PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

320 W. 4th Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, CA 90013

August 13, 2018



File Number: XREQ 2018070001 Gimelli Way

San Jose, Santa Clara County

Ryan Do Division Manager Public Works Development Services City of San Jose 200 East Santa Clara Street, 3rd Floor San Jose, CA 95113

SENT VIA E-MAIL

Re:

General Order 88-B Request for Authority to Alter the Gimelli Way At-Grade Highway-Rail Crossing, CPUC Crossing No. 082C-11.00 in the City of San Jose, County of Santa Clara.

Dear Mr. Do:

This refers to your letter received by us via email on July 10, 2018, requesting authorization, pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission (Commission/CPUC) General Order (GO) 88-B, to alter the Gimelli Way at-grade highway-rail crossing (crossing) of the Santa Clara County Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) tracks in the City of San Jose (City), County of Santa Clara. The crossing is identified as CPUC Crossing No. 082C-11.00.

The crossing configuration consists of two VTA tracks in an at-grade median along North Capitol Avenue. Gimelli Way is a two-lane roadway that crosses North Capitol Avenue perpendicularly. This crossing is protected by traffic signals in all approaches. 300 transit trains operate per day at a maximum speed of 35 miles per hour over the crossing. The crossing average daily traffic is 1,367 vehicles.

The proposed alterations, as indicated in the City request letter and/or shown on the plans, shall consist of:

- Installing a new pedestrian crosswalk (including pedestrian push buttons and signal heads) through the southeast side of the Gimelli Way crossing at the North Capitol Avenue and Gimelli Way intersection; and
- Installing new curb ramps with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant detectable warning strips on all four corners of the Gimelli Way and North Capitol Avenue intersection.

The Commission's Rail Crossings and Engineering Branch (RCEB) staff has investigated the request by the City, and finds it adequately addresses compliance and safety. As the City and VTA (Parties) are in agreement as to the design and apportionments of the cost under the provisions of GO 88-B, the City may proceed with the improvements as described in its request letter and attachments, and

Ryan Do XREQ 2018070001 August 13, 2018 Page 2 of 2

summarized above. The City must complete all the proposed alterations mentioned above for RCEB to consider this GO 88-B application closed.

Temporary traffic controls shall be provided in compliance with Section 8A.08, Temporary Traffic Control Zones, of the CA MUTCD, 2014 Edition, published by the California Department of Transportation.

All Parties shall comply with all applicable rules, including other Commission General Orders and the latest version of the CA MUTCD. This project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended [California Public Resources Code 21084].

This authorization shall expire if the above conditions are not complied with or if the work is not completed within three years of the date of this letter. Upon written request to this office, the time to complete the project may be extended. A written request for a time extension must include concurrence letters by interested Parties in support of the time extension. If an extension is requested, RCEB may reevaluate the crossing prior to granting an extension.

Within 30 days after completion of this project, the City shall notify RCEB that the authorized work is completed by submitting a completed Commission Standard Form G. Form G requirements and forms can be obtained at the CPUC web site at http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/crossings/. This report may be submitted electronically to rceb@cpuc.ca.gov.

If you have any questions, please contact Willard Lam at (415) 703-1327 or email at wlm@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Anton Garabetian, P. E.

Program and Project Supervisor

Rail Crossings and Engineering Branch

Safety and Enforcement Division

SENT VIA E-MAIL

Cc: Inez Evans, VTA