

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

320 W 4th Street, Suite 500
Los Angeles, CA 90013



December 7, 2017

File Number: XREQ 2016050006A
Navy Drive
Port of Stockton, San Joaquin County

Juan G. Villanueva
Development & Planning Manager
Port of Stockton
2201 W. Washington Street
Stockton, CA 95203

SENT VIA E-MAIL

Re: General Order 88-B Request for Authority to Alter Navy Drive At-Grade Highway-Rail Crossing, CPUC Crossing No. 123-1.22 and DOT No. 928031R in the Port of Stockton, County of San Joaquin

Dear Mr. Villanueva:

On November 3, 2017, California Public Utilities Commission (Commission/CPUC) received a request from Port of Stockton (Port) to amend the Commission General Order (GO) 88-B XREQ2016050006 authorization modifying the Navy Drive at-grade highway-rail crossing (crossing) tracks in the Port, County of San Joaquin. The crossing is identified as CPUC Crossing No. 123-1.22 and DOT No. 928031R.

On May 11, 2016, the Commission Rail Crossings and Engineering Branch (RCEB) authorized alterations to the crossing.

The double track crossing is equipped with two curb mounted Commission Standard 9 (flashing light signal assembly with automatic gate arm) warning devices. Central California Traction Company (CCTC) is the freight operator at the Port. CCTC runs approximately 4 freight trains per day at a maximum speed of 15 mph over the crossing. The average daily traffic on Navy Drive is 1,000 vehicles.

The Port originally requested to replace the existing two curb mounted Commission Standard 9 warning devices with two Commission Standard 9-A (Commission Standard 9 with additional flashing light signals over the roadway on a cantilevered arm) warning devices.

The Port requests to add two Commission Standard 9 warning devices to the existing raised medians in addition to the two Commission Standard 9-A warning devices at the crossing.

In all other respects, the original authorization for improvements remains in full force and effect.

The RCEB has investigated the Port's request, and finds it adequately addresses compliance and safety. As CCTC and the Port are in agreement as to the design and apportionments of the cost

Juan G. Villanueva
December 7, 2017
Page 2 of 2

under the provisions of GO 88-B, you may proceed with the improvements as described in your request letter, attachments, and summarized above.

If you have any questions, please contact David Stewart at (916) 928-2515 or atm@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Anton Garabetian, P. E.
Program and Project Supervisor
Rail Crossings Engineering Section
Safety and Enforcement Division

SENT VIA E-MAIL

C: Randy Egusquiza, CCTC
Richard Grigsby, CCTC