

March 1, 2011

David Leamon Senior Civil Engineer Department of Public Works County of Stanislaus 1716 Morgan Street Modesto, CA 95358 File No. G.11-01-005

## Re: General Order 88-B Request for Authority to Alter Vincent Road Highway–Rail Crossing, CPUC Crossing No. 002-1077.60, DOT No. 028721G in the County of Stanislaus.

Dear Mr. Leamon:

This refers to your letter signed by you on January 5, 2011, and received by us on January 20, 2011 requesting authorization pursuant to Commission General Order (GO) 88-B to alter an atgrade highway-rail crossing of the BNSF Railway (BNSF) track in the County of Stanislaus (County). The crossing is identified as Vincent Road Highway-Rail Crossing, CPUC Crossing No. 002-1077.60, DOT No. 028721G.

Vincent Road, running north-south, is a rural, two-lane local roadway at the crossing. The Vincent Road crossing has a single track frequented by BNSF freight and Amtrak passenger trains. There are no medians or sidewalks at the crossing. Adjacent to the crossing, Santa Fe Avenue runs parallel to the track and forms an intersection with Vincent Road which is controlled by a 2-way stop for Vincent Road traffic as traffic on Santa Fe Avenue is uncontrolled. The crossing is equipped with a Commission Standard 8 (flashing light signal assembly) warning device with a separate stub gate on the north side of the track, and a Commission Standard 9 (flashing light signal assembly with automatic gate) on the south side of the track.

This project is being done in conjunction with the Section 130 Program. The County states in its GO 88-B request letter that "The close proximity of the railroad crossing to the Vincent Road and Santa Fe Avenue intersection limits storage space available for vehicles stopped at the intersection. There is approximately 50 feet of clearance between the stop bar at the intersection and the railroad crossing. The addition of the W10-11A and W10-11B signs should draw special attention to the limited clearance and give motorists some warning to maneuver through the railroad crossing and intersection. The addition of W10-2 and W10-5 signs will warn motorists of the existing low ground clearance railroad crossing parallel to Santa Fe Avenue. The existing pavement at the approaches are uneven and worn down. Overlaying the existing approaches will provide safer traffic movements across the railroad crossing."

David Leamon G.11-01-005 March 1, 2011 Page 2 of 3

The proposed alterations, as indicated in the request letter and/or shown on the plans, shall consist of:

- Removing all existing warning devices;
- installing one Commission Standard 9 (flashing light signal assembly with automatic gate) warning device in the northwest quadrant with additional sidelights directed to the east and west for Santa Fe Avenue traffic;
- installing one Commission Standard 9 warning device in the southeast quadrant;
- installing constant time warning train detection device;
- installing a new signal house in the northwest quadrant;
- overlaying the southbound approach between Santa Fe Avenue and the track to improve the grade and asphalt condition per plan;
- relocating the W10-1 sign on the south side of the track with the corresponding northbound traffic pavement markings (RXR) to approximately 300 feet from the railroad track per plan;
- installing a W10-5 sign with a W10-5 plague below, and below that, a "TRUCKS USE EAST AVENUE" sign on Santa Fe Avenue (for eastbound traffic) approximately 100 feet west of the Vincent Road / Santa Fe Avenue intersection per plan;
- installing a W10-5 sign with a W10-5 plaque below, and below that, a "TRUCKS USE MONTE VISTA AVENUE" sign on Santa Fe Avenue (for westbound traffic) per plan approximately 100 feet east of the Vincent Road / Santa Fe Avenue intersection per plan;
- installing a W10-5 sign with a W10-5 plaque below on Vincent Road (for northbound traffic) approximately 100 feet south of the track per plan;
- installing a W10-5 sign with a W10-5 plaque below on Vincent Road (for southbound traffic), north of the Santa Fe Avenue / Vincent Road intersection approximately 100 feet north of the STOP sign for this intersection per plan;
- installing guard railing in front of both warning devices;
- installing W10-2 warning signs 200 feet west and east of the Vincent Avenue and Santa Fe Avenue intersection and removing the W10-1 sign on the north side of the track per plan;
- installing a W10-11A and R8-8 sign on a single post (southeast quadrant) approximately 20 feet from the Commission Standard 9 warning device on the northbound approach per plan;
- installing a W10-11B sign beneath the existing STOP sign at the Vincent Avenue and Santa Fe Avenue intersection (northeast quadrant) for northbound traffic per plan;
- installing a W3-1 sign with STOP AHEAD pavement legend on the northbound approach 550 feet south of the stop bar for the Vincent Road / Santa Fe Avenue intersection per plan;
- installing thermoplastic pavement striping and pavement markings (RXR) per plan.

Staff has investigated the request by the County and finds it adequately addresses compliance and safety. As the County and BNSF are in agreement as to the design and apportionments of the cost under the provisions of GO 88-B, you may proceed with the improvements as described in your request letter and attachments, and summarized above.

Temporary traffic controls shall be provided in compliance with Section 8A.05, Temporary Traffic Control Zones, of the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CAMUTCD), published by Caltrans.

David Leamon G.11-01-005 March 1, 2011 Page 3 of 3

All parties shall comply with all applicable rules, including Commission General Orders, and the CAMUTCD.

This project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended [California Pubic Resources Code 21084].

This authorization shall expire if the above conditions are not complied with or if the work is not completed within three years of the date of this letter. Upon written request to this office, the time to complete the project may be extended. A written request for a time extension must include concurrence letters by interested parties in support of the time extension. If an extension is requested, staff from the Commission's Rail Crossings Engineering Section (RCES) may reevaluate the crossing prior to granting an extension.

Within 30 days after completion of this project, the County shall notify RCES that the authorized work is completed by submitting a completed Commission Standard Form G titled *Report of Changes at Highway Grade Crossings and Separations*. Form G requirements and forms can be obtained at the CPUC web site Form G page at <a href="http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/formg">http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/formg</a>. This report may be submitted electronically to <a href="http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/formg">rces@cpuc.ca.gov/formg</a>. This report may be

If you have any questions, please contact Marvin Kennix at (916) 928-3809 or email at <u>mlk@cpuc.ca.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Daren Gilbert, Supervisor Rail Crossings Engineering Section Consumer Protection and Safety Division

Cc:

Peter Song Assistant Engineer Department of Public Works County of Stanislaus 1010 10th Street, Suite 3500 Modesto, CA 95354

John Stilley Manager of Industry and Public Projects BNSF Railway 740 East Carnegie Drive San Bernardino, CA 92408

Bree Arnett, CPUC