

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

320 W. 4TH STREET, SUITE 500
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013



February 25, 2019

File Number: XREQ 2019010009
Alabama Street
City of Redlands, San Bernardino County

Paul Toor
Municipal Utilities and Engineering Director
City of Redlands
35 Cajon Street, Suite 15-A
Redlands, CA 92373

SENT VIA E-MAIL

Re: General Order 88-B Request for Authority to Alter the Alabama Street At-Grade Highway-Rail Crossing Identified as CPUC Crossing No. 101SG-63.02 and DOT Crossing No. 027233A in the City of Redlands, San Bernardino County.

Dear Mr. Toor:

This refers to your letter dated and received by us on January 25, 2019, requesting authorization, pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission (Commission/CPUC) General Order (GO) 88-B, to alter the Alabama Street at-grade highway-rail crossing (crossing) of the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA) Redlands Branch track in Redlands (City), San Bernardino County. The crossing is identified as CPUC Crossing Number 101SG-63.02 and DOT Number 027233A.

The crossing was comprised of one previously removed track through a four-lane roadway, with two traffic lanes in each direction. There are currently no warning devices at the crossing location. Alabama Street and West Redlands Boulevard form a traffic signal-controlled intersection approximately 200 feet south of the crossing.

Currently there is no rail traffic at the crossing. However, once the Project is complete, the Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA/Metrolink) will operate approximately four passenger trains per day at a maximum speed of 50 MPH. An additional 50 passenger trains are expected to travel through the crossing at a maximum speed of 50 MPH. The new rail service will be operated by Omnitrans. The average daily traffic at the crossing is approximately 12,715 vehicles.

The City, in cooperation with SCRRA, proposes the following alterations as indicated in the request letter and/or shown in the attachments:

- Install new main track with concrete crossing panels;
- Modify existing medians to eight-inch high raised medians;
- Install one curb-mounted and one median-mounted Commission Standard 9 warning devices for southbound traffic;
- Install one curb-mounted and one median-mounted Commission Standard 9 warning devices for northbound traffic;

- Modify existing traffic signal system and install pre-signal for both approaches;
- Provide interconnection to the traffic signal system, and implement advance preemption and advance notice circuits;
- Install Commission Standard 9 pedestrian gate warning devices, with front and back flashing lights, in combination with emergency egress swing gates, tactile warning strips, and pedestrian channelization at each quadrant sidewalk approach;
- Install new signal house;
- Repave Alabama Street, and reconstruct curb and gutter;
- Apply California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CA MUTCD) compliant signage and pavement markings; including W10-1 advance warning signs, “RXR” and railroad limit pavement markings.

RCEB investigated the request filed by the City and finds that it adequately addresses compliance and safety. As SCRRA, SBCTA and the City are in agreement as to the design and apportionments of the cost under the provisions of GO 88-B, the improvements as described in your request letter received by us on January 25, 2019, and summarized above, are authorized. All parties shall comply with all applicable rules, including Commission General Orders and the current version of the CA MUTCD, published by the California Department of Transportation. The City and SCRRA must complete all the proposed alterations mentioned above for RCEB to consider this GO 88-B application closed.

SCRRA must ensure that Emergency Notification Signs are installed to comply with CFR 234.309.

Temporary traffic controls shall be provided in compliance with current version of the CA MUTCD. Refer to CA MUTCD Section 6G.18 and Figure 6H-46 regarding “Work in the Vicinity of a Grade Crossing.”

This project is categorically exempt from the requirements of the California Environment Quality Act of 1970, as amended [California Public Resources Code 21084].

This authorization shall expire if the above conditions are not complied with, or if the proposed project is not completed, within three years of the date of this letter. Upon written request to this office, the time to complete the project may be extended. Any written request for a time extension must include concurrence letters by involved parties in support of the time extension. If an extension is requested, RCEB may reevaluate the crossing prior to granting an extension.

Within 30 days after completion of this project, the City shall notify RCEB that the authorized work is completed by submitting a completed Commission Standard Form G titled *Report of Changes at Highway Grade Crossings and Separations*. Form G requirements and forms can be obtained at the CPUC web site Form G page at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/crossings/>. This report may be submitted electronically to rceb@cpuc.ca.gov.


At the conclusion of the project, SCRRA should electronically submit an updated Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) inventory form (Form F6180.71) reflecting the changes. 49 CFR 234 Section 234.409 requires the railroads to submit periodic crossing inventory updates a minimum of

Paul Toor
XREQ 2019010009
February 25, 2019
Page 3 of 3

once every three years. RCEB requests a concurrent copy of the updated inventory form be submitted to rceb@cpuc.ca.gov.

If you have any questions, please contact Sergio Licon at (213) 576-7085 or sal@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Anton Garabetian, P.E.
Program and Project Supervisor
Rail Crossings and Engineering Branch
Safety and Enforcement Division

cc: **(SENT VIA E-MAIL)**

Justin Fornelli, SCRRA
Andres Ramirez, SBCTA
Trischelle Baysden, Omnitrans

