BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Conduct a Comprehensive Examination of Investor Owned Electric Utilities' Residential Rate Structures, the Transition to Time Varying and Dynamic Rates, and Other Statutory Obligations

R.12-06-013 (Filed June 21, 2012)

U 39 E

STATEMENT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 E) ON STATUS OF CARE RESTRUCTURING PRE-WORKING GROUP DISCUSSIONS PURSUANT TO APRIL 1, 2016, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING

CHRISTOPHER J. WARNER GAIL L. SLOCUM Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, Room 3143 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 973-6695 Esserimila: (415) 973-0516

Facsimile: (415) 973-0516 E-Mail: CJW5@pge.com

Attorneys for

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: June 6, 2016

Pursuant to the April 1, 2016, email ruling of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) on consideration of recommendations for restructuring the California Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) program in this proceeding, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), on behalf of the pre-working group participating parties, hereby files this Statement on Status of CARE Restructuring Pre-Working Group Discussions as required by the ALJ Ruling. ¹/
The pre-working group parties include Center for Accessible Technology, Consumer Federation of California, Office of Ratepayer Advocates, PG&E, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, The Utility Reform Network and Utility Consumers Action Network, with facilitation by the Energy Division.

The ALJ Ruling provided that, at the March 29, 2016 workshop, parties expressed interest in working together to set preliminary goals and a preliminary schedule for this phase of the proceeding. The ALJ ruling directed the parties to hold a pre-working group meeting to discuss next steps, including:

- (1) Identify studies that would be useful and feasible for evaluating possible CARE discount structures;
- (2) Identify long-term steps and schedule for consideration of CARE Restructuring;
- (3) Propose schedule for engagement of other stakeholders, including a possible presentation to the Low Income Oversight Board (LIOB) on July 20, 2016 (Sacramento) or a later LIOB meeting; and
- (4) Identify other Commission proceedings that the CARE restructuring should coordinate with or take into consideration.

PG&E and The Utility Reform Network (TURN) coordinated with the other parties and Energy Division to convene the pre-working group meeting, which was held at the Commission on May 16, 2016. The participating parties appreciate and are grateful for the support of the Energy Division, which assisted and helped facilitate the May 16 meeting, including taking notes and providing an oral status report to the ALJ at the May 26, 2016, status conference in this

^{1/} This Statement is filed and served on behalf of the parties participating in the CARE Restructuring Pre-Working Group, and the participating parties have authorized PG&E to file and serve this Statement on their behalf.

proceeding. The ALJ Ruling requires a joint statement of the parties participating in the CARE Restructuring Pre-Working Group to be filed and served. Accordingly, Attachment 1 provides the joint statement of the participating parties.

Respectfully Submitted,

CHRISTOPHER J. WARNER GAIL L. SLOCUM

By: /s/ Christopher J. Warner

CHRISTOPHER J. WARNER

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 973-36695

Facsimile: (415) 973-0516 E-Mail: <u>CJW5@pge.com</u>

On behalf of Pacific Gas and Electric Company Attorneys for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: June 6, 2016

ATTACHMENT 1

R.12-06-013 - Joint Statement of CARE Restructuring Pre-Working Group Participating Parties

In compliance with the ALJ Ruling issued on April 1, 2016 that directed the parties to hold a preworking group meeting to discuss next steps and to file and serve a Joint Statement by June 6, 2016, the participating parties provide their status report on the discussions and responses to the following directives in the ALJ Ruling discussed at the May 16, 2016 meeting. The pre-working group parties include Center for Accessible Technology, Consumer Federation of California, Office of Ratepayer Advocates, PG&E, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, The Utility Reform Network and Utility Consumers Action Network, with facilitation by the Energy Division. Please note that the discussions were informal and preliminary, and do not necessarily represent the final views, positions or recommendations of the participating parties.

1) Identify studies that would be useful and feasible for evaluating possible CARE discount structures;

The participating parties generally agreed that further information and data should be gathered and evaluated in order to learn more about the low-income IOU customer population before considering recommendations for new CARE structures. For example, who are customers, where are they, and why are they struggling? Is it climate related? Square footage? Cost of Living? Household size? Climate zone? Where are the struggling customers? The participating parties generally believe this is an essential step to inform potential new CARE structures. The participating parties came up with a "wish list" of data sets that would be interesting to look at to try and answer some of the above questions. This list is below.

In support of this general agreement and as described in response to number 2, the participating parties requested that the investor-owned utilities (IOUs) go back to their data teams to:

- Determine what information from this list is actually available
- What data is available from the Census Bureau, the Low Income Needs Assessment (LINA) study, the CalEnviroScreen, and credit rating agencies (e.g. Experian, Axiom)
- Determine where and how the data can be cross-referenced (e.g. can the data be grouped by a common geographic marker like zip code)
- See what vintage of the data is available

The IOUs will coordinate on a common format for providing the data.

Potential Data Points:

Data Point	Potential data source?
Income	Credit rating agency, IOU self-reported data
	collected for CARE applicants), Nielsen (for

	general population)
Household size (number of people)	Credit rating agency, Nielsen
House size (sq. footage)	Credit rating agency, Nielsen
Bills (\$), month, seasonal & annual	utility
Usage (kWh), month, seasonal &	utility
annual	
Tier usage, monthly	utility
Arrearages (30/60/90/more)	utility
Disconnections & reconnections	utility
Payment arrangements	utility
Balanced payment plans	utility
Payment type	utility
Seasonal workers vs. fully employed	Census
Single parent vs. dual parent	Census
households	
# of income earners in a household	Census?
Household type (multi, single,	utility
mobile)	
Ownership status (rent, own)	Some utility, some indirect
48 hour disconnection / service	utility
termination notice	
Tariff? All electric? Master meter?	utility
Area median income	Census bureau?
Categorically enrolled?	IOU
Customer contacts (by channel, e.g.	IOU
phone, customer center, letter,	
myaccount)	
Internet access	Broadband CETF study?
Customer persona	IOU
Other utility (water, gas, electric) bill	Credit rating agency? Census?
assistance	
housing assistance (Section 8, HUD)	Credit rating agency? Census?
SNAP	Credit rating agency? Census?
CARE eligibility	Athens Research
CARE enrolled	IOU
ESA treated	IOU
ESA eligible	Athens CSD (Community Somions and Davidsoment)
LIHEAP treated	CSD (Community Services and Development)
SASH/MASH participation	IOU
Housing vintage	IOU
NEM vs. non-NEM	IOU Cradit rating agangu
Credit profile	Credit rating agency
Credit score	Credit rating agency JOLL congus Nielson
Senior in household	Credit rating agency, IOU, census, Nielsen
Medical baseline enrolled	IOU

Disability in household	Census bureau
Language preference	IOU
Language spoken in home	Census Bureau
FERA enrolled	IOU

Potential Geographic Groupings:

- zip code
- zip code plus 4
- county
- census tract
- census block
- MSA (metropolitan statistical area)
- IOU climate zone
- urban vs. rural
- Enviro-screen
- IOU service territory

2) Identify long-term steps and schedule for consideration of CARE Restructuring;

The participating parties discussed a preliminary schedule and long-term steps for considering various CARE restructuring proposals that parties and stakeholders may wish to consider after evaluating the initial CARE data provide under section 1, above. The participating parties identified the following tentative schedule and long-term steps:

- IOUs to provide status update on data request prior to July LIOB meeting
- The goal is to have a publicly available dataset by year end 2016
- Use dataset to learn more about the low-income IOU customer population (Q1 2017)
- Policy discussion, administrative cost/delivery capability discussion (Q1 2017)
- Parties will brainstorm on potential new CARE structures (Q1 2017)
- IOUs run bill impacts on a limited set of ideas (Q2 2017)
- Consensus recommendations in time for 2018 RDW filings (Q3/Q4 2017)

Propose schedule for engagement of other stakeholders, including a possible presentation to the Low Income Oversight Board (LIOB) on July 20, 2016 (Sacramento) or a later LIOB meeting; and

The participating parties also discussed ways to provide for further engagement with other stakeholders on CARE restructuring, and identified the following potential near-term opportunities:

- status update to RROIR proceeding at PRRR or status conference (provided May 25)
- request for input from the LIOB at their July 20 meeting

4) Identify other Commission proceedings that the CARE restructuring should coordinate with or take into consideration.

The participating parties generally agreed that the CARE restructuring discussions in this proceeding should be coordinated with the following other Commission proceedings that include or may include issues, programs and data that relate to or overlap with CARE restructuring issues and proposals that may be considered in this proceeding:

- CARE/ESA proceeding (A. 14-11-007,et al)
- Energy Efficiency Rolling Portfolios, Policies, Programs, Evaluation, and Related Issues (R. 13-11-005)
- San Joaquin Valley Disadvantaged Communities (R. 15-03-010)
- Green Tariff Shared Renewables (A.12-01-008)
- AB 693 phase 2 of NEM 2.0 (R.14-07-002)
- RROIR (R. 12-06-013)
- Water-energy nexus (R. 13-12-011)

Action Items

In summary, the participating parties generally agreed that the next steps in the working group process should be:

- IOUs to go back and see what is available from their own data sources and what data can be gathered from other sources
- Parties to think about other potential experts to bring into the conversation in 2017