

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
(U-902) for a Certificate of Public
Convenience and Necessity for the
Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Project.

Application No. 06-08-010
(Filed August 4, 2006)

Application No. 05-12-014
(Filed December 14, 2005)

**REPORT OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ON COORDINATION OF
PLANNED PARTICIPATION**

MICHAEL P. CALABRESE
Deputy City Attorney
1200 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
San Diego, CA. 92101
(619) 533-5800 (Telephone)
(619) 533-5856 (Fax)
mcabrese@sandiego.gov (e-mail)

Dated: September 6, 2006

Attorneys for
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
(U-902) for a Certificate of Public
Convenience and Necessity for the
Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Project.

Application No. 06-08-010
(Filed August 4, 2006)

Application No. 05-12-014
(Filed December 14, 2005)

**REPORT OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ON COORDINATION OF
PLANNED PARTICIPATION**

In response to the Assigned Commissioner's Ruling ("ACR") of July 5, 2006, the City of San Diego (the "City") files this report on its efforts thus far to coordinate its participation in this case with that of other parties likely to advocate the same or similar positions.

On August 31, 2006, the City, through the undersigned counsel, participated in a conference call with numerous other parties that have expressed opposition to, or reservations regarding, San Diego Gas & Electric's ("SDG&E's") proposed Sunrise Powerlink transmission line and/or various aspects of the proposed project. The call was coordinated by the Division of Ratepayer Advocates ("DRA") and included participation by many parties, including but not limited to NRG Energy, the cities of Hemet, Temecula, and Murietta, the DRA, UCAN, LS Power, Rancho Penasquitos Concerned Citizens, and the Sierra Club.

The consensus among the participating parties was that it would not be possible to reliably predict the substance of each party's likely position, as it will evolve, given that SDG&E's new filing was only recently made. More time is needed to review the filing and conduct discovery. However, all involved agree that coordination of participation and avoidance of duplication should be pursued, and to this end it was agreed that another similar call should be conducted within the next few weeks, at which time the filing will have been more thoroughly reviewed and some discovery responses received. Thus, the parties agreed to meet and confer again in several weeks. The City will continue to be an active participant in these discussions.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, City Attorney

DATED: _____

By _____

Michael P. Calabrese
Deputy City Attorney
1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA. 92101
(619) 533-5800
(619) 533-5856 (Fax)
mcalabrese@sandiego.gov (email)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sonia Castro, am over the age of 18 years and am employed in the City of San Diego. My business address is 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100, San Diego, California 92101-4100.

On September 6, 2006, I served the within document **REPORT OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ON COORDINATION OF PLANNED PARTICIPATION**, in A.06-08-010, by regular mail service on Commissioner Dian Grueneich and ALJ David M. Gamson, and by electronic service pursuant to Commission Rule 85 and the ALJ's Ruling of July 5, 2006, on the service lists used for A.06-08-010 / A.05-12-014, at San Diego, California.

Executed on September 6, 2006, at San Diego, California

Sonia Castro