1 DVLCYN_1_UNIT 3 DEVIL CANYON HYDRO UNIT 1 LA Basin 8 Eastern 25649 DVLCYN2G 13.8 2 DVLCYN_1_UNIT 3 DEVIL CANYON HYDRO UNIT 2 LA Basin 8 Eastern 261930 WINTECK2 13.8 1 INDIGO_1_UNIT 3 LA Basin 8 Eastern 26204 LRKSPB01 13.8 1 INDIGO_1_UNIT 3 INDIGO_1_UNIT 3 INDIGO_1_UNIT 3 LA Basin 8 Eastern 262074 LRKSPB01 13.8 1 LARKSP_6_UNIT 1 LARKSP_6_UNIT 2 LA Basin 8 Eastern 26208 ROULEVRD* 69 1 CSRLLO_6_PISTP1 26208 ROULEVRD* 69 1 CSRLLO_6_PISTP1 272190 CARPITINS 13.8 1 BORDER_6_UNITA1 272190 CAPITINS 13.8 1 ELCAIN_6_UNITA1 272191 DVISION 69 1 DVISON_6_NSOF 272121 ELCAINGT 12.5 1 ELCAIN_7_GT1 272223 ENCINA 1 14.4 1 ENCINA_7_EA1 27223 ENCINA 1 14.4 1 ENCINA_7_EA3 ENCINA UNIT 2 San Diego 9 27224 ENCINA 2 24.1 ENCINA_7_EA3 ENCINA UNIT 3 San Diego 9 27224 ENCINA 2 24.1 ENCINA_7_EA3 ENCINA UNIT 3 San Diego 9 27237 KARNO_ES 13.8 1 ESCINO_6_UNITA1 27240 ENCINA 3 14.4 1 ENCINA_7_EA3 ENCINA UNIT 3 San Diego 9 272244 ENCINA 2 12.5 1 ELCAIN_7_EA5 ENCINA UNIT 3 San Diego 9 272244 ENCINA 3 14.4 1 ENCINA_7_EA5 ENCINA UNIT 3 San Diego 9 272244 ENCINA 3 14.4 1 ENCINA_7_EA5 ENCINA UNIT 3 San Diego 9 272244 ENCINA 5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY_0TZ_AGGREGATE San Diego 9 272257 RAMCO_ES 13.8 1 ENCINA_7_EA5 ENCINA UNIT 3 San Diego 9 272268 EPPCT1 18 1 New unit New unit								-	
25648 DVLCYN16 13.8 1 DVLCYN_T_I_UNIT 4 DEVIL CANYON HYDRO UNIT 1 LA Basin 8 Eastern								-	
25649 DVLCYN1G 13.8 1 DVLCYN_1_UNIT 1 DVLCYN_1_UNIT 2 DEVIL CANYON HYDRO UNIT 2 LA Basin 8 Eastern								-	
25649 DVLCYNZGG 13.8 2 DVLCYNT_IUNIT 2 DEVIL CANYON HYDRO UNIT 2 LA Basin 8 Eastern								_	
Basten WINTECAZ 13.8								_	
Basten MINTECX2								-	
28191 WINTECX1 13.8								-	
28260 ALTAMSAA 115								-	
22075 LRKSPBD1 13.8					INDIGO_1_UNIT 2	INDIGO PEAKER UNIT 2		-	
22075 LRKSPBD2 13.8 1 CARKSP_0_UNIT 2 CARKSPUR PEAKER UNIT 2 San Diego 9 San					LADIZOD G LINIT 4	LADICOLID DEALED LINIT 4		_	Eastern
22088 GOULEVRD 69									
2002 CABRILLO 69					LARKSP_0_UNIT 2	LARKSPUR PEAKER UNIT 2			
22120 CARLTNHIS 138					CDDLLO & DLOTD1	DT LOMA SEMACE TREATMENT			
22149 CALPK BD 13.8 1 BORDER 6 UNITA1 CalPeak Power - Border LLC San Diego 9 22150 CALPK ES 13.8 1 ESCNDO 6 UNITA1 San Diego 9 22172 DIVISION 69 1 DIVSON 6 NSOF ELCAJN 7 ELCAJN 8 ENCINA 9 ELCAJN									
22150 CALPK_EC 13.8 1 ELCAJN_6_UNITA1 CalPeak Power - El Cajon LLC San Diego 9 San Diego 9									
22172 DIVISION 69 1 DIVSON 6 69 1 DIVSON 6 NSQF EL CAJON San Diego 9 Part 1 EL CAJON San Diego 9 Part 2 S							_		
22172 DIVISION 69						Cair eak rower - Li Cajon LLC	_	-	
22212 ELCAJNGT 12.5						NAVAL STATION OF		-	
22233 ENCINA 1				-					
22234 ENCINA 2 14.4 1 ENCINA_T_EA2 ENCINA UNIT 2 San Diego 9							_		
22236 ENCINA 3 14.4 1 ENCINA_7_EA3 ENCINA UNIT 3 San Diego 9									
22240 ENCINA 4 22 1 ENCINAEA4 ENCINA UNIT 4 San Diego 9 22244 ENCINA 5 24 1 ENCINAEA5 ENCINA UNIT 5 San Diego 9 22248 ENCINAGT 12.5 1 ENCINAGT1 ENCINA GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22267 RAMCO_ES 13.8 1 ESCNDO_6_UNITB1 ENCINA GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22262 EPPCT1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22265 EPPST1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22332 GOALLINE 69 1 ESCO_6_GLMOF GOAL LINE L.P. San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9							_		
22244 ENCINA 5 24 1 ENCINA_T_EA5 ENCINA UNIT 5 San Diego 9 22248 ENCINAGT 12.5 1 ENCINA_T_GT1 ENCINA GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22257 RAMCO_ES 13.8 1 ESCNDO_6_UNITB1 CalPeak Power - Enterprise LLC San Diego 9 22263 EPPCT1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22265 EPPST1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22332 GOALLINE 69 1 ESCO_6_GLMQF GOAL LINE L.P. San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_T_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 <									
22248 ENCINAGT 12.5 1 ENCINA_7_GT1 ENCINA GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22257 RAMCO_ES 13.8 1 ESCNDO_6_UNITB1 CalPeak Power - Enterprise LLC San Diego 9 22262 EPPCT1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22263 EPPST1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22332 GOALLINE 69 1 ESCO_6_GLMQF GOAL LINE L.P. San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376									
22257 RAMCO_ES 13.8 1 ESCNDO_6_UNITB1 CalPeak Power - Enterprise LLC San Diego 9 22262 EPPCT1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22263 EPPCT1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22265 EPPST1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22332 GOALLINE 69 1 ESCO_6_GLMQF GOAL LINE L.P. San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3							_		
22262 EPPCT1 18 1 new unit new unit new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22263 EPPCT2 18 1 new unit new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22365 EPPST1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22373 GOAL LINE 69 1 ESCO_6_GLMQF GOAL LINE L.P. San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376							•		
22263 EPPCT2 18 1 new unit new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22265 EPPST1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22332 GOALLINE 69 1 ESCO_6_GLMQF GOAL LINE L.P. San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEAR		_			. – –		_		
22265 EPPST1 18 1 new unit Palomar Energy Project San Diego 9 22332 GOALLINE 69 1 ESCO_6_GLMQF GOAL LINE L.P. San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARNGT1 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARNGT						3 , ,			
22332 GOALLINE 69 1 ESCO_6_GLMQF GOAL LINE L.P. San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 K							_		
22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_T_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_T_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22377 KEARNGT1 12.5 1 KEARNY_T_KY1 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376							_		
22373 KEARN2AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARNGT1 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22377 KEARNGT1 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY1 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRA				1			_		
22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22377 KEARNGT1 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY1 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRG				2		KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE			
22374 KEARN2CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY2 KEARNY GT2 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22377 KEARNGT1 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY1 KEARNY GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9									
22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22377 KEARNGT1 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY1 KEARNY GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9							_		
22375 KEARN3AB 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22377 KEARNGT1 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY1 KEARNY GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9	22375	KEARN3AB		1		KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE	_		
22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22376 KEARN3CD 12.5 2 KEARNY_7_KY3 KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE San Diego 9 22377 KEARNGT1 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY1 KEARNY GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22486 RAMCO_MR 13.8 1 San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9	22375	KEARN3AB	12.5	2		KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE	_		
22377 KEARNGT1 12.5 1 KEARNY_7_KY1 KEARNY GAS TURBINE UNIT 1 San Diego 9 22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22486 RAMCO_MR 13.8 1 San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9	22376	KEARN3CD	12.5	1		KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE	San Diego	9	
22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22486 RAMCO_MR 13.8 1 San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9	22376	KEARN3CD	12.5	2	KEARNY_7_KY3	KEARNY GT3 AGGREGATE	San Diego	9	
22384 KYOCERA 69 1 KYCORA_7_UNIT1 KYOCERA QF San Diego 9 22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22486 RAMCO_MR 13.8 1 San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9				1		KEARNY GAS TURBINE UNIT 1	_		
22480 MIRAMAR 69 1 MSHGTS_6_MMARLF MIRAMAR LAND FILL San Diego 9 22486 RAMCO_MR 13.8 1 San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9			69	1		KYOCERA QF	_		
22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9			69	1		MIRAMAR LAND FILL	_		
22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 1 MRGT_7_MR1A Miramar GT 1A San Diego 9 22488 MIRAMRGT 12.5 2 TBD MIRAMAR GEN (RAMCO) San Diego 9	22486	RAMCO_MR	13.8	1					
			12.5	1	MRGT_7_MR1A				
22532 MURRAY 69 1 MURRAY_6_SDSU1 SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY San Diego 9									
	22532	MURRAY	69	1	MURRAY_6_SDSU1	SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY	San Diego	9	

PF bus			PF			LCR Area		
#	PF bus name	kV	Unit #	Resource ID	Resource Name	Name	Area #	LCR Sub-Area Name
22576	NOISLMTR	69	1	NIMTG_6_NIQF	NORTH ISLAND QF	San Diego	9	
22604	OTAY	69	1	OTAY_6_UNITB	OTAY LAND FILL	San Diego	9	
22617	RAMCO_OY	13.8	1	OTAY_6_UNITA1	RAMCO Chula Vista	San Diego	9	
22660	POINTLMA	69	1	PTLOMA_6_NTCCGN	MCRD STM TURBINE	San Diego	9	
22680	R.SNTAFE	69	1			San Diego	9	
22688	RINCON	69	1			San Diego	9	
22704	SAMPSON	12.5	1	SAMPSN_6_KELCO1	KELCO QF	San Diego	9	
22724	SANMRCOS	69	1	SMRCOS_6_UNITB1	SAN MARCOS LAND FILL	San Diego	9	
22776	SOUTHBGT	12.5	1	SOBAY_7_GT1	SOUTHBAY GAS TURBINE 1	San Diego	9	
22780	SOUTHBY1	15	1	SOBAY_7_SY1	SOUTHBAY UNIT 1	San Diego	9	
22784	SOUTHBY2	15	1	SOBAY_7_SY2	SOUTHBAY UNIT 2	San Diego	9	
22788	SOUTHBY3	20	1	SOBAY_7_SY3	SOUTHBAY UNIT 3	San Diego	9	
22792	SOUTHBY4	20	1	SOBAY_7_SY4	SOUTHBAY UNIT 4	San Diego	9	
22820	SWEETWTR	69	1			San Diego	9	
22911	ENVIRE1	12.47	1			San Diego	9	
22912	ENVIRE2	12.47	1			San Diego	9	
22913	ENVIRE3	12.47	1			San Diego	9	

ATTACHMENT 2

Addendum

to

2006 Local Capacity Technical Analysis¹

January 31, 2006

I. Overview of the Criteria for Locational Capacity Requirements (LCR)

The CAISO determines the LCR (in MWs for each defined local area) to permit the CAISO to meet its requirements, and be in compliance with established industry standards, within areas with severely limited transmission capability.

The technical analysis conducted for determining LCR for 2006 adheres to the CAISO Grid Planning Standards, which are based on national and regional planning standards, in particular the North American Electric Reliability Council ("NERC") and WECC Planning Standards.

The CAISO Planning Standards build from, rather than duplicate, the standards that were developed by WECC and NERC. The CAISO Planning Standards accomplish this by:

- Addressing specifics not covered in the NERC/WECC Planning Standards.
- Providing interpretations of the NERC/WECC Planning Standards specific to the CA ISO Grid.
- Identifying whether specific criteria should be adopted that are more stringent than the NERC and/or /WECC planning standards.

Policy summary

The criteria for LCR focuses on the transmission system's ability to meet existing industry standards including two contingencies: the worst contingency – i.e., the loss of a transmission line that would cause the biggest impact within that local area – and then the next most significant contingency. The LCR is the minimum amount of generating capacity that must be located within that local area to meet this standard -- so that, if such a worst contingency "event" occurred, the system could withstand the next worst contingency.

The NERC/WECC standards upon which this criteria are based are <u>deterministic</u> in the sense that these goals are clearly set *or determined* by industry professionals following an established process for identifying standards. An alternative <u>probabilistic</u> approach

¹ The California ISO's Local Capacity Technical Analysis: Overview of Study Report and Final Results, September 23, 2005, filed herewith, identified the methodology and criteria and the final LCR results for 2006. This report was submitted to the CPUC as part of the CAISO's Motion to Augment the Record Regarding Resource Adequacy Phase 2, filed September 23, 2005 in R.04-04-003, and can also be found at the CAISO website at: http://www.caiso.com/docs/2005/09/23/2005092316492428845.pdf

would establish the statistical probabilities that certain events could lead to certain outcomes, and then setting the appropriate policy based on those probabilities.

II. Peak Load Forecast: Comparing 1-day-in-10 year and 1-day-in-5-year

The peak load used for this 2006 LCR analysis is consistent with the peak load methodology used in the CAISO Grid Expansion Planning process:

- 1-day-in-2-year peak load for analyzing system-wide areas
- 1-day-in-5-year peak load for analyzing zonal areas
- 1-day-in-10-year peak load for analyzing areas smaller than a zone

For the 2006 LCR analysis, the 1-in-10-year peak summer load forecast is the most appropriate standard of analysis because fewer options exist during actual operation to mitigate performance concerns within local areas. There is less diversity and thus less certainty in load for local areas compared to a regional load forecast. In addition, this load level has been used as an industry standard in California and is used within the CAISO's transmission planning studies when determining if and what reinforcement of the transmission system is needed in future years in local areas.

As a general comparison: based on historical data,² the difference between the 1-in-10-year and the 1-in-5-year peak load is generally about 1.5%. In other words, the 1-day-in-5-year peak in MWs is about 1.5% lower than the 1-day-in-10-year peak in MWs. As a rule of thumb, this difference translates into a corresponding one-for-one reduction in the LCR -- (the MWs of capacity needed in that local area) -- provided that the area constraint is driven by a thermal problem AND assuming that the load and generation have roughly the same effectiveness factors.

The exact reduction in LCR results (using a less stringent 1-in-5-year instead of the 1-in-10-year load forecast) could be different due to the load growth characteristics specific to each local area. If the local area constraints are non-linear, like voltage or dynamic problems, or if the effectiveness factors between the generators and load within the same area are significantly different relative to the worst thermal constraint, then the difference in LCR results will not mirror the difference in load forecast.

Policy Summary

The peak load forecast is one key variable in the determination of the LCR that meets the established criteria. In comparing the 1-in-5-year load analysis with the 1-in-10-year standard, a general conclusion that could be drawn is that the difference in required MWs for most of the local areas and sub-areas analyzed in this report would not be huge. An analysis of each local area and the unique contingencies within each area would be necessary to determine the exact difference in LCRs.

² Includes the CEC's change in coincidental peak demand (MW) resulting from high temperatures scenarios, published in the "High Temperatures & Electricity Demand," July 1999.

III. Analysis of Contingencies

The LCR requirements have been determined using a subset of the CAISO Grid Planning Standards and are considered to be the minimum local generation requirement based on current operating practices. For most of the local areas, the LCR generation was determined such that following the outage of a <u>single</u> element (N-1), the system could be adjusted with local area generation to return power flows within the normal ratings of transmission equipment. As required, an additional generation readjustment was made to assure that the <u>next</u> transmission equipment outage (N-1-1) would not lead to exceeding the emergency ratings of the remaining transmission system.³

A. Operational Solutions to Meet the N-1, N-1 contingency:

The CAISO utilized generation to meet the applicable planning standards because the general definition of Local Capacity Requirement is the minimum generation capacity (in MWs) that must be available within each local area. However, it is possible, in limited cases, that additional generation readjustment beyond returning to normal ratings after the first contingency (N-1) would not be needed to prevent the second contingency (N-1-1) from exceeding the emergency ratings of the remaining transmission system. For example, if the transmission owner agreed to drop load⁴ upon occurrence of a second contingency and the amount of load dropped would adjust power flows to be within transmission facility emergency ratings applicable for this outage combination, then additional generation may not be required, especially in areas with smaller load. In addition, new Special Protection Schemes might be installed such that compliance with the criteria is maintained at all times.

To illustrate the impact on LCRs using this alternative way for dealing with a second contingency: the following table is condensed from Table 2 (page 11) of the 2006 Local Capacity Technical Analysis that was submitted to the CPUC on September 23, 2005.

The second column in this table, "2006 market only LCR," represents the total generation that must be procured, based on the LCR criteria, assuming that all Muni, State, Federal, QFs and nuclear units are on-line and available to serve load. Footnote 2 explains a slight adjustment to the MW number cited for one local area in Table 2 (page 11) of the 2006 Local Capacity Technical Analysis. (PG&E staff helpfully guided the CAISO on this correction by providing the number of MWs used by QF/Muni generation.) This adjustment does not impact the overall 2006 LCR requirement or the total MW requirement for the Greater Bay Area.

The third column in the table below, "2006 Total LCR (MW)," is identical to the last column of Table 2 on page 11 of the 2006 Local Capacity Technical Analysis. The CAISO stands by the analysis and results that produced these MW requirements for 2006.

³ The description of this methodology encompasses pages 6-10 of the overview report.

⁴ Any commitment to drop load immediately following an N-1-1 event would need to be translated into clear operating procedures.

The fourth column in the table below, "Potential MW requirement decrease if load drop is feasible and implemented" affects mostly small areas where the LCR requirement was driven by an N-1-1 contingency. This occurs because the emergency rating of most transmission equipment is usually about 15-20 % higher than the normal rating. Thus, such small areas with fewer transmission ties are more susceptible to require additional system readjustment (after the first N-1 contingency) to get below the normal rating of transmission equipment and be able to support the second contingency within emergency ratings. In contrast, local areas with larger loads generally have many transmission ties. Therefore, once the system readjustment (the return to normal ratings after the first N-1 contingency) is completed, the local transmission equipment is more likely to sustain the second contingency without further readjustment because each one of the remaining ties may increase its flow by no more than 15-20%.

Table: Potential MW requirement decrease if load drop is feasible and implemented for N-1-1 contingency.

Local Requirements LCR									
Local Area Name	2006 market only LCR (MW)	2006 Total LCR (MW)	Potential decrease in LCR (MW)						
Humboldt	126	162	0						
North Coast / North Bay	518	658	98 ³						
Sierra	808	1770 ¹	0						
Stockton	244	440 ¹	98 ⁴						
Greater Bay	4776 ²	6009	0						
Greater Fresno	2529	2837 ¹	0						
Kern	171	797 ¹	797 ⁵						
LA Basin	4800	8127	0						
San Diego	2434	2620	0						
Total	16406 ²	23420	993						

¹ Generation deficient areas (or with sub-area that are deficient) – deficiency included in LCR

² There is a small change to the total market only LCR because of QF/MUNI units that have been recounted. The Greater Bay Area market requirement goes up to 4776 MW because the power generated by QF and MUNI actual is a bit lower at 1233 MW.

³ Under the assumption that load drop is feasible and implemented for an N-1-1 contingency: the Eagle Rock- Fulton sub-area requirements could go down from 319 to 238 MW (includes 79 MW of QF and MUNI), and the Lakeville (total) requirement could be reduced from 658 to 560 MW (which includes 140 MW of QF and MUNI)

Policy summary

This fourth column, within the table above, shows the impact on the LCR if operational solutions (like load shedding or Special Protection Schemes) were used to meet the criteria instead of required MWs within the local area. The LCR in three of the nine local areas could be reduced. The total LCR of 23,420 MWs could be lowered by approximately 1000 MWs.

B. For N-1, N-2 contingency:

The proposed LCR requirements will also allow for recovery from simultaneous or overlapping contingencies that require generators inside the load pocket be used to prevent voltage collapse, transient instability, cascading outages and uncontrolled separation for the loss of a single element (N-1), system readjustment (without precontingency interruptible or firm load shedding), and then the simultaneous loss of credible two transmission lines (Double Circuit Tower Lines or in the same Right-Of-Way). This is a N-1, N-2 contingency. The 2006 results showed that only one sub-area has its LCR requirement driven by this portion of the criteria – the LA Basin Eastern sub-area.

The LA Basin East LCR requirement is driven by a single outage (Devers-Valley 500 kV line or one SONGS unit) followed by the need to stay within the accepted rating (by both CAISO and SCE) for the South of Lugo 500 kV path. This requirement also translates into a single element, system readjustment, and then the simultaneous loss of credible two transmission lines, because the rating of the South of Lugo 500 kV path is driven by the simultaneous loss of the Lugo-Mira Loma #2 and #3 500 kV lines and preventing subsequent voltage collapse.

Policy Summary

If the path rating within the LA Basin is not maintained and the double contingency occurs, the Southern California region and potentially the entire WECC area could be subjected to a severe black-out. The assessment of technical consequences concluded that the South of Lugo path rating needs to be maintained within limits at all times, including one element out of service, through resource procurement until the import capability into this area is raised by new transmission projects. At that time, this requirement will need to be reassessed.

⁴ Under the assumption that load drop is feasible and implemented for an N-1-1 contingency: the Tesla-Bellota sub-area requirements could go down from 348 to 328 MW (includes 194 MW of QF and MUNI), and the Lockeford requirement could be reduced from 92 to 14 MW (which includes 2 MW of QF and MUNI). Note that these requirements were calculated correctly in the main "Table 2" of the 2006 LCR report; however, the detailed description for the Tesla-Bellota sub-area (page 18) has an incorrect (higher) MW requirement.

⁵ Both the Kern PP sub-area and the Weedpatch sub-area could be eliminated under the assumption that load drop is feasible and implemented for an N-1-1 contingency.

IV. Power Flow Program Used in the LCR analysis

The LCR technical studies were conducted using General Electric's Power System Load Flow (GE PSLF) program version 13.2. Future studies can be conducted with any version higher or equal with 13.2 – for example 14 or 15. This GE PSLF program is available directly from GE or through the Western System Electricity Council (WECC) to any member.

The CAISO utilized the "2006 CAISO Controlled Grid – Summer Peak" as the starting base case for the 2006 local area power flows used in the 2006 LCR studies. To complete the local area component of this study, this base case was adjusted to reflect the one-in-ten-year peak load forecast for each local area as provided to the ISO by the Participating Transmission Owners ("PTOs").

Electronic contingency files provided by the PTOs were utilized to perform the numerous contingencies required to identify the LCR needs. These contingency files include remedial action and special protection schemes that are expected to be in operation during 2006. An CAISO created EPCL (a GE programming language contained within the GE PSLF package) routine was used to run the combination of contingencies; however, other routines are available from WECC with the GE PSFL package or can be developed by third parties to identify the most limiting combination of contingencies requiring the highest amount of generation within the local area to maintain power flows within applicable ratings.

Policy summary

The power flow program used to analyze the contingencies is publicly available.

V. Methodology for Determining Zonal Requirements

A key part of the CAISO's study for determining capacity requirements in transmission-constrained areas includes **zonal requirements** to ensure that sufficient generation capacity (in MWs) exists within each large zone so that transmission constraints between zones do not threaten reliability. The analysis of zonal requirements was discussed in the CPUC workshops and the 2006 Local Capacity Technical Analysis (page 5), but the methodology for determining these zonal requirements was not explained in detail.

The CAISO's methodology for determining these zonal requirements is designed so the operating reserves within each zone meet the WECC Minimum Operating Reliability Criteria (MORC) for operating reserves.⁵

The determination of these zonal requirements is dependent upon key assumptions:

⁵ MORC simply states "Prudent operating judgment shall be exercised in distributing operating reserve, taking into account effective use of capacity in an emergency, time required to be effective, transmission limitations, and local area requirements."

- Forecasted Load: Consistent with CAISO Planning Standards, the CAISO proposes a forecasted zonal load level that represents the 1-in-5-year peak conditions (more specifically the zonal area "coincident" peak.) For future studies the CAISO expects to use the CEC's 1-in-5 year peak load forecasts.
- Import Capability: the maximum MW amount that is assumed can be imported into a zone. This can be calculated based on the maximum historical imports into a zone, plus the anticipated increase in import capability due to transmission upgrades in effect for the time period being analyzed.
- Outages: the amount of generation that may be unavailable within a zone due to unforeseen circumstances that require immediate maintenance. Assuming a peak load, this assumption would encompass forced outages as well as a very small amount of planned outages.
- Recovery from a Single Worst Contingency: enough operating reserve to recover from the most severe single contingency without relying on firm load shedding. This total reserve capacity is based on the set of assumptions for peak load conditions. Existing industry standards do not permit shedding firm load to address a single contingency.

The zonal requirement (i.e., the amount of MWs needed within each region) is determined simply by calculating the sum of the operating reserves for recovery from a single worst contingency, the historical outage data, and the 1-in-5-year peak forecast, subtracted by the import capability:

1 in 5 zonal Load forecast + Historical outage data + Recovery from single worst contingency - Import Capability = Zonal Requirement

Policy Summary

Zonal requirements define the amount of generation (in MWs) that should exist within a region to ensure the system's ability to withstand a single worst contingency. The CAISO should focus on the 500kV system only between three major zones: NP15, NP15+ZP26, and south of Path 26 (SP26.) These are historically defined regions of the CAISO Controlled Grid where inter-zonal transmission constraints have been prone to deficiencies. Generation within all the local areas within these zones would count toward meeting a zonal requirement.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served, by electronic and United States mail, Proposal of The California Independent System Operator Corporation Regarding Local Resource Adequacy Requirements in Docket No. R.05-12-013.

Executed on January 31, 2006, at Folsom, California.

Charity N. Wilson

An Employee of the California Independent System Operator

ANDREW B. BROWN ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 abb@selawfirm.com

ANN L. TROWBRIDGE DOWNEY, BRAND, SEYMOUR & ROHWER 555 CAPITOL MALL, 10TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-4686 atrowbridge@downeybrand.com

BRIAN T. CRAGG GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

BARRY F. MCCARTHY MCCARTHY & BERLIN, LLP 100 PARK CENTER PLAZA, SUITE 501 SAN JOSE, CA 95113 bmcc@mccarthylaw.com

BARRY R. FLYNN FLYNN RESOURCE CONSULTANTS, INC. 5440 EDGEVIEW DRIVE DISCOVERY BAY, CA 94514 brflynn@flynnrci.com

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS 517 - B POTRERO AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110 cem@newsdata.com

DONALD BROOKHYSER ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP 1300 S.W. 5TH AVENUE, SUITE 1750 PORTLAND, OR 97201 deb@a-klaw.com

Donna J. Hines CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE, RM. 4102 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 djh@cpuc.ca.gov

DAVE VIDAVER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-20
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
dvidaver@energy.state.ca.us

Elizabeth Dorman CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE, RM. 5130 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 edd@cpuc.ca.gov

EDWARD V. KURZ PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177

GINA M. DIXON
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, M.S. CP32D
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
qdixon@semprautilities.com

HOLLY B. CRONIN
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
3310 EL CAMINO AVE., LL-90
SACRAMENTO, CA 95821
hcronin@water.ca.gov

JEFFREY P. GRAY
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834
jeffgray@dwt.com

LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS, LLP 11988 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130 jleslie@luce.com

JOY WARREN MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 - 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354 joyw@mid.org

JOHN W. LESLIE

JUDY PAU
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834
judypau@dwt.com

KEVIN WOODRUFF WOODRUFF EXPERT SERVICES 1100 K STREET, SUITE 204 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com

KEVIN J. SIMONSEN ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES 646 EAST THIRD AVE DURANGO, CO 81301 kisimonsen@ems-ca.com

KRIS G. CHISHOLM
CALIFORNIA ELECTRICITY OVERSIGHT BOARD
770 L STREET, SUITE 1250
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
kris.chisholm@eob.ca.gov

LISA A. COTTLE
WHITE & CASE LLP
4 EMBARCADERO CENTER, 24TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4050

G. ALAN COMNES WEST POWER CORP. 3934 SE ASH STREET PORTLAND, OR 97214 alan.comnes@dynegy.com

ANDREW ULMER
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESROURCE
3310 EL CAMINO AVE., STE. 120
SACRAMENTO, CA 95821
aulmer@water.ca.gov

BILL CHEN
CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC.
2175 N. CALIFORNIA BLVD., SUITE 300
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596
WILL CAMPACTE COMP.

BOB ANDERSON APS ENERGY SERVICES 1500 1ST AVE. NE, SUITE 101 ROCHESTER, MN 55906 Bob Anderson@apses.com

BRIAN THEAKER WILLIAMS POWER COMPANY 3161 KEN DEREK LANE PLACERVILLE, CA 95667 brian.theaker@williams.com

CHRISTOPHER J. MAYER MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354

DEBRA LLOYD
CITY OF PALO ALTO
250 HAMILTON AVE.
PALO ALTO, CA 94301
debra.lloyd@cityofpaloalto.org

DAVID MARCUS PO BOX 1287 BERKELEY, CA 94702 dmarcus2@sbcglobal.net

DEVRA WANG NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL 111 SUTTER STREET, 20TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 dwang@nrdc.org

EVELYN KAHL ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

KAREN TERRANOVA ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, STE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

GEORGE HANSON CITY OF CORONA 730 CORPORATION YARD WAY CORONA, CA 92880 george.hanson@ci.corona.ca.us

IRENE K. MOOSEN REGENTS OF UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA 53 SANTA YNEZ AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94112 irene@igo.org

JOHN GOODIN CALIFORNIA ISO 151 BLUE RAVINE RD. FOLSOM, CA 95630

JANE E. LUCKHARDT DOWNEY BRAND LLP 555 CAPITOL MALL, 10TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 iluckhardf@downeybrand.com

JOHN PACHECO
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
3310 EL CAMINO AVENUE
SACRAMENTO, CA 95821
jpacheco@water.ca.gov

JAMES WEIL AGLET CONSUMER ALLIANCE PO BOX 37 COOL, CA 95614

KEITH MCCREA SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN 1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2415 keith.mccrea@sablaw.com

GREGORY S.G. KLATT DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 411 E. HUNTINGTON DRIVE, SUITE 107-356 ARCADIA, CA 91006 klatt@energyattorney.com

KEN SIMS
SILICON VALLEY POWER
1601 CIVIC CENTER DR. NO. 201
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050
ksims@siliconvalleypower.com

LISA DECARLO
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH STREET MS-14
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
Idecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

ARTHUR L. HAUBENSTOCK
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
alhi@noe.com

ANDREA WELLER STRATEGIC ENERGY, LLC 3130 D BALFOUR RD., SUITE 290 BRENTWOOD, CA 94513 aweller@sel.com

BRIAN K. CHERRY PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177-0001 bkc7@noe.com

CHARLES A. BRAUN BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C, 915 L STREET, STE. 1420 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 braun@braunlegal.com

HSI BANG TANG

AZUSA LIGHT, POWER & WATER 729 N. AZUSA AVENUE AZUSA, CA 91702-9500 btang@ci.azusa.ca.us

CAROLYN M. KEHREIN ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES 1505 DUNLAP COURT DIXON, CA 95620-4208 cmkehrein@ems-ca.com

DENNIS M.P. EHLING KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM 10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD., 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 dehling@klng.com

DOUGLAS LARSON PACIFICORP 201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 2300 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84140 doug.larson@pacificorp.com

DAVID WITHROW CALIFORNIA ISO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 dwithrow@caiso.com

ED LUCHA
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE: B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
ell5@page.com

FRED MASON CITY OF BANNING 176 EAST LINCOLN BANNING, CA 92220 fmason@ci.banning.ca.us

GRANT A. ROSENBLUM CALIFORNIA ISO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 grosenblum@caiso.com

L. JAN REID
AGLET CONSUMER ALLIANCE
3185 GROSS ROAD
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95602
janreid@coastecon.com

JAMES MAYHEW
MIRANT CORPORATION
1155 PERIMETER CENTER WEST
ATLANTA, GA 30338
jim.mayhew@mirant.com

JOSEPH PETER COMO CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, RM. 234 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 joe.como@sfqov.org

JANINE L. SCANCARELLI FOLGER LEVIN & KAHN LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 jscancarelli@flk.com

KAREN A. LINDH LINDH & ASSOCIATES 7909 WALERGA ROAD, NO. 112, PMB 119 ANTELOPE, CA 95843

KENNETH ABREU CALPINE CORPORATION 4160 DUBLIN BLVD. DUBLIN, CA 94568 kena@calpine.com

Karen M. Shea CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE, AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 kms@cpuc.ca.gov

LOS ANGELES DOCKET OFFICE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 320 W. 4TH STREET, SUITE 500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013 LANGEMERICA GROW

LYNDA HARRIS
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
3310 EL CAMINO AVE, RM. 120
SACRAMENTO, CA 95821
Iharris@water.ca.gov

FRANK ANNUNZIATO AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK INC. 10705 DEER CANYON DR. ALTA LOMA, CA 91737-2483 allwazeready@aol.com

BONNIE S. BLAIR THOMPSON COBURN LLP 1909 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1167 bblair@thompsoncoburn.com

SCOTT BLAISING BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C. 915 L STREET, STE. 1420 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 blaising@braunlegal.com

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH BARKOVICH & YAP, INC. 44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE MENDOCINO, CA 95460 brbarkovich@earthlink.net

CASE ADMINISTRATION SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE RM. 370 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 case.admin@sce.com

CURTIS KEBLER GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO. 2121 AVENUE OF THE STARS LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 curtis.kebler@gs.com

DON P. GARBER SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 101 ASH STREET, HQ13D SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017 DGarber@sempra.com

DANIEL W. DOUGLASS DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 21700 OXNARD STREET, SUITE 1030 WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367 douglass@energyattorney.com

ED CHANG FLYNN RESOURCE CONSULTANTS, INC. 2165 MOONSTONE CIRCLE EL DORADO HILLS, CA 95762 edchang@flynnrcl.com

CALIFORNIA ISO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 e-recipient@caiso.com

MATTHEW FREEDMAN
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
freedman@lurn.org

GRACE LIVINGSTON-NUNLEY PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 qx/2@pge.com

JENNIFER CHAMBERLIN STRATEGIC ENERGY 2633 WELLINGTON CT. CLYDE, CA 94520 jchamberlin@sel.com

JAMES ROSS
REGULATORY & COGENERATION SERVICES, INC.
500 CHESTERRIELD CENTER, SUITE 320
CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017
jimross@r-c-s-inc.com

JEANNETTE OLKO 650 NORTH LA CADENA DRIVE COLTON, CA 92324 jolko@ci.colton.ca.us

JAMES D. SQUERI GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 jsqueri@gmssr.com

KATIE KAPLAN
INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION
1215 K STREET, SUITE 900
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
katie/@liena.com

KEITH JOHNSON CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 kjohnson@caiso.com

Karen P. Paull CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE, RM. 4300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 kpp@cpuc.ca.gov

LAURA GENAO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 laura.genao@sce.com

DONALD C. LIDDELL DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 2928 2ND AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 liddell@energyattomey.com LISA DECKER
111 MARKET PLACE, SUITE 500
BALTIMORE, MD 21202
lisa.decker@constellation.com

MARIC S. MUNN, P.E. UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA 1111 FRANKLIN ST., 61230 OAKLAND, CA 94607-5200 maric.munn@ucop.edu

MARC D. JOSEPH
ADAMS, BROADWELL, JOSEPH & CARDOZO
601 GATEWAY BLVD., STE. 1000
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080
mdjoseph@adamshroadwell.com

MICHAEL R. JASKE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET, MS-500 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 mjaske@energy.state.ca.us

MICHAEL ALCANTAR ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP 1300 SW FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 1750 PORTLAND, OR 97201 mpa@a-klaw.com

MARY O. SIMMONS SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD, P.O. BOX 10100 RENO, NV 89520 msimmons@sierrapacific.com

NORA SHERIFF ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 nes@a-klaw.com

RAYMOND LEE MOUNTAIN UTILITIES PO BOX. 205 KIRKWOOD, CA 95646 rlee@kirkwood.com

REED V. SCHMIDT BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES 1889 ALCATRAZ AVENUE BERKELEY, CA 94703 rschmidt@bartlewells.com

C. SUSIE BERLIN
MC CARTHY & BERLIN, LLP
100 PARK CENTER PLAZA, SUITE 501
SAN JOSE, CA 95113
sbeflin@mccarthylaw.com

STEVE SCHLEIMER
CALPINE CORPORATION
4160 DUBLIN BLVD.
DUBLIN, CA 94568
sschleimer@calpine.com

STEVEN KELLY
INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSN
1215 K STREET, SUITE 900
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
steven@ipaa.com

VALERIE WINN PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 vjw3@pge.com

RICK C. NOGER PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC. 2711 CENTERVILLE ROAD, SUITE 400 WILMINGTON, DE 19808

MICHAEL MAZUR 3 PHASES ELECTRICAL CONSULTING 2100 SEPULVEDA BLVD., SUITE 37 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266

MEGAN SAUNDERS SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS 101 ASH STREET, HQ09 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017

INGER GOODMAN COMMERCE ENERGY, INC. 600 ANTON BLVD., SUITE 2000 COSTA MESA. CA 92626 LYNN MARSHALL
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-22
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
Imarshal@energy.state.ca.us

MARK J SMITH FPL ENERGY 383 DIABLO RD., SUITE 100 DANVILLE, CA 94526 mark_j_smith@fpl.com

MICHEL PETER FLORIO
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK (TURN)
711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
mflorio@flum orn

MARGARET E. MCNAUL THOMPSON COBURN LLP 1909 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1167 mmcnaul@thompsoncoburn.com

MIKE RINGER CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET, MS-20 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 mringer@energy.state.ca.us

Mark S. Wetzell CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE, RM. 5009 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

PHILLIP J. MULLER SCD ENERGY SOLUTIONS 436 NOVA ALBION WAY SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903 philm@scdenergy.com

Robert L. Strauss CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE, AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 rls@cpuc.ca.gov

ROBERT SHERICK
PASADENA WATER AND POWER
150 S. LOS ROBLES, SUITE 200
PASADENA, CA 91101
rsherick@cityofpasadena.net

SEAN CASEY
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSIO
1155 MARKET STREET, 4TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103
scasey@sfwater.org

STEPHEN J. SCIORTINO
CITY OF ANAHEIM
201 SOUTH ANAHEIM BLVD., SUITE 802
ANAHEIM, CA 92805
scriottips@agabaim.pot.

SOUMYA SASTRY PG&E PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 svs6@pge.com

WILLIAM H. BOOTH LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH 1500 NEWELL AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 wbooth@booth-law.com

E.J. WRIGHT OCCIDENTAL POWER SERVICES, INC. 5 GREENWAY PLAZA, SUITE 110 HOUSTON, TX 77046

MIKE KASABA QUIET ENERGY 3311 VAN ALLEN PLACE TOPANGA, CA 90290

HANK HARRIS CORAL POWER, LLC 4445 EASTGATE MALL, SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

LILI SHAHRIARI AOL UTILITY CORP. 12752 BARRETT LANE SANTA ANA. CA 92705 LYNN M. HAUG ELLISON & SCHNEIDER 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-3109

MARY LYNCH
CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP
2377 GOLD MEADOW WAY, STE. 100
GOLD RIVER, CA 95670
mary,lynch@constellation.com

MARK FRAZEE CITY OF ANAHEIM 201 S. ANAHEIM BLVD., SUITE 802 ANAHEIM, CA 92805 mtrazee@anaheim pet

MARCIE MILNER CORAL POWER, L.L.C. 4445 EASTGATE MALL, SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 mmilner@coral-energy.com

MRW & ASSOCIATIES, INC.
1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 1440
OAKLAND, CA 94612
mpw@mpwassoc.com

MICHAEL TENEYCK CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA 10500 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730 MTENEYCK@CI.RANCHO-CUCAMONGA.CA.US

PHILIP D. PETTINGILL CAISO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 ppettingill@caiso.com

ROGER VANHOY MSR PUBLIC POWER AGENCY 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95352 rogerv@mid.org

ROBIN J. WALTHER, PH.D. 1380 OAK CREEK DRIVE., 316 PALO ALTO, CA 94305 rwalther@pacbell.net

SCOTT TOMASHEFSKY NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY 180 CIRBY WAY ROSEVILLE, CA 95678 scott.tomashefsky@ncpa.com

STACY AGUAYO

APS ENERGY SERVICES
400 E. VAN BUREN STREET, SUITE 750
PHOENIX, AZ 85004
stacy.aguayo@apses.com

Traci Bone CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE, RM. 5029 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 tbo@cpuc.ca.gov

WILLIAM W. WESTERFIELD III STOEL RIVES LLP 770 L STREET, SUITE 800 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 www.esterfield@stoel.com

RANDALL PRESCOTT BP ENERGY COMPANY 501 WESTLAKE PARK BLVD. HOUSTON, TX 77079

AKBAR JAZAYEIRI SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROOM 390 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

THOMAS DARTON PILOT POWER GROUP, INC. 9320 CHESAPEAKE DRIVE, SUITE 112 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

KEVIN BOUDREAUX CALPINE POWER AMERICA-CA, LLC 4160 DUBLIN BLVD. DUBLIN. CA 94568 LEEANNE UHLER CITY OF RIVERSIDE 2911 ADAMS STREET RIVERSIDE, CA 92504 luhler@riversideca.gov

BRUCE MCLAUGHLIN BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C. 915 L STREET SUITE 1420 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 mclaughlin@braunlegal.com

MICHAEL J. GERGEN LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 555 ELEVENTH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1304 michael.gergen@lw.com

MONA TIERNEY CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY, INC. 2175 NORTH CALIFORNIA BLVD WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 mona.tierney@constellation.com

MICHAEL SHAMES UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK 3100 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE B SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 mshames@ucan.org

Merideth Sterkel CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE, AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 mts@cpu.ca.gov

NICOLAS PROCOS ALAMEDA POWER & TELECOM 2000 GRAND STREET ALAMEDA, CA 94501-0263 procos@alamedapt.com

ROD AOKI ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 rsa@a-klaw.com

SAEED FARROKHPAY FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION 110 BLUE RAVINER DL, SUITE 107 FOLSOM, CA 95630 saeed.farrokhpay@ferc.gov

SEBASTIEN CSAPO
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
sscb@pge.com

STEVE KOERNER 2 NORTH NEVADA AVE. COLORADO SPRINGS, CA 80903 steve.koerner@elpaso.com

TONY ZIMMER 180 CIRBY WAY ROSEVILLE, CA 95678-6420 Tony.Zimmer@ncpa.com

ADRIAN PYE ENERGY AMERICA, LLC 263 TRESSER BLVD., 8TH FLOOR STAMFORD, CT 6901

ROBERT S. NICHOLS NEW WEST ENERGY PO BOX 61868 PHOENIX, AZ 85082-1868

RONALD MOORE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER CO. 630 EAST FOOTHILL BOULEVARD SAN DIMAS, CA 91773

DAVID J. COYLE ANZA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC PO BOX 39 1090 ANZA, CA 92539-1909

ROBERT MARSHALL PLUMAS-SIERRA RURAL ELECTRIC CO-OP 73233 HIGHWAY 70 STE A PORTOI A CA 96122-2000